

**From:** Phillips, Keith (GOV)  
**Sent:** Friday, January 31, 2014 4:38 PM  
**To:** Lynch, Bill (UTC)  
**Subject:** RE: methanol

Thanks. I have some additional notes to share, when you have a moment.

---

**From:** Lynch, Bill (UTC)  
**Sent:** Friday, January 31, 2014 3:01 PM  
**To:** Phillips, Keith (GOV)  
**Subject:** RE: methanol

Hi Keith.

I went to the Law Library and pulled some Law Review articles regarding the energy facility siting process in Washington. These were written in the '70's and hopefully will provide some historic overview of what was envisioned when the Legislature created EFSEC. When a jurisdictional question isn't clear, rules of statutory construction allow going back to historical documents to get a sense of what people were thinking at the time. This helps when looking at a proposal that doesn't quite fit within existing law – is it more like what is being regulated, or so different that the current law shouldn't be applied.

I plan on plowing through this and letting you know if this is helpful, and what my opinion is on jurisdiction.

At the end of the day, we aren't looking for anything more to do – so that isn't a factor. I think we just want a review process that isn't arbitrary.

Have a good weekend.

Thanks,  
Bill

---

**From:** Phillips, Keith (GOV)  
**Sent:** Friday, January 31, 2014 8:27 AM  
**To:** Lynch, Bill (UTC)  
**Subject:** methanol

Hi, Bill – left you a vm. Let me know if you have a minute prior to 9 am, for a quick chat. Thanks. Keith