CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: April 10, 2025

WSR 25-09-052

TIME: 10:52 PM

PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (June 2024) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

Agency: Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC)								
Original Notice								
□ Supplemental Notice to WSR								
⊠ Continuance of WSR <u>24-20-147</u>								
Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR; or								
⊠ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR <u>24-15-134;</u> or								
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or								
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW								
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) Title 463 WAC Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council								
Hearing location(s):								
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:					
Date of intended ado	ption: April ?	16, 2025 (Note: This is	s NOT the effective date)					
Submit written comm	ents to:		Assistance for persons with disa	bilities:				
Name Ali Smith			Contact Ali Smith					
Address 621 Woodland Square Loop SE, Olympia, WA 98504			Phone 360-930-4085					
Email rulemaking@efs	sec.wa.gov		Fax n/a					
Fax n/a			TTY					
Other			Email rulemaking@efsec.wa.gov					
Beginning (date and	time) <u>Octol</u>	ber 2, 2024, 8:00am	Other					
By (date and time) 🥖			By (date)					
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: The proposed changes are to bring out-of-date items in 24 of the 26 chapters in Title 463 WAC into alignment with current statutes, rules, and EFSEC's internal operations, including updates to EFSEC's address, corrections to statutory and regulatory references due to changes (e.g., E2SHB 1812 that created EFSEC as independent agency), and style changes per the Code Reviser's Office style guide. None of the changes are substantive. Reasons supporting proposal: In many cases, the rules have not changed since inception in the 1970s or even since 2004. In that time, EFSEC has moved, and the use of email and websites have come into modern use. Additionally, EFSEC's own statute has changed significantly in some parts, the Public Records Act was recodified, and other statutes, such as the Environmental Health Law Reorganization Act-SHB2246, were adopted. The rules correct information and references in order to make them simpler for users to navigate.								
Statutory authority fo	r adoption:	RCW 80.50.040(1)						
Statute being implem	ented: Cha	pter 80.50 RCW Energy Fa	acility – Site Location Act					
Is rule necessary bec	ause of a:							
Federal Law?				🗆 Yes 🛛 No				
Federal Court D				🗆 Yes 🛛 No				
State Court Dec	ision?			🗆 Yes 🛛 No				
If yes, CITATION:								
Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: N/A								
Name of proponent: (person or organization) Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council Type of proponent: Private. Public. Governmental.								

Name of ag	ency personnel responsible for:						
	Name	Office Location		Phone			
Drafting	Lisa McLean and Ali Smith	PO Box 43172	02 2172	360-930-4085			
Implementat	ion Ami Hafkemeyer,	Olympia, WA 985 PO Box 43172	03-3172				
·	Director of Siting and Compliance	Olympia, WA 985	03-3172	360-664-1305			
Enforcemen	t Sonia Bumpus Director	PO Box 43172 Olympia, WA 985	03-3172	360-664-1363			
ls a school	district fiscal impact statement requ			🗆 Yes 🛛 No			
	statement here:						
	ic may obtain a copy of the school dist	rict fiscal impact st	atement by contacting:				
	dress						
Fa	ione						
TT							
	nail						
	her						
Is a cost-be	nefit analysis required under RCW	34.05.328?					
	A preliminary cost-benefit analysis ma		contactina:				
	ame	,	5				
Ad	ldress						
Ph	one						
Fa							
ТТ							
	nail						
	her						
	Please explain: The proposed rule ch proposed rules do not pose any additi	U	5 5	5			
	Fairness Act and Small Business E						
	overnor's Office for Regulatory Innova	tion and Assistance	e (ORIA) provides suppo	rt in completing this part.			
• •	ation of exemptions:						
	posal, or portions of the proposal, ma <u>5 RCW</u>). For additional information or						
	bx for any applicable exemption(s):		uit the <u>exemption guide p</u>	Contraction of the second seco			
□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under <u>RCW 19.85.061</u> because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or							
regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not							
adopted.							
Citation and	description:						
	proposal, or portions of the proposal,	•	• • •	ed the pilot rule process			
	CW 34.05.313 before filing the notice						
	proposal, or portions of the proposal, a referendum.	is exempt under th	e provisions of <u>RCW 15.0</u>	$\frac{55.570}{2}$ because it was			
	proposal, or portions of the proposal,	is exempt under P	CW 10 85 025(3) Check	all that apply:			
		-		an that apply.			
\boxtimes	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(b)	\boxtimes	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(e)				
	(Internal government operations)	_	(Dictated by statute)				
\boxtimes	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(c)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(f)				
_	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)				
\boxtimes	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(d)	\boxtimes	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(g)				
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency h	• • • • •			
				ng to an agency for a license			
1			or permit)				

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under <u>RCW 19.85.025(4)</u>. (Does not affect small businesses).

$\hfill\square$ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW $_$	
Explanation of how the above exemption(s) applies to the proposed rule:	

 (2) Scope of exemptions: Check one. ☑ The rule proposal: Is fully exempt. (Skip section 3.) Exemptions identified above apply to all portions of the rul □ The rule proposal: Is partially exempt. (Complete section 3.) The exemptions identified above apply to portions proposal, but less than the entire rule proposal. Provide details here (consider using this template from ORIA): □ The rule proposal: Is not exempt. (Complete section 3.) No exemptions were identified above. 	· ·
(3) Small business economic impact statement: Complete this section if any portion is not exempt.	
If any portion of the proposed rule is not exempt , does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.8 on businesses?	35.020(2))
 No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed ruimpose more-than-minor costs. Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here: The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations:	ousiness
Name Address Phone Fax TTY Email Other	
Date: April 10, 2025 Signature:	
Name: Sonia Bumpus M. Bm. B.	
Title: Executive Director	