## BEFORE THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

ENERGY FACILITY SITE EVALUATION COUNCIL

In the Matter of ) Public Informational

Application No. 2009-01 ) and Scoping Meeting

WHISTLING RIDGE ENERGY, LLC. ) Pages 1 - 132

WHISTLING RIDGE ENERGY PROJECT )

\_\_\_\_

A Public Informational and Scoping Meeting in the above matter was held on Wednesday, May 6, 2009, at the Skamania County Fairgrounds, 710 S.W. Rock Creek Drive in Stevenson, Washington at 6:30 p.m., before the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council members.

\* \* \* \* \*

CHAIR LUCE: Good evening, everyone. Today is May 6, 2009 and the time is 6:30 p.m. My name is Jim Luce, and I'm the Chair of the Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council, and on behalf of the Council I thank you all for coming here tonight and participating in this meeting.

First, I would like to introduce the members of the Council, and we'll go from my right to the left and we'll start with Judy.

MS. WILSON: I'm Judy Wilson representing Skamania County.

MR. TAYER: I'm Jeff Tayer. I'm with the Department of Fish and Wildlife.

MR. BYERS: I'm Dick Byers with the Washington

- 1 Utilities and Transportation Commission of Washington.
- CHAIR LUCE: As I said, I'm Jim Luce Chair of the
- 3 Energy Siting Council.
- 4 MR. FRYHLING: I'm Dick Fryhling and I represent
- 5 the Department of Community Trade and Economic Development.
- 6 MS. McDONALD: I'm Mary McDonald and I represent
- 7 the Department of Natural Resources.
- 8 CHAIR LUCE: Now we'll pass the microphone back
- 9 the other way so members of the staff and our legal team can
- 10 introduce themselves.
- 11 MR. CREWS: I'm Kyle Crews, Assistant Attorney
- 12 General.
- JUDGE WALLIS: My name is Bob Wallis and I am an
- 14 Administrative Law Judge working with the Council.
- 15 MR. LA SPINA: Jim La Spina, EFSEC staff.
- 16 MR. FIKSDAL: Allen Fiksdal. I'm the EFSEC
- 17 manager.
- 18 CHAIR LUCE: And Tammy Talburt.
- 19 MS. FIKSDAL: Tammy Talburt with EFSEC.
- 20 CHAIR LUCE: Tammy is the go to person if you want
- 21 to get on the mailing list or we do work by e-mail as well.
- 22 So if you want to get documents associated with this
- 23 particular case, you want to make sure that Tammy Talburt is
- 24 the person you see this evening.
- The purpose of tonight's meeting is for the

- 1 Council to provide you information about the Whistling Ridge
- 2 Energy Project, also about EFSEC's review process, introduce
- 3 the Counsel for the Environment, and most of all get your
- 4 comments on the project and the scope and the specific
- 5 issues to be addressed in the environmental impact statement
- 6 which will be prepared jointly by the Energy Siting Council
- 7 and the Bonneville Power Administration. So I would like at
- 8 this time if we could have the representatives of the
- 9 Bonneville Power Administration stand and introduce
- 10 themselves.
- 11 MR. MONTANO: I'm Andrew Montano. I'm the
- 12 environmental project manager with Bonneville Power, and
- 13 this is Rick Yarde also with Bonneville.
- 14 CHAIR LUCE: If people want to get a hold of you,
- 15 Andrew, how do they do that?
- 16 MR. MONTANO: I have business cards I can hand out
- 17 to anyone who would like to get a hold of me. We also have
- 18 a website address I can give you at the end of this meeting
- 19 if you'd like.
- 20 CHAIR LUCE: Maybe you could leave that website
- 21 address with Tammy Talburt so that people who come up to get
- 22 information from Tammy will also be able to get your e-mail
- 23 at that time.
- MR. MONTANO: Sounds good.
- 25 CHAIR LUCE: We also have with us tonight the

- 1 Counsel for the Environment, an Assistant Attorney General
- 2 for the State of Washington. Counsel for the Environment,
- 3 where are you?
- 4 MR. MARVIN: Hi, I'm Bruce Marvin. I'm an
- 5 Assistant Attorney General. I've been appointed as Counsel
- 6 for the Environment, the statutory position that's
- 7 established as part of the EFSEC process. As the title
- 8 suggests, I'm Counsel for the Environment. My client is the
- 9 environment. I am very interested in hearing what the
- 10 public has to say about this project and its potential
- 11 environmental impacts, and I would invite you if you have an
- 12 issue that you would like to raise or run by me, I don't
- have a position yet, and I can't say that I'll be taking up
- 14 everybody's position that's presented to me, but I would
- 15 like to hear from you and what your concerns are at the
- 16 start of this project, or if you like the project let me
- 17 know that too.
- 18 My work number is 360-586-2438 and feel free to
- 19 leave voicemail there. The preferred method of the
- 20 communication is through my e-mail dress which is
- 21 brucem1@atg.wa.gov, and I'll be available this evening after
- 22 the meeting if people would like to talk to me then as well.
- 23 I'll be here at tomorrow night's meeting as well.
- 24 CHAIR LUCE: Bruce, just to clarify, what I think
- 25 I heard you say was you're representing the interest of the

- 1 environment not particular interests; is that correct?
- 2 MR. MARVIN: Yes, sir.
- 3 CHAIR LUCE: If you could do me the favor of
- 4 leaving with Ms. Talburt your e-mail address for the benefit
- 5 of those people who may not have had a chance to get it down
- 6 or maybe didn't hear you.
- 7 In just a minute we're going to hear from Jason
- 8 Spadaro, project applicant; and Katy Chaney who is
- 9 representing and consulting with Mr. Spadaro. They're going
- 10 to give you a brief overview of the project. Before we do
- 11 that, however, I would ask Council members whether there's
- 12 disclosures for the record that they wish to make in terms
- of any interests or involvement in the Columbia River George
- 14 issues, not all of which may be coming before us, probably
- 15 none of which would be coming before us, but any past
- 16 involvement in this community that they would like people to
- 17 be aware of and I'll start with Dick. Do you have anything?
- 18 MR. BYERS: No, I do not.
- 19 MR. FRYHLING: Sure. Back about eight years ago I
- 20 spent some time here in Skamania County representing my
- 21 agency in regard to grant funds that were given to Skamania
- 22 County so I have some background here.
- 23 CHAIR LUCE: I was a member of the Columbia River
- 24 Gorge Commission appointed by Governor then Gary Locke from
- 25 July of 1999 until September of 2001, and in that capacity I

- 1 met several people who may be participating or speaking this
- 2 evening, but I don't believe that presents any conflicts.
- MS. McDONALD: Hi, I was a forest practice
- 4 forester for the Department of Natural Resources in Skamania
- 5 County probably about eight years ago and I was a forest
- 6 practice forester here for two years.
- 7 CHAIR LUCE: I think that's it in terms of
- 8 disclosures. The last thing I would say is tonight we're
- 9 going to get comments hopefully from new members of the
- 10 public, either orally or in writing, and we'll talk about
- 11 that a little later, and we're going to hear from you on
- 12 issues that you believe should be included in the Draft
- 13 Environmental Impact Statement.
- We're going to have a hearing tomorrow night on
- 15 land use issues. One of the responsibilities of the Energy
- 16 Siting Council is to make a determination on land use
- 17 consistency. So while people may want to talk about that
- 18 issue tonight, I would ask them to keep those comments very
- 19 brief because we will be having a hearing tomorrow evening
- 20 with respect to that.
- Now, Ms. Chaney and Mr. Spadaro, do you have an
- 22 overview of the project you would like to provide to the
- 23 people who are here this evening?
- MR. SPADARO: Yes, Chair Luce. Mr. Chairman,
- 25 would it be your preference if I stand up and address the

- 1 crowd as well as present this information to the audience
- 2 or?
- 3 CHAIR LUCE: Your choice, but I think that the
- 4 audience is probably the best choice. We can hear you and
- 5 they may not be able to hear as well as we can because your
- 6 back is toward them.
- 7 MR. SPADARO: The other thing is I will project
- 8 the some slide show up on the screen behind you.
- 9 CHAIR LUCE: Well, that's consistent and our
- 10 regulations provide that you are to give us a demonstration
- 11 of exhibits I believe as well.
- 12 MR. SPADARO: All right.
- 13 CHAIR LUCE: Go for it.
- MR. SPADARO: I just want to prepare you as I turn
- 15 this on. It might blind you.
- 16 CHAIR LUCE: We promise not to make shadow people
- 17 from the projector.
- 18 MR. SPADARO: Okay. I'll stand to the side so the
- 19 audience can see. Again, Mr. Chairman, Members of the
- 20 Council, thank you for the opportunity.
- 21 Members of the public, we look forward to open
- 22 dialogue and a public involvement process and your scrutiny
- 23 of the project as we begin here.
- 24 First, I'll state that my name is Jason Spadaro,
- 25 President of the SDS Lumber Company. The project is called

- 1 Whistling Ridge Energy Project. It is on the lands owned by
- 2 Broughton Lumber Company, SDS Lumber Company. SDS Co., LLC,
- 3 to be more specific. Our objective is to combine wind
- 4 energy with renewable energy -- excuse me, wind energy with
- 5 renewable forest products and timber production. It will be
- 6 the first project in the Pacific Northwest on commercial
- 7 forest land, and we believe that timber and wind are fully
- 8 compatible and can occur on the same site. I'll show a
- 9 little bit about how we intend to do that.
- 10 SDS lumber is in the renewable resource business.
- 11 Wind is a renewable resource as is our forest. Our approach
- 12 to resource management is to practice sustainable forestry
- 13 and manage our trees on longer rotations than typical. We
- 14 produce high quality building products as a result of that.
- 15 We already are in the renewable energy business with our
- 16 biomass plant at our lumber plant in Bingen, and we have
- 17 conducted long-term exploration of our lands for this wind
- 18 energy potential. This site that we have found is the one
- 19 site on our lands that has that type of potential.
- We first began studying the site in 2002 gathering
- 21 meteorological data and doing wildlife studies.
- 22 SDS Lumber has been in the Gorge for over
- 23 60 years. It is a locally owned company. We have remained
- 24 committed to the community throughout those 60 plus years.
- 25 At full capacity we have 325 employees. Right now with the

- 1 downturn in housing and the national economy we are at 250.
- 2 At normal we are at over 14 million of annual payroll. This
- 3 project is important to us because it adds value to our
- 4 company owned lands, and it helps us to diversify and remain
- 5 stable for the long term. The project location is in
- 6 eastern Skamania County, White Salmon, community of
- 7 Underwood, just this traces the Cook-Underwood Road, and the
- 8 project site is here. This is the boundary line between
- 9 Klickitat and Skamania County that line. So it borders the
- 10 south edge of Klickitat County and it's outside of the
- 11 National Scenic Area.
- The project is named Whistling Ridge for a reason.
- 13 There is a Ridge line called Whistling Ridge. We're at the
- 14 lowest southern end of Whistling Ridge and extends to the
- 15 north onto DNR property toward Nester Peak in that area. It
- 16 is seven miles northwest of White Salmon, approximately
- 17 2,100 feet elevation and in the western end of the Columbia
- 18 Gorge Wind Regime. Because of the wind regime in the Gorge
- 19 a number of wind energy have been built in the eastern parts
- 20 of the gorge. We are in the western part of that wind
- 21 regime.
- The project is on commercial forest lands that
- 23 have been managed for commercial forestry production for
- 24 over 100 years. This is a view of standing on the project
- 25 site. Looking to the west this is Augspurger Mountain, and

- 1 this is one of the Bonneville transmission corridors that
- 2 goes through the property. This little White Salmon River
- 3 is down in this valley. This is a view on the site with
- 4 here's one of the Bonneville towers, and this is the
- 5 southern edge, southern end of the project site. The
- 6 project overview is approximately 50 turbines. The estimate
- 7 is we will use 1.5 megawatt machines. That would generate a
- 8 75-megawatt project. That's enough power for 20,000 homes
- 9 in the Northwest for a year with an estimated construction
- 10 cost of 150 million. That's an estimated value of 150
- 11 million that will come into county taxable base somewhere.
- 12 It may be somewhere below that. It may be somewhere near
- 13 that. It all depends on how all the valuation occurs.
- 14 The project helps Northwest utilities in meeting
- 15 renewable energy standards that are mandated by voters in
- 16 the state of Washington. The project has a Bonneville
- 17 interconnection immediately available on the site, and we
- 18 are planning with Bonneville or exploring with Bonneville
- 19 how we can enhance local electrical service to Skamania and
- 20 Klickitat PUD through this project.
- 21 The project layout is as such it's the National
- 22 Scenic Area boundary here. What we call the project site is
- 23 outlined in this blue line, and the turbine corridors are
- 24 these mustard color areas. That's the area of study that we
- 25 will evaluate the impact for turbine siting. The site is

- 1 already as some of those photos have shown it is already
- 2 disturbed and been under commercial forestry management for
- 3 over a hundred years. It has existing roads, rock pits, a
- 4 cellular site up here on top of Underwood Mountain. This is
- 5 the Williams Pipeline Company natural gas line, and these
- 6 are the two Bonneville transmission corridors that go
- 7 through to the site.
- 8 We intend to match forestry production with
- 9 renewable energy reproduction depicted in this layout.
- 10 Particularly on a ridge site what you want is to have wind
- 11 flow through the zone where the turbine blades capture the
- 12 wind energy. With a ridge site like this these trees that
- 13 are out a distance away at a mature height do not disrupt
- 14 that flow of wind through the power generating zone of the
- 15 wind turbine. As you get closer to the turbine, the heights
- 16 need to be limited. This tree height ceiling is a 50-foot
- 17 ceiling from the base of the wind turbine. That extends
- 18 from in a quadrant centered 90 degrees on the up-wind and
- 19 down-wind direction. It extends out 500 feet that you have
- 20 this 50-foot tree height ceiling. In a 150-foot radius we
- 21 need a 15-foot maximum tree height ceiling so our intention
- 22 is to grow an alternative forest crop, perhaps Christmas
- 23 trees or biomass energy fuels, and then within a 50-foot
- 24 radius around the turbine that would be the permanently
- 25 cleared area.

- 1 So in this way we can still practice forestry
- 2 around the wind turbines. Some areas will be modified
- 3 forestry. Some will be alternative forest crops, but a
- 4 minimal footprint of permanently disturbed and converted
- 5 area.
- The project benefits we believe we will provide an
- 7 average of 143 full-time workers over 12 months construction
- 8 period, 18 million of payroll, plus 13.2 million in local
- 9 purchases. The multiplier effect is 107 new jobs created
- 10 during the construction. And then ongoing economic benefits
- 11 are estimated at eight to nine permanent jobs, wind turbine
- 12 technicians and maintenance and operations personnel
- 13 generating 3.75 million in payroll annually, employee local
- spending of 900,000 a year and 730,000 in new revenues to
- 15 Skamania County out of taxes.
- 16 In conclusion, our statement is this is the right
- 17 project, in the right place, at the right time. I'll
- 18 editorialize here for a moment. I know a number of you are
- 19 concerned about impacts of the project, but wind energy
- 20 projects need to go where the wind is. We've got wind in
- 21 this site, we've got transmission available on the site so
- 22 it is the right place and the right project at a time when
- 23 renewable energy has never been in greater demand. We're
- 24 grateful for the county support and participation in this
- 25 project. We're appreciative of EFSEC's open and rigorous

- 1 process. We look forward to that as I said in the outset,
- 2 and we'll work hard to earn the approval of EFSEC on this
- 3 project.
- 4 Would you like me to answer any questions,
- 5 Mr. Chair?
- 6 CHAIR LUCE: I don't have questions myself at this
- 7 point in time. I think the primary purpose this evening was
- 8 to allow you to explain the project to the public. We've
- 9 all received copies of your application. I think that maybe
- 10 if you are available later to answer questions from the
- 11 public that would be a good thing. Any Council members?
- I thank you very much, Mr. Spadaro.
- 13 Katy Chaney has nothing to say at least at this
- 14 time. So what I think I would like to do now is to turn to
- 15 Allen Fiksdal. Allen is our manager. He is going to
- 16 explain to you the EFSEC process for those who are not
- 17 already familiar with it. Allen will be available as well
- 18 for questions. I would encourage you to go to the EFSEC
- 19 website which explains also the process very clearly, but
- 20 for now, Allen.
- 21 MR. FIKSDAL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- I don't have a power point. I have a chart that
- 23 Jim will hold for us. I know all of you can't see.
- Oh, he's getting chairs for people.
- The Council was created back in the 1970s to look

- 1 at the proposal for nuclear power plants back in that time
- 2 and was created by the legislature. Through time EFSEC has
- 3 been given more authority to site different types of
- 4 facilities. Right now the threshold for thermal power,
- 5 which is not what we're looking at here, but it's
- 6 350 megawatts which is a very large facility, and a few
- 7 years ago the legislature gave EFSEC the ability to review
- 8 alternative energy facilities. That's wind, solar, tidal
- 9 wave, biomass facilities. However, what the legislature
- 10 said was these proponents of these facilities can opt into
- 11 the EFSEC process or they can go through the local
- 12 government, and in Washington State some proponents of wind
- 13 facilities and other renewables have gone through local
- 14 governments and some have gone through the Council's siting
- 15 process.
- 16 The siting process basically has three elements to
- 17 it. One is a land use consistency as Chairman Luce noted.
- 18 We're going to have that hearing tomorrow evening. The
- 19 Council will make a determination whether the project is
- 20 consistent with local land use and zoning ordinances.
- 21 The second track basically the Council has to go
- 22 through is we are the lead SEPA agency, the State
- 23 Environmental Policy Act. So we are the lead environmental
- 24 reviewer of this project. The third track -- well, I should
- 25 back up a little bit. Environmental review means that we

- 1 will be developing an Environmental Impact Statement.
- 2 First, there will be a Draft Environmental Impact Statement
- 3 and everybody can comment on that Draft EIS. We will be
- 4 back here after it is issued to hear comments on that draft
- 5 EIS so you will see us again.
- 6 The third track that the Council has is an
- 7 adjudicative proceeding. This is an extra track in most
- 8 governmental processes where at the appropriate time the
- 9 Council will open their process to petitions for
- 10 intervention. That means that any person or group can
- 11 petition the Council to intervene in their adjudicated
- 12 hearings. The adjudicated hearings are very formal hearings
- 13 where the proponents or opponents will bring expert
- 14 witnesses in front of the Council to testify in front of the
- 15 Council. Those expert witnesses are cross-examined by the
- other intervenors similar to what happens in the courtroom
- 17 where you have testimony and cross-examination during that
- 18 time.
- 19 Based on those three tracks all the evidence
- 20 that's presented to them through the land use consistency
- 21 hearings, the SEPA review, and the adjudicative proceeding
- the Council will use the record, all the evidence that's
- 23 presented to them and make a determination and a
- 24 recommendation to the Governor of the State of Washington.
- 25 The recommendation could be, "Governor, we think you should

- 1 approve this and here are the conditions we think that the
- 2 project should be built and operated under, " and they will
- 3 list the conditions that they think are appropriate. They
- 4 could recommend to the Governor that, no, we have heard the
- 5 testimony and the evidence and the evidence to us is that
- 6 the Governor should reject the project. And the Governor
- 7 will take that recommendation. The Governor has 60 days to
- 8 review that recommendation and either make a decision or
- 9 remand it back to the Council for reconsideration. The
- 10 Governor has done that. In fact, different governors have
- 11 done that several times to look at specific issues.
- Now, the timing element for this is in our statute
- one year. The Council is suppose to make a recommendation
- 14 to the Governor in a year of receipt of the application. We
- 15 received the application I think it was mid March of this
- 16 year, and so by mid March next year we're suppose to make a
- 17 recommendation to the Council. However, if the applicant
- 18 requests the Council to extend the review period, the
- 19 Council has the discretion to extend it or not. So we'll
- 20 see where we are at this time next year.
- 21 As through the process I think if you see this
- 22 chart there's a -- you will have to see that later -- couple
- 23 heads in here. That means that there's public meetings. So
- 24 this is the first meeting that we're having, SEPA scoping
- 25 and land use consistency is the very beginning of this whose

- 1 process. We will be back to hear comments, public comments
- 2 for the DEIS. Through the adjudicated hearings not only is
- 3 there expert witness testimony, but we will hear testimony
- 4 from the public in a venue similar to this. So you will
- 5 have another opportunity to express your views to the
- 6 Council. And based on all of that the Council again will
- 7 make a recommendation to the Governor.
- I want to go back to this Environmental Impact
- 9 Statement process. As we noted already we are working
- 10 cooperatively with Bonneville Power Administration so that
- 11 you don't have two different EIS's you have to look at. We
- 12 will be working with our friends at BPA in producing one
- 13 Environmental Impact Statement. And I want to note if you
- 14 comment on the scope of the EIS and BPA has some comment
- 15 sheets and they have a website where you can comment, we
- 16 have comment sheets and you can also e-mail us, you don't
- 17 have to send the comments to each one. We share the
- 18 comments. So don't worry if it goes to one entity, the
- 19 other entity will see it. So you don't have to send one to
- 20 Andrew and you don't have to send one to me. Just send one
- 21 to me or one to Andrew. Your choice.
- 22 And I think that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 23 CHAIR LUCE: All right. We had one question.
- MR. FIKSDAL: Yes, sir.
- 25 AUDIENCE MEMBER: The environmental counsel that's

- 1 something where people go to the environmental counsel is
- 2 there an attorney-client privilege there?
- MR. MARVIN: Yes, the Counsel for the Environment
- 4 would be me is actually representing the environment and so
- 5 as strange as that may sound I don't represent people in
- 6 this proceeding. I represent the system so there is no
- 7 attorney-client privilege. If people approach me and want
- 8 to talk about these things, it would be as though you were
- 9 coming to an attorney and you're a witness to an event. And
- 10 I can certainly talk about things if you want to talk to me
- and maintain your anonymity or if there's issues you want to
- 12 try out or present to me. If we discuss that up front and
- 13 you can make it clear to me that you don't want this
- information disclosed, we can probably make arrangements for
- 15 that to happen. However, there won't be any formal
- 16 attorney-client privilege, attorney work product that would
- 17 attach to that.
- 18 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you.
- MR. FIKSDAL: Andrew, Rick, do you have anything
- 20 you want to say?
- MR. MONTANO: No.
- MR. FIKSDAL: He is here and you can talk to them.
- I want to reiterate what the Council Chair
- 24 Mr. Luce said is that if you have questions or more interest
- 25 in our review process, the different projects, go to our

- 1 website which is efsec@wa.gov. We have the application on
- 2 the website. Once the Environmental Impact Statement is
- 3 developed and issued, we'll have it on our website. We try
- 4 to keep everything that is current. You know, we try to
- 5 keep the website current for all of our different projects
- 6 that we are reviewing and that we have under our
- 7 jurisdiction. So I highly encourage you to go to our
- 8 website and you can get lots of information. We will have
- 9 notices of meetings. And if you signed up tonight and
- 10 wanted to, you'll get on our mailing list and you'll receive
- 11 notices. But we will be posting notices on our website and
- 12 I think the same goes for BPA. They will be having notices
- 13 and information on their website for us.
- And, Mr. Marvin, you have a website. The Attorney
- 15 General's Office also has a website that has your
- 16 information on it; is that correct?
- MR. MARVIN: Right. If you enter the search words
- 18 Counsel C-o-u-n-s-e-l for the Environment and via the
- 19 Washington Attorney General's Office you should get a page
- 20 that has my name, contact information.
- 21 CHAIR LUCE: Great. Thank you very much.
- 22 Again, Council has decided that this particular project
- 23 does have a potentially significant adverse impact on the
- 24 environment and we are going to be receiving comments here
- 25 tonight in the scoping section and public comments. Any

- 1 written comments will be received must be postmarked by May
- 2 18. Now, I think you can e-mail those as well so it's not
- 3 necessary to go to the postal office if you don't want to as
- 4 long as they're in by close of business May 18.
- We're going to start here in just a moment
- 6 receiving comments from members of the public who have
- 7 signed up. What I would ask is when people are speaking
- 8 please be quiet. If you have separate conversations, you
- 9 want to conduct it in the hallways for that. We do have a
- 10 court reporter to my immediate left, and court reporter
- 11 Shaun will be taking down everything you say and has taken
- 12 down everything we've said so far. So there will be and is
- 13 a record of these proceedings this evening.
- I'm going to ask people to limit their comments to
- 15 four minutes and submit anything additional in writing. If
- 16 we end up having extra time, we will take additional
- 17 comments, but I want to make sure everyone that wants to
- 18 speak has a chance to speak. If by chance someone has just
- 19 spoken who you agree with, there is no need to repeat that
- 20 person's comments. You could simply tell Shaun and the
- 21 Council that you agree with Mr. Smith and Ms. Jones, and so
- 22 that would save you some time.
- I will be calling three witnesses at a time. We
- 24 have two chairs. Do we have two chairs? We have two
- 25 chairs. We're going to get another chair. We're going to

- 1 have three chairs. One chair is for the speaker. The other
- 2 two chairs are the ready mode, the ready chairs, and I'm
- 3 going to ask when you come forward to state your name, spell
- 4 your last name, give us your mailing address and your title
- 5 if appropriate and please speak as clearly as you can.
- 6 So we're now going to begin, and the Chair has
- 7 exercised his prerogative. I'm going to start with Paul
- 8 Pearce, the Skamania Board of County Commissioners and then
- 9 we have Tribal Representatives here from the Yakama Nation
- 10 and then we will move into the rest of the testimony or
- 11 comments of the others.
- 12 Mr. Pearce.
- 13 COMMENTS BY PAUL PEARCE
- 14 Thank you very much, Chairman Luce. Paul Pearce,
- 15 P-e-a-r-c-e, Skamania County Commission District 1, P.O. Box
- 16 790, Stevenson 98648. Thank you very much for this
- 17 opportunity to speak on behalf of the commission. I have
- 18 just a couple of things that I would like to run over very
- 19 quickly and then I'll turn in written notes as well. Thank
- 20 you very much.
- On the scenic area discussion we've heard and you
- 22 will as well that this application if permitted will be a
- 23 blight on the Columbia Gorge Scenic Area, visible from a
- 24 myriad of key viewing areas. What we believe is that
- 25 Congress clearly understood what they were doing when they

- 1 created the Scenic Area Act and drew a distinct border. In
- 2 the saving clause of the act they specifically said that
- 3 there were to be no protective measures or buffer zones
- 4 around the scenic area and went on to say I will quote: The
- 5 fact that activities or uses inconsistent with the
- 6 management directives for the scenic area or special
- 7 management areas can be seen or heard from these areas shall
- 8 not of itself preclude such activities or uses up to the
- 9 boundaries of the scenic area or special management area.
- 10 We often talk about the views in the Gorge as
- 11 either north or south but never east or west, and we mention
- 12 this because at the west end of the scenic area fully in
- 13 view from any number of key viewing areas sits the entire
- 14 town of Washougal and the smoke stacks of the Camas paper
- 15 mill. On the east end right on the boundary of the scenic
- 16 area is the Maryhill Winery & Amphitheatre and in the
- 17 distance a great many wind turbines.
- 18 We've found of late one of the new favorite
- 19 tactics of opponents is to take any piece of a project, no
- 20 matter how innocuous it may be, that lies within the scenic
- 21 area and push for a full project review both inside and
- 22 outside the area based on that innocuous piece. At this
- 23 very moment there's an attempt in Oregon to stop an
- industrial development in an urban area where such
- 25 developments are clearly permitted because of an upgrade to

- 1 the water line which happens to run through the scenic area.
- I want to take a moment to speak about the scenic
- 3 area permitting, although the Chair is fully aware of it. I
- 4 do so because for those who are not intimately involved it
- 5 can be a little deceiving. Most people believe that the
- 6 Gorge Commission manages permits in the scenic area. The
- 7 fact is that permitting is done by five of the six counties,
- 8 and those permits are based on adopted county ordinances.
- 9 And if a permit or decision is appealed, it is appealed
- 10 through our county hearing officer. Only when an appeal is
- 11 made of the hearing officer's decision does the Gorge
- 12 Commission sitting in an appellate role hear the case. What
- is disturbing to most of us comes after the Gorge Commission
- 14 issues a ruling. Due to the bistate nature of the Gorge
- 15 Commission appellants are free to file further objections in
- 16 the court of either state. What this means practically is
- 17 that many of these have been filed in Oregon courts no
- 18 matter if they happen to be Washington decisions having to
- 19 do with Washington property decisions due to the Oregon land
- 20 use model which many believe is more restrictive for private
- 21 property rights.
- The county supports this application, and we
- 23 support this process, all of this process, the entire
- 24 process of the EIS, the whole process. What we find
- 25 appalling is at some point an Oregon court could be making a

- 1 decision on this project. It causes the commission some
- 2 concern. So we simply say it is a permitting process that
- 3 the county has.
- 4 Finally on the economic discussion Skamania County
- 5 is about a million acres in size. The National Forest
- 6 covers 85 percent of that land mass. Additionally there are
- 7 80,000 acres within the scenic area. There are 60,000 acres
- 8 of State Forest Trust Land. All said the county has about
- 9 three percent of its lands available for development of any
- 10 kind from residential to industrial.
- 11 From 1970 through 1991, the National Forest
- 12 produced an average of 350 million board feet per year.
- 13 That resulted in about 10 million dollars revenue to the
- 14 county and schools in this county in today's dollars. The
- 15 State Forest Trust Land produced an average of 2 million
- 16 dollars for the county through the '80s and the early '90s.
- 17 Then the spotted owl was listed as an endangered species and
- 18 production shut down. During the '90s the federal
- 19 government gave us owl guarantee money on the basis that
- 20 once the owl recovered the logging and so on would continue.
- 21 By 2000 it was obvious that the country was taking
- 22 a different view of the National Forest production and
- 23 Congress passed Secure Rural Schools and Forest Counties Act
- 24 which paid us an amount equal to logging receipts and that
- 25 paid through 2006. Again, there was assumption that

- 1 receipts would increase, didn't occur. The act was
- 2 reauthorized with a yearly declining payment until 2011, at
- 3 which time the payment ends. Without this money the county
- 4 would have laid off half of our workforce and the schools
- 5 would have lost 40 percent of their funding within this
- 6 county. On the state front our first quarter payment for
- 7 our state timber trust receipts just received for the first
- 8 quarter of 2009 was one thousand dollars.
- 9 We spent a great deal of time working on
- 10 reauthorization efforts of Secure Rural Schools legislation.
- 11 I mention that because at the moment it is the lifeblood of
- 12 our county. Less than 17 percent of our total budget comes
- 13 from property tax. During the reauthorization fight we were
- 14 told repeatedly by senators, congressman, and the
- 15 administration, in fact both administrations, that rural
- 16 timber dependent counties need to transition their
- 17 economies. This Board of Commissioners has heard that loud
- 18 and clear, and we are working hard to make the transition
- 19 happen. We believe that renewable energy in all its many
- 20 forms is an extremely important part of that effort. Thank
- 21 you very much for your time and very much for your efforts.
- 22 CHAIR LUCE: We appreciate you coming here this
- 23 evening and making those remarks.
- MR. PEARCE: Thank you.
- 25 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you.

- 1 We have the next speaker here Mr. Sampson and then
- 2 after that Wilbur -- I'm sorry. I'm bad on pronunciation.
- 3 MR. SLOCKISH: Slockish.
- 4 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you. And Mr. Jackson after
- 5 that. So come forward and offer your comments and we
- 6 appreciate you being here this evening.
- 7 COMMENTS BY SIMON SAMPSON
- 8 Good evening, Members of the Washington Energy
- 9 Council. It gives me great pleasure and honor to be here
- 10 tonight and members of the Gorge community. My name is
- 11 Simon Lee Sampson. I'm a member of the Yakama Nation, alias
- 12 The Columbia River Warrior. I represent eight Columbia
- 13 River chiefs that are on this river, and for your
- information my cell number is 509-901-1885.
- 15 The Columbia River or the Gorge has been the
- 16 homeland of our people for many, many years, and as the
- 17 original landowners not only the caretakers of the Columbia
- 18 River and adjacent lands to the Columbia River has been our
- 19 homeland. And it gives me great pleasure to give my
- 20 testimony before you tonight as a positive testimony.
- I have been fortunate to visit the project called
- 22 Big Horn that's up in the Bickleton area and went up and the
- 23 administration did an on-site visit with a couple friends
- 24 that I have that have these wind machines on their property
- 25 and visually taken a look at what damage they may do

- 1 to our eagles or birds, and I was very impressed with that
- 2 project. The gentleman's house was no more than a hundred
- 3 yards and there was a wind machine there. And the sound and
- 4 everything there just wasn't, you couldn't hardly hear it.
- 5 Because, you know, it's that twirling of the wind
- 6 towers saying it's causing a lot of damage, but looking at
- 7 their project I didn't see that. It was very clean, sound
- 8 wind energy and there was no -- we don't see any effect that
- 9 would have on our people, our lands, and there's nothing
- 10 significant on the environment or the culture that I
- 11 witnessed there at this project site, and a greater distance
- 12 there was another wind project called White Creek. We
- didn't get an opportunity to visit that one, but I've also
- 14 had the opportunity to go up and visit Whistling Ridge
- 15 Energy and do an on-site visit there to see if it had any
- 16 affects on our culture and see where it was at. It didn't
- 17 have any particular significance also.
- 18 So there's brief testimony, and I'm proud to say
- 19 that I have two of my Columbia River Chiefs here tonight
- 20 that live in that area Underwood and Klickitat. So without
- 21 further adieu I would like to invite Mr. Wilbur Slockish up.
- 22 Thank you for your time.
- 23 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much, and if you have
- 24 additional comments that the Yakama Nation or members, bands
- of Yakama would like to submit for us to review you're more

- 1 than welcome to submit them.
- Next speaker.
- 3 COMMENTS BY WILBUR SLOCKISH, JR.
- 4 Good evening, everyone. I'm grateful for this
- 5 opportunity to speak to you because this is a first for our
- 6 people to be able to address issues that affect our quality
- 7 of life and our lands. When these dams went in we weren't
- 8 informed of them until they were starting to be built. They
- 9 affected our lifestyle. So I am grateful for that; that we
- 10 do have a chance to voice our opinion on something. And I
- 11 think it is very positive my opinion of this site because I
- 12 hear a lot of things about nuclear power being developed and
- 13 brought back by the Bush administration and talked about
- 14 still now, but they don't realize the impacts of the nuclear
- 15 industry. It's clean energy. Yeah, clean because you can't
- 16 see it. You can't see the effects of the radiation.
- 17 And this one here has seen no harm, no
- 18 contaminants, no noise pollution, no pollution of any in the
- 19 air or any other things, and if anybody disputes this I
- 20 would like them to go and take a look at the health effects
- 21 from the nuclear industry that's done to our people through
- 22 the cancers, through arthritis, and other things, diabetes
- 23 that our people have suffered because of the industrial
- 24 practices that have gone on unchecked here.
- So when you hear clean energy, remember it's not

- 1 clean because it's invisible, whether it's military waste or
- 2 commercial waste. It's still harmful for up to 240,000
- 3 years. There's no place to dispose of it and I see very
- 4 little contaminants within this area. I have been onto the
- 5 site, and there is no cultural aspects of any disturbing of
- 6 the area because of its very steep hillside. We would not
- 7 utilize it for anything that would culturally affect our
- 8 foods, our medicinal plants, or anything or our animals. So
- 9 I urge to you remember these things. When you hear clean
- 10 energy think of wind power. These dams aren't clean. They
- 11 kill a lot of animals and we had no voice in their placement
- 12 here.
- This is my homeland. I haven't been here just for
- 14 80 years or 100. I have been here, my family has been in
- 15 this area long before there was anybody came to visit here
- 16 on this trail or up the river. We would not allow our
- 17 ancestry to be contaminated with any other waste any longer.
- 18 So I urge you to remember this. Nothing is free. Our
- 19 people's health has suffered for all of these things that
- 20 have happened from this energy industry. Our salmon have
- 21 suffered because of BPA, this dam.
- 22 So remember these things. I urge you to remember
- 23 that especially about clean energy because there is no
- 24 contaminants coming out of any of these wind turbines. I
- 25 have also stood under them and listened and they don't spin

- 1 that fast where they said they're going to kill birds. They
- 2 don't spin that fast to harm anything, and it's just very
- 3 little noise that you hear from them. So I strongly support
- 4 this project for those reasons and remember this is clean
- 5 energy because it doesn't harm the air, it doesn't harm the
- 6 land, it doesn't harm our health. Thank you.
- 7 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much. Spell your name
- 8 and your address.
- 9 MR. SLOCKISH: Wilbur Slockish, Jr. I'm the
- 10 Klickitat Chief. My grandfather is one of the consignments
- of this federal document which gave up this land in this
- 12 area. My address is P.O. Box 84, Wishram, Washington 98673.
- 13 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much.
- Mr. Jackson, if you could come forward and spell
- 15 your full name and your mailing address and offer your
- 16 comments. Thank you for coming this evening
- 17 COMMENTS BY JOHNNY JACKSON
- 18 I'm here tonight to express my feelings about
- 19 what's going on and what I'm seeing in front of me. I'm the
- 20 chief in this area. I'm the Cascade Chief of the Columbia
- 21 River Tribes and Bands. I've lived on the Columbia River
- 22 all my life. I've been a fisherman all my life, and my
- 23 people are from this area as well as where I live.
- 24 Traditionally when something doesn't agree with
- 25 me, I will object to it or I will bring it to my people and

- 1 discuss it with them. And going into this area where this
- 2 project is going to be I made two trips up there and looked
- 3 it all over and I couldn't see wrong with where those towers
- 4 are going to be. I've heard a lot of talk about what
- 5 happens with wind towers and wind projects. I've been to a
- 6 lot of places in California at places where they've had
- 7 towers that where they spin fast, but the towers that I
- 8 visited in this area over in Dufur, around that area, Grass
- 9 Valley, and I looked at the ones that were on Fort Bickleton
- 10 and I went under them, and I stayed around there to see what
- 11 effects they would have on anybody and I couldn't hear them
- 12 wind towers. And I asked questions about them, and I didn't
- 13 see anything to where they were harmful in any way because
- 14 they explained to me how them wind towers are controlled;
- 15 that anything that goes in their direction would easily see
- 16 the blades turning because they don't turn that fast, but
- 17 they're geared to where they generate a lot of electricity
- 18 and they're controlled computerly.
- I've been all around these mountains, and I know
- 20 where a lot of our important cultural issues are, our foods
- 21 and plants out there, our medicines, and I watched this area
- 22 up there and looked at it, and I've also gone across the
- 23 river and looked up there and I don't think you can hardly
- 24 see it. And I can't see any possible way why these towers
- 25 cannot be put in this area to benefit the people of this

- 1 county and the people along this river.
- 2 You know, I've watched my people suffer along this
- 3 river for the past three years because what's happening to
- 4 the river, and I've watched that how much my people are
- 5 suffering over in Yakima Valley because there's a nuclear
- 6 facility right over the hill from where they live and I've
- 7 watched my people die from diseases and cancer and other
- 8 effects that's very harmful to them.
- 9 And I'm one that watches the news all the time.
- 10 I've gone into that because my dad used to do that, and I've
- 11 made studies on what is going on in our country. And I know
- 12 and I listen on what's being proposed for our country and
- what's proposed for our energy and power and hear they want
- 14 to restart the nuclear plants over again for electricity is
- 15 something that I don't want to see because I've traveled in
- 16 countries where I've seen kids suffer, little babies that
- 17 couldn't even grow to be not even 8 or 9 or 10 years old and
- 18 they're gone from the effects of what nuclear power can do
- 19 to people. I don't want to see that happen to my people
- 20 here.
- 21 All this talk about what I would be looking at if
- 22 I went along this river here and seen people in that
- 23 condition. I've looked at our water and I studied at one
- 24 time we used to drink out of that river. We don't do that
- 25 no more because of what's happening. The things that

- 1 happen, the projects that go on up river has never helped
- 2 us, and then when I'm looking at these wind towers and the
- 3 way they're modified and done today now-a-days and I know
- 4 how they're controlled and they're silent, and I know that
- 5 they don't create no kind of a disturbance to the people and
- 6 that's what I would like to see, something clean that don't
- 7 bring no effects to our people or the animals or anything.
- 8 And that area up there that I looked at there's
- 9 nothing close to it where it's going to affect anything.
- 10 You look at it today on the news that's all you hear.
- 11 Everybody is going for wind power and if we have enough of
- 12 that I believe that there can be a clean up on some of these
- here dams to where things could be controlled where we would
- 14 get a better environment and maybe we could do away with
- 15 these nuclear plants that they want to propose to be brought
- 16 back.
- 17 Some people can read and see on the news what
- 18 nuclear power does and what nuclear plants do and what waste
- 19 does, but they've never gone to a land like Arizona and
- 20 places down there that I have where I've witnessed and
- 21 looked at people and looked at their children and talked to
- 22 elders who can't move because they've been affected by
- 23 radiation and being around it and suffered from it. This is
- 24 what I don't want to see here.
- I strongly support wind power, the way they build

- 1 them today and the way they're controlled. And how would I
- 2 know that they're built that they're sensitive? Well, I
- 3 watched the program. I've studied it and I've went out
- 4 there for myself. I didn't just go home and hear somebody
- 5 talk about it and assume that they're noisy and there are a
- 6 lot of problems and that they're, yeah, going to kill birds
- 7 and stuff because a bird would have to be pretty dumb to go
- 8 and fly through a slow turning turbine. I've known that,
- 9 I've traveled up there to all these turbines just to witness
- 10 myself before I go and make any sense of judgment against
- 11 anything, and I think what I'm saying tonight is I so
- 12 strongly support this project because I'd like to see
- 13 something to where we could benefit and not go back to where
- 14 we were before.
- 15 This is my area, my homeland. The chiefs that
- 16 were in this territory here were my great grandfathers, and
- 17 I have people buried down here in North Bonneville and
- 18 Stevenson area. My father was from here and I'm one of the
- 19 chiefs along the Columbia River and I go along with anything
- 20 that we as chiefs go along with and talk about and decide.
- 21 We look at things very carefully before we decide what we're
- 22 going to do or how we're going to go against it or go for it
- 23 or allow it, and this is why we are chiefs and I thank you
- 24 for listening to me.
- 25 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much, Mr. Jackson. If

- 1 you have any other comments you would like to submit in
- 2 writing we will be appreciative of those, but your testimony
- 3 has been recorded and will be carefully considered by the
- 4 Council. Appreciate you coming this evening.
- 5 Mr. Dan Rawley, and after Mr. Rawley, Mr. Tom
- 6 Rousseau, and Peggy Bryan.
- 7 COMMENTS OF DAN RAWLEY
- I guess I'll be the first to voice my concern over
- 9 the project. My name is Dan Rawley. I live at 621
- 10 Kollack-Knapp Road, and that's in Underwood, Washington.
- I want to voice my concerns about the Whistling
- 12 Ridge Energy Project and the effect this does have on the
- 13 National Scenic Area, as well as the residents of Underwood.
- 14 As some may or may not have been able to travel throughout
- 15 the United States and the world, I did as a member of the
- 16 military, and I can say that there's very few areas that
- 17 rival the national beauty of the Gorge scenic area. And if
- 18 this project goes through, I feel like this is going to
- 19 forever change this area. Although this project is outside
- 20 the boundaries of the National Scenic Area, you would be
- 21 able to see these turbines from I-84, Hood River, and
- 22 throughout the National Scenic Area and that's going to
- 23 forever change the face of that.
- 24 And I really believe that this goes against the
- 25 spirit in which the NSA was created. I really hope that

- 1 this committee takes that into consideration because it's
- 2 going to affect your ability or you as well as your
- 3 children, as well as all the other individuals that come to
- 4 this area to view it. There's a reason why the National
- 5 Scenic Area was created because this area is absolutely
- 6 gorgeous. And I've lived all over and this is the area I
- 7 would like to spend the rest of my life, but I'm very
- 8 concerned about issues associated with the changes.
- 9 As far as from a personal standpoint, I know this
- 10 board does not really take into consideration the effects it
- 11 will have probably on the 20 families that live up there,
- 12 but I know this board is going to go up there and I believe
- 13 you're going to take a trip up to the area tomorrow; is that
- 14 correct?
- 15 And as you drive up there you will go up probably
- 16 Highway 14. You'll go up through Underwood Road and at
- 17 about three and a half miles you'll take a right on
- 18 Kollack-Knapp and go up to the junction of Kollack-Knapp and
- 19 Scoggins. And the reason why I tell you, outline this
- 20 because this is the area where the turbines will be brought
- 21 up. And as you do that, I want you to look over and you can
- look back at the road project map back there, and there's
- 23 four areas or four intersections that have to be widened and
- one of those has two round markers on that, and that's at
- 25 Kollack-Knapp and Scoggins.

- 1 Well, when you drive up there tomorrow, I want you
- 2 to take a look over to your right-hand side and there's a
- 3 house right there and that's mine. And I want you to think
- 4 about the effects or how you would feel because I know
- 5 that's going to have a huge impact on me probably more than
- 6 anybody, as well as the other individuals who would have to
- 7 live under those turbines.
- 8 So I appreciate you listening to my comments. I
- 9 hope you more from the standpoint of really look at what
- 10 effects this will have on the scenic gorge and the views
- 11 because I think it's going to also affect the amount of
- 12 people who come to this area as far as tourism. People do
- 13 not come to a scenic area to look at turbines.
- Now, I want it on record that I am for alternative
- 15 energy and wind turbines is one of the things that I would
- 16 support, and I think it is probably a right type of project,
- it's probably the right time, but it's in the wrong area.
- 18 CHAIR LUCE: Could you please if you didn't
- 19 already give us the spelling on your name.
- MR. RAWLEY: R-a-w-1-e-y.
- 21 CHAIR LUCE: And your address?
- MR. RAWLEY: 621 Kollack-Knapp Road, Underwood,
- 23 Washington.
- 24 CHAIR LUCE: Could you spell Kollack-Knapp.
- MR. RAWLEY: K-o-l-l-a-c-k-K-n-a-p-p.

- 1 CHAIR LUCE: Your friend behind you says you
- 2 passed the test. Thank you very much.
- 3 Mr. Rousseau, spell your name and address, please.
- 4 COMMENTS BY TOM ROUSSEAU
- 5 My name is Tom Rousseau, R-o-u-s-s-e-a-u. I live
- 6 at 4179 Willow Flat Road, Hood River, Oregon 97031.
- 7 I have some prepared comments and some ad lib
- 8 comments based on comments that have been made, but they're
- 9 all adding up to three points that I want to make and that
- 10 is the visual impact that it will have on the National
- 11 Scenic Area. Energy storage has not been addressed. That's
- 12 a big issue with wind power. And this is the wrong place.
- 13 It is always difficult when one finds one's self
- 14 trapped between two passions as I currently am. I have a
- 15 long-term passion for protecting and enjoying the beautiful
- 16 Columbia Gorge. It's a unique and spectacular scenery,
- 17 geological formations of many rare species of flowers and
- 18 wildlife.
- 19 I'm also passionate about trading our dependence
- 20 on fossil fuel energy sources for more readily renewable
- 21 sources derived more directly from the sun such as wind
- 22 power, hydroelectric power, and solar panels. So that is my
- 23 conundrum, conflicting passions. In such situations one
- 24 must keep a balance to choose the least worse solution, and
- 25 I conclude that the best balance is not to place wind

- 1 generation facilities within visual range of the gorge.
- 2 Wind generators should not be visual either from the river
- 3 level or from the many trails along the ridges and peaks of
- 4 the Gorge.
- 5 This solution is certainly well within the intent
- 6 and the spirit of the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Act.
- 7 Who could have predicted farms of 400-foot towers when the
- 8 NSA was created back in the '80s. The Northwest has plenty
- 9 of lower impact places in which to build wind generated farm
- 10 without adding further desecrate to the Gorge.
- 11 The photos back here don't adequately show the
- 12 impact of the proposed project. Many of the photos were
- taken with very poor lighting conditions and don't show how
- 14 the wind generators would be impacting the visual. So one
- of the recommendations is better visual that more accurately
- 16 show the impact. These pictures back here don't show the
- impact from the important viewing points such as Mount
- 18 Defiance, Dog Mountain, the Wagon Trail, my front yard, and
- 19 many, many other places affected.
- Wind generation energy is intermittent so the
- 21 project needs to effectively address the energy storage.
- 22 The generators are a good source of energy, but they're peak
- loading. There are times when you don't need those peaks so
- 24 you need to have some way of storing that energy, and that
- 25 really needs to be addressed before we proliferate more and

- 1 more wind farms.
- 2 Regarding Mr. Pearce's comments about existing
- 3 blight already and he cited Washougal and other places this
- 4 is not justification to continue to add more blight and
- 5 desecration, especially with 50 more towers that are 400
- 6 feet.
- We are living with poor decisions made over 50
- 8 years ago resulting in the Dalles Dam, the Bonneville Dam,
- 9 the loss of Celilo Falls, former spectacular salmon runs,
- 10 and sacred Indian fishing grounds. Let's not continue to
- 11 make those kinds of mistakes. This proposal is driven
- 12 primarily for official financial gains for a few individuals
- and not for the balanced perspective of what is best for the
- 14 region. You would not build a wind generator farm at
- 15 Yosemite, Mount Rainier, or along the rim of Crater Lake,
- 16 and in my opinion you should not be able to let them in or
- 17 near the Gorge.
- 18 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much.
- 19 Ms. Peggy Bryan, spell your name, give us your
- 20 address, who you represent, if you represent somebody.
- 21 COMMENTS BY PEGGY BRYAN
- 22 My name is Peggy Bryan. I am the Director of the
- 23 Skamania County Economic Development Council. P-e-g-g-y
- 24 B-r-y-a-n. I am located at Post Office Box 436 in
- 25 Stevenson. I am also a county resident.

- The Skamania County Economic Development Council supports the Whistling Ridge Wind Energy Project. Skamania
- 3 County recognizes its responsibility in developing clean
- 4 renewable energy projects such as wind to protect our
- 5 environment and meet the state's mandate for renewable
- 6 energy development standard. Geography and land use
- 7 restrictions limits Skamania County's ability to grow its
- 8 economy and develop renewable energy projects. The
- 9 Whistling Ridge Wind Energy Project is proposed for a site
- 10 that is privately owned and consistent within land use
- 11 requirements. It is located entirely outside of the
- 12 Colombia River Gorge National Scenic Area and there are no
- 13 development restrictions imposed on this site by that
- 14 legislation.
- 15 Since the Scenic Area was passed in 1986 the
- 16 federal government has purchased over 20,000 acres of
- 17 private land in Skamania County moving this land from the
- 18 county tax rolls to be set aside for scenic protection.
- 19 When the Scenic Area Act was passed in 1986 we were not
- 20 facing catastrophic conditions such as global warming,
- 21 little or no timber harvest, and a legal dependency on
- 22 foreign oil. Let me hear briefly what percentage of
- 23 Skamania County is land based and available for development,
- 24 and I know that Mr. Pierce also went over this because we
- 25 shared notes.

- 1 There is about 1,070,000 acres in the county, 2 80 percent of it which is 855,000 acres is given to the National Forest, eight percent or roughly 85,000 acres is in 3 4 the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area with development restrictions. Six percent or roughly 60,000 5 6 acres is in other states' forest lands, four percent or roughly 40,000 acres is in private forest commercial 7 8 resources land. This leaves only three percent or roughly 28,000 acres of the entire county's land base available for 9 residential, business, or commercial development. 10 11 figure includes the land that has already been developed. Historically Skamania County has relied on revenue from 12 timber harvest to provide necessary services to its 13 residents. The Secure Rural Schools as the county is 14 15 finding is declining annually and will end soon. Skamania 16 County must take advantage of equal opportunity it has to 17 grow its tax base and move toward fiscal sustainability. This project would have a huge economic impact on 18 19 Skamania County. During the 12 months of construction the 20 project would provide an average 143 full-time workers with an 18 million dollar payroll, approximately 13.2 million in 21
- operation, it will create nine new permanent jobs, employee

local purchases, another 107 new jobs in the area thanks to

the multiplier effects. During the project's 20 years of

spending of approximately \$900,000 per year, \$731,000 in

22

23

- 1 property taxes, and included in that allocation would be
- 2 \$185,000 to county schools, \$149,000 specifically to school
- 3 District 405, and \$115,000 to the county road fund and
- 4 \$111,000 to current expense fund and another \$200,000 per
- 5 year in indirect tax revenues to the state and local
- 6 governments would then be created.
- 7 Again, the Board of Directors of the Skamania
- 8 County Economic Development Council supports Whistling Ridge
- 9 Wind Energy Project not just because it is a sound economic
- 10 development opportunity, but it is also a responsible and
- 11 environmental protection opportunity using nature's
- 12 renewable resource wind to provide clean energy to citizens
- which will lessen our dependency on fossil fuel and reduce
- 14 our carbon footprint. Thank you.
- 15 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much.
- 16 Next we'll hear from Bob Wittenberg, the Skamania
- 17 County Utility District, and then after that Scott Pineo, a
- 18 resident of Skamania County.
- 19 COMMENTS BY BOB WITTENBERG
- Good evening. My name is Bob Wittenberg,
- 21 W-i-t-t-e-n-b-e-r-g. I live at 442 Ashley Drive, Underwood,
- 22 Washington 98651.
- 23 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you.
- MR. WITTENBERG: I'm the manager for Skamania
- 25 County PUD and I dropped off two documents. Attached on the

- 1 cover is a resolution adopted by our Commissioners in full
- 2 support of this project. The second page is an engineering
- 3 one-line diagram of some of the BPA systems that serves our
- 4 county. We are the only electric utility provider in
- 5 Skamania County, although our utility service is in the
- 6 southern end of the county where folks live. I'll stand up
- 7 so that the audience can see some of this. I'll be
- 8 referring to this diagram quite a bit. Can you all hear me
- 9 if I talk a little louder?
- 10 CHAIR LUCE: We can hear you.
- 11 MR. WITTENBERG: Our concern is reliability. The
- 12 back row here will see this. This is a one-line diagram of
- our system. Our source of power is Bonneville Dam, the old
- 14 powerhouse on the Oregon side. There are 215,000 old lines
- 15 across the river to the North Bonneville Substation, an
- 16 annex area and go west. They're known as Bonneville Alcoa
- 17 No. 1 and formally known as Alcoa Bonneville No. 2 now
- 18 Bonneville Camas. From that junction point to North
- 19 Bonneville is a single tap that goes east making up the
- 20 Stevenson substation, the Carson substation, the Underwood
- 21 substation, and terminating at a place called that Bald
- 22 Mountain substation in Klickitat County.
- 23 Klickitat County Bald Mountain Substation is also
- located very close to a powerhouse with Condit Dam on the
- 25 White Salmon River. The purpose of our concern for

- 1 reliability to the county is tied to Condit Dam and
- 2 Powerdale Dams on the Hood River. At the Bald Mountain
- 3 substation is a step-down transformer from 115,000 volts to
- 4 69 kV. Pacific Power and Light serves the Hood River area,
- 5 takes delivery from Bonneville to 115,000, steps it down to
- 6 69, and at 69 kV that serves the Hood River area down to the
- 7 Powerdale Station over through Bingen and to the Condit
- 8 Power Station and back to Bald Mountain. Powerdale ceased
- 9 operation I believe November of 2006. The water intake was
- 10 washed out by a big flood event on the Mount Hood Highway.
- 11 It's gone. That six megawatts of generation no longer can
- 12 support us. Condit Dam was scheduled to be removed
- originally at some earlier dates and then again October of
- 14 this year. Now that's pushed back no sooner than October of
- 15 2010. Our concern is I say it's reliability.
- 16 You've certainly heard of the Rock Creek slides
- 17 where parts of Stevenson are slowly coming down into the
- 18 creek and there's a great opportunity or likelihood of more
- 19 land coming into Rock Creek causing some problems. The land
- 20 is moving. Immediately to the west of that, on the west
- 21 side of Rock Creek an area near Ryan Allen Road is some more
- 22 land that is moving, and Bonneville towers, steel towers
- 23 both 230 kV and 115 kV that serve us are in that area.
- 24 Imagine what happens when a steel tower slides down the
- 25 hill. It's black in Skamania County. It's black in Rock

- 1 Creek Center. So that is a very scary likelihood to us and
- 2 now, well, we have this alternate feed from Hood River with
- 3 Powerdale Generation and Condit Generation and whoops
- 4 they're gone. We lost our back feed.
- 5 Then in February of I believe 2007, could have
- 6 been 2008 over at Augspurger Mountain which was shown
- 7 earlier, that's between Carson and Underwood, that again our
- 8 lifeline the 115 kV line laid on the ground for 14 days.
- 9 Bonneville couldn't even get to it for three days for ten
- 10 feet of snow. Now imagine that happening again and Condit
- 11 Dam is gone from generation, Powerdale is gone. We have no
- 12 back feed. It's black. We don't have water service either
- in Underwood because that's dependent on electricity. We
- 14 don't have water service on Carson if we lose that here. We
- 15 see this as a matter of health and human safety,
- 16 reliability.
- Now why is it tied to this project? On the
- 18 diagram that Mr. Spadaro produced they're proposing to build
- 19 a substation attached to 230 kV which is in a common
- 20 corridor to the 115 kV that supplies our county. So here's
- 21 the place where a substation is going to be built. It's
- 22 going to have a fence to ground mesh control house protected
- 23 relay where you tap the 230 line, all of those facilities,
- 24 all we need, and it will be a ring bus which is engineering
- 25 terms for a four-sided square, and three squares for the

- 1 breakers and projects and the fourth square is blank. They
- 2 don't have a use for it. We do. Put a breaker there, 230
- 3 kV to 115 kV transformer, and the line is on the other side
- 4 of the right of way, the same common corridor. It's a very
- 5 inexpensive way to provide the reliability for electric
- 6 service to the county and it's not just Skamania County.
- 7 I'll speak a little bit about Klickitat County.
- 8 They also have a 64 kV line from that Bald Mountain sub that
- 9 serves their Husum, B.Z. Corner, Trout Lake, and Glenwood
- 10 areas. I think also it comes down into Bingen. So that's
- 11 our whole issue. Customer service reliability, health and
- 12 safety. Thank you.
- 13 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much.
- Scott, can you spell your last name and give your
- 15 address and your testimony.
- 16 TESTIMONY OF SCOTT PINEO
- 17 Scott Pineo. My address 5302 Wind River Road,
- 18 Carson, Washington.
- I'm speaking today as a resident, although I'm
- 20 also a member of the Stevenson Carson School Board and the
- 21 director of facilities for Skamania County.
- I've lived in the county for 16 years, been on the
- 23 school board for eight years. In my history in the 1970s, I
- 24 was involved in an 8 kW wind generation project in a small
- 25 park in Northern California at the north end of Sea Ranch

- 1 which was a very restrictive private 12 miles of pristine
- 2 coastline, and I think that's when I learned that wind power
- 3 and the environment fit together very nicely.
- It wasn't until about ten years later that I moved
- 5 to the San Francisco Bay area as a watershed manager for the
- 6 East Bay Municipal Utility District, and part of my route
- 7 was to travel over the Livermore area or Altamonte Pass, and
- 8 I got to watch the wind generation facilities be installed
- 9 along Altamonte Pass. And then most recently -- for the
- 10 most part actually for the last five years I haven't driven
- 11 to Spokane. I prefer to fly there whenever I go there. I
- 12 had the opportunity to drive to Spokane, and I have to admit
- 13 that I was excited to see the new scenery that cropped up
- 14 there in the last five years. It's really exciting to me.
- 15 My point being that wind generation can be
- 16 aesthetically pleasing, can be beautiful. It has symmetry.
- 17 It has motion. It's truly an art form, and wind power
- 18 exists in the environment just like other production means.
- 19 You can't condition farm country without barns and
- 20 irrigation equipment. River systems have responsible hydro
- 21 dams and supply water and power to our cities, and you have
- 22 to have harvesters when you're in wheat country. If you
- 23 have production you have things that aren't entirely native
- 24 to the landscape.
- Our economy in Skamania County is dependent on

- 1 some kind of production. We had that with the timber
- 2 production we had. We've lost that. As was stated by other
- 3 speakers 90 percent of our county is tied up entirely and
- 4 can't be any kind of production. The other ten percent or
- 5 the other seven percent is tied up to primarily private
- 6 timber production leaving us three percent. We need an
- 7 industry. Wind is clean, safe, environmentally friendly,
- 8 and responsible. We can't have an economy without some kind
- 9 of production source of some sort.
- I've been to Washington, D.C., six times for the
- 11 school district in an effort to pass the Secure Rural
- 12 Schools. That's a tough way to go without some kind of
- 13 production because as Paul Pearce mentioned earlier each
- 14 time you go to Congress they say basically when are you
- 15 going to get a job? When are you going to find some
- 16 production? I think that this project is that production.
- 17 It's welcomed, it's needed, and it's appropriate for this
- 18 county. Thank you.
- 19 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you.
- 20 Peter Cornelison.
- 21 COMMENTS BY PETER CORNELISON
- 22 My name is Peter Cornelison, spelled
- 23 C-o-r-n-e-l-i-s-o-n. I live at 1003 5th Street, Hood River,
- 24 Oregon 97031, and I'm speaking tonight as an individual.
- I would ask EFSEC to consider in their scoping

- 1 requirements to consider the impacts on the City of Hood
- 2 River with regards to in particular tourism, night sky
- 3 issues, real estate issues. There's quite a discrepancy
- 4 about the beauty or the ugliness of wind turbines. It's
- 5 hard to reconcile that, but to me some mechanistic rotating
- 6 turbine played with flashing lights is not a beautiful
- 7 thing.
- 8 I'm also concerned that the images that were shown
- 9 in the book in the back don't fairly represent the impacts
- 10 because there's cloud banks in a number of them and the
- 11 clouds match the color of the turbine towers. They also
- don't fairly represent the motion which will catch your eye,
- 13 and finally I would say that an additional 30 turbines have
- 14 been proposed that are not included within this application
- 15 and to me it would make sense to consider all of them at
- 16 once. That's it. Thank you.
- 17 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much. We appreciate
- 18 that.
- 19 Mr. Perkins, Raymond Perkins. After Mr. Perkins,
- 20 Ann Lueders, L-u-e-d-e-r-s and Mary Repar.
- 21 COMMENTS BY RAYMOND PERKINS
- 22 My name is Raymond Perkins, P-e-r-k-i-n-s. I live
- 23 at 171 Cloverdale Avenue, Carson.
- 24 Thank you for letting me speak tonight. My
- 25 feeling is wind energy is a domestic rival, an energy source

- 1 that provides more jobs per dollar invested into any other
- 2 technology, more than five times that from coal and nuclear
- 3 power. Renewable energy project estimates for every
- 4 megawatt of installed wind capacity creates 4.8 job years of
- 5 employment both direct and indirect; meaning that a
- 6 50-megawatt project produces 240 job years of employment.
- 7 Because of the environmental and political
- 8 restrictions logging would be our main source in Skamania
- 9 County. Again, we must open our minds to 21st century
- 10 technology. Global warming believe in it or not, pumping
- 11 millions of ton poisonous gases in our atmosphere every hour
- 12 is a bad idea. I think we all can agree on this that it
- 13 will affect the beauty of this area more than anything else.
- 14 In the current recession energy consumption is down but the
- 15 economy will rebound. We need to build for that and for the
- 16 future. Electric cars are coming. Much better batteries
- 17 will be available the next two years. The price of cars are
- 18 coming down. The performance will be going up. Charging
- 19 these cars with non-green energy does not make sense.
- 20 Energy is our biggest national security threat.
- 21 Diversifying our domestic forces is essential. Wind power
- 22 technology has evolved with newer designs, spin slower,
- 23 quieter. They're higher off the ground, therefore have less
- 24 impact on wildlife. Millions of tons of pollutants,
- 25 pollutants in the atmosphere have much more impact on

- 1 wildlife than the environment. And soon as soon as wind and
- 2 solar are affordable for homes we plan on installing them on
- 3 our property as well.
- 4 This is a 20-year project. It would not be
- 5 forever, and as well as these things once they outlive their
- 6 usefulness they can be dismantled and recycled and just
- 7 basically go away. And from 84, I would imagine driving 70
- 8 miles an hour down 84 it would be quite challenging to see
- 9 these windmills in operation. Half the time it's windy,
- 10 cold, cloudy, rainy up there as well. So that's how I feel.
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much.
- Next, we're going to have Ann Lueders, please, and
- 14 after Ann has completed her testimony we're going to take
- 15 about a not to exceed and I do mean not to exceed ten-minute
- 16 rest break.
- 17 So Ann.
- 18 COMMENTS OF ANN LUEDERS
- 19 My name is Ann Lueders. I live at 11271 Wind
- 20 River Road in Carson, Washington. I'm a resident, a
- 21 lifelong resident in Skamania County. I wrote my comments
- 22 down because I sometimes get a little long winded.
- 23 An accomplished publisher once said an ounce of
- 24 hypocrisy is worth a pound of ambition. Unfortunate for
- 25 Skamania County one of the organizations that is most loudly

- 1 opposing the Whistling Ridge proposal is full of hypocrites
- 2 which leads to endless amounts of ambition. Meanwhile, the
- 3 residents who are in support of economic growth, sustainable
- 4 energy, and private property rights are unable to conquer
- 5 the mass lobbying and legal land mines that are thrown out
- 6 by SOSA, Friends of the Gorge, and other similar
- 7 organizations.
- 8 CHAIR LUCE: The only thing I would ask, we
- 9 appreciate your comments but let's try and keep it as a
- 10 personal as possible, but I understand your point of view
- 11 and please give it to the record.
- MS. LUEDERS: I can do that. The majority of the
- 13 land in Skamania County is owned or controlled via Scenic
- 14 Area legislation by the federal and the state governments.
- 15 Of remaining property that is privately owned three percent
- 16 or less is available for development. Many of these
- developable properties are owned by families generations
- 18 long who have strived to keep up with ever changing rules
- 19 and regulations that are imposed upon their private property
- 20 rights. They have gone from managing what was once solid
- 21 logging land to thinning, to now a push for more green or
- 22 tourist type use, all the while fighting the rules and
- 23 regulations that are imposed upon them.
- Skamania County, the State of Washington, and the
- 25 federal government have a responsibility to pass the

- 1 lobbying of radical environmental groups whose sole intent
- 2 is to prohibit any type of development. They have a
- 3 responsibility to zone and allow use that protects private
- 4 property rights in the best interest of all their
- 5 constituents, not just the handful that are chanting not in
- 6 my back yard.
- 7 I encourage EFSEC, Skamania County, and the other
- 8 officials and agencies to support the efforts of SDS and
- 9 other private property owners who may wish in the future to
- 10 find a way to keep their land working for them. I look
- 11 forward to the day that this wind farm goes in.
- 12 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much. We're going to
- 13 take a break now. When we come back we will start with Mary
- 14 Rebar, and I will just say this to the members who are here
- 15 tonight of the public. Don't talk to us. We'd love to talk
- 16 to you, but we're now acting as judges in this proceeding,
- 17 and so if you have questions staff is available. Allen just
- 18 raised his hand. Tammy is over there at the desk. But
- 19 Council members are prohibited from speaking to members of
- 20 the public and I'm sure you will understand. So thank you
- 21 very much. Ten minutes. That's ten after eight, and we'll
- 22 start on time. We will take a short recess.
- 23 (Recess taken from 8:00 p.m. to 8:10 p.m.)
- 24 CHAIR LUCE: We are back in session.
- 25 State your name and give us your address and put

- 1 your glasses on like the rest of us.
- 2 COMMENTS BY MARY REPAR
- 3 My name is Mary Repar, R-e-p-a-r, and I live at
- 4 6971 East Loop, No. 2, Stevenson, Washington.
- 5 Can you hear me now?
- 6 Thank you. Good evening. Thank you very much for
- 7 this opportunity to speak to you. You don't know how much
- 8 this means to me because from now on I won't have to listen
- 9 to our commissioners say that they're the best stewards of
- 10 the land and that we know what we're doing here in Skamania
- 11 County; that we don't need an outsider to tell us what to
- 12 do. So you as an outside group coming in to tell us how
- we're going to do land use in Skamania County is something
- 14 new for us and I won't have to listen to it anymore.
- 15 Thanks.
- 16 CHAIR LUCE: Just for the record, we're not going
- 17 to tell anyone. We're listening to you tonight.
- MS. REPAR: Yes.
- 19 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you.
- MS. REPAR: There was some draft that they said
- 21 was an ordinance which is just a cover for mastering
- 22 industrialization in Skamania County and didn't pass here.
- 23 It couldn't pass the test of judicial review. We have a
- 24 hearing examiner's hearing on it. The draft ordinance it
- 25 went to the commissioners and they couldn't deal with it so

- 1 they passed the buck and Mr. Spadaro on to you.
- 2 And I'm sorry for you, but I don't wish you good
- 3 luck. They are supporting this end around the public
- 4 process and the common citizens, and I don't think that's
- 5 right. I think they need to take better care of our
- 6 environment.
- 7 Briefly as part of my comments I have this packet.
- 8 I would like to introduce it into the record. I have my
- 9 supporting documentation. I will be making other comments
- 10 also. But one of the things that I would like you all to
- 11 really, really consider is the cumulative impacts and effect
- 12 and that will determine the efficacy of the proposed wind
- 13 project. I don't wish to see long-term environmental
- degradation in return for 20 to 30 years of short-term
- 15 energy production. We must use the precautionary principle
- 16 and first do no harm. Better to be safe now than sorry
- 17 later.
- 18 As Chief Slockish said nothing is free. Wind
- 19 energy isn't free and there is a cost, and the cost is
- 20 environmental and public health and welfare, especially to
- 21 the environment.
- To that end a thorough and complete environmental
- 23 impact statement should be on the entire project area,
- 24 geographic area so that we can all see and analyze the data
- 25 and make good sound decisions. I would urge you all to

- 1 coordinate with the Vital Indicator Project for the Gorge
- 2 Commission, and if there's any studies to be done for the
- 3 EIS they're in the middle of doing the Vital Indicators
- 4 Project. They are always looking for money and agency help
- 5 in doing it and perhaps there we can get good data to figure
- 6 out cumulative impacts of wind turbines on the environment,
- 7 another cumulative impact. Vital Indicators Project is 53
- 8 indicators.
- I am extremely concerned about this project mainly
- 10 because I don't think that we're in the right place, at the
- 11 right time, and I don't think it's being done properly.
- 12 We're being left out of the process, the citizens, and we
- 13 can't unelect you in the process. I would like you all to
- 14 really concentrate on the cumulative impacts. I believe
- 15 that cumulative impacts are extremely important and what I
- 16 didn't see in the federal register for BPA's portion of
- 17 this, and assuming that I can make comments on BPA portion
- 18 tonight, was cumulative impacts. It's not considered in
- 19 their -- they have visual issues, noise level impacts,
- 20 cultural sources, socioeconomic ramifications, affects on
- 21 rare plant and animal species, impact on wildlife, including
- 22 migratory birds, bat, but what's not included is no human
- 23 public or no human health and public welfare or cumulative
- 24 impacts. I think those do need to be included.
- In my packet here I included the hearing

- 1 examiner's findings of fact, and there was a lot of
- 2 testimony given from expert witnesses. I'm sure you will be
- 3 hearing from them in the future.
- 4 Secondly, when I talk about cumulative impacts and
- 5 geographic area this was the map that I was looking at. It
- 6 is a location of proposed Whistling Ridge Energy Project,
- 7 and it straddles Klickitat County and Skamania County. It
- 8 comes from the Bonneville Power Administration April 17,
- 9 2009. So somebody's got to get their site map fixed, and
- 10 really to me it doesn't matter if this is Klickitat or
- 11 Skamania County. This project itself will have cumulative
- 12 impacts on the Gorge.
- 13 And one other I didn't say. When I talk about
- 14 cumulative impacts, I'm talking that I would like you all to
- 15 do a cumulative impact study of all the wind projects in the
- 16 Gorge and how they are impacted in our scenic, natural,
- 17 cultural, economic, and recreational resources. Thank you.
- 18 And those are then called the SNECRs in the National Scenic
- 19 Area Act
- Yes, we have a tough time here economically, but
- 21 it doesn't mean that we have to subsume our health and our
- 22 environment to subsidizing a corporation so that it can make
- 23 money and use our environment while it's doing it.
- 24 Corporations are creatures of the economic wind. If the
- 25 winds go that way, they go that way. If they go this way,

- 1 they go this way. Our commissioners would have done better
- 2 to propone for individual energy independence and we could
- 3 go on about that. But thank you very much. Again,
- 4 cumulative impacts and I'll be making more comments.
- 5 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you. And you can submit those
- 6 documents if you want them included in the record to
- 7 Ms. Talburt. I think that would be the best way to do it.
- 8 Kate McCarthy, followed by Teresa Robbins, and
- 9 Keith Brown.
- 10 Kate, if you can spell your name, your last name,
- 11 your address, we'd appreciate it.
- 12 COMMENTS BY KATE McCARTHY
- My name is Kate McCarthy, and I live in the Hood
- 14 River Valley at 909 Cooper Spur Road. That is all you need
- 15 to hear, isn't it, about where I live?
- 16 CHAIR LUCE: Is that your address?
- MS. McCARTHY: What?
- 18 CHAIR LUCE: Your address.
- MS. McCARTHY: 9095 Cooper Spur Road.
- 20 CHAIR LUCE: You got it.
- MS. McCARTHY: Yeah, Parkdale, Oregon.
- 22 CHAIR LUCE: Tell us what's on your mind.
- MS. McCARTHY: I didn't prepare a speech tonight.
- 24 I came to learn more about this process and what was
- 25 expected, but I would like to say that I am very concerned

- 1 about the project. I think the Gorge is a treasure that
- 2 belongs to everybody. I think it's priceless, and I have to
- 3 admit that I don't look forward to between 50 and 100 wind
- 4 turbines sticking up 400 feet along the ridges, especially
- 5 with their blinking red lights that you see day and night,
- 6 and we look at it. From Hood River we look towards this
- 7 great development. You look away from it over there where
- 8 you live there, and it's going to have a tremendous impact
- 9 on the visual quality of the Gorge for all time.
- 10 And I'm a believer in wind power. I think wind
- 11 power is part of our future, but it's location, location,
- 12 location, and I think this is not a responsible location to
- ignore the Gorge which is a scenic treasure that belongs to
- 14 everybody. And I know there are acres, hundreds of acres,
- 15 thousands of acres in Eastern Oregon and Eastern Washington
- 16 where it's much more appropriate than in our treasure at the
- 17 Gorge. So I hope that you will consider this and I will be
- 18 following the process and may contribute more precise
- 19 testimony as time goes on. I understood that you are having
- 20 a tour of the area tomorrow; is that right?
- 21 CHAIR LUCE: We are having a tour. I believe it's
- 22 10:00 a.m.
- MS. McCARTHY: I would be very pleased to go on
- 24 such a tour.
- 25 CHAIR LUCE: I think Mr. Fiksdal is the person you

- 1 should speak to afterwards. Thank you very much, appreciate
- 2 it.
- 3 Teresa Robbins, spell your name, please, and your
- 4 address and offer whatever remarks you care to offer.
- 5 COMMENTS BY TERESA ROBBINS
- 6 I'm Teresa Robbins, R-o-b-i-n-s, 211 Malfait
- 7 Tracts Road, Washougal 98671.
- 8 Thank you for this opportunity. We have three
- 9 issues we wish to make sure are directly addressed within
- 10 the scope of the EIS. First, we have a deep concern about
- 11 the inappropriate siting of industrial wind turbines in
- 12 rural residential areas. Specifically we hope you will
- 13 ensure that such siting not take away residents' rights to
- 14 enjoy the peace and tranquility of their home site nor more
- importantly negatively impact their health and well being.
- 16 A number of us have been working diligently hoping
- 17 to educate and inspire local government officials to
- 18 consider adopting appropriate noise/vibration limitations
- 19 and setbacks in the interest of preventing what would surely
- 20 become a significant problem.
- We've done a fair amount of research and have
- 22 found the How-to Guide to Siting Wind Turbines to Prevent
- 23 Health Risks From Sound, authors George W. Cammerman and
- 24 Richard R. James 2008 to be extremely useful. They provide
- 25 the ecological and scientific sound approach which will

- 1 minimize the likelihood detrimental impact when industrial
- 2 wind turbines are to be sited near people's homes. As you
- 3 are undoubtedly aware the proposed Title 21 zoning draft for
- 4 Skamania County was recently appealed, and the hearing
- 5 examiner issued a decision requiring the county to conduct
- 6 an EIS. Quoting from page 22 of the findings, conclusions,
- 7 and decision of the hearing examiner: Washington noise
- 8 standards are based upon land use classification of both the
- 9 noise and the noise receiver...
- 10 Mr. Richard James, an acoustical engineer,
- 11 provided critical testimony that wind turbines generate a
- 12 type of noise that is not adequately measured by the dBA
- 13 scale used in the Washington standards. The dBA scale is
- 14 designed to detect noise as audible to humans. Wind
- 15 turbines generate low-frequency noise, 20 hertz or lower,
- 16 that might cause the body to resonate even if it is not
- 17 audible. Such effects are measurable on
- 18 the C-weighted scale, end quote. Another quote, Mr. James
- 19 recommended a minimum distance of 1.2 miles between turbines
- 20 and residences based on health effects research conducted by
- 21 Dr. Nina Pierpont, end quote.
- We strongly feel that half-mile setbacks is
- insufficient, especially in areas with canyons, bowls, and
- 24 mountains as the terrain which contain, amplify, and
- 25 transmit the sounds from the wind turbines greater distances

- 1 than in typically used flatter terrain. The simplistic
- 2 sound modeling using but two variables, output and distance,
- 3 is insufficient in determining likely impact. We request
- 4 that potential noise dBA and low frequency dBC impacts be
- 5 thoroughly investigated through baseline measurements and
- 6 computer simulations of worst-case conditions for producing
- 7 sound emissions such as recommended by Cammerman and James.
- 8 This would include ambient sound monitoring all residential
- 9 properties within and up to a mile of the project property
- 10 boundary. A sophisticated laser technology --
- 11 CHAIR LUCE: Is this in the record?
- MS. McCARTHY: Yes, we have all kinds of links and
- information that I will put out.
- 14 CHAIR LUCE: Do you have a copy of the hearing
- 15 examiner's order that you're reading from?
- 16 MS. McCARTHY: The other witness had it. It's in
- 17 your record.
- 18 CHAIR LUCE: All right. We will carefully
- 19 consider all of the information we receive.
- 20 MS. McCARTHY: Okay. Where was I? A
- 21 sophisticated laser technology and highly reputable sound
- 22 propagation model should utilize computer simulations. An
- 23 independent qualified acoustical consultant that's an
- 24 unbiased third party with no financial or other connection
- 25 to SDS or related companies should perform all sound

- 1 monitoring simulation and projections. With great earnest
- 2 we encourage this be done prior to making decisions
- 3 regarding appropriate setbacks. Further, if industrial wind
- 4 turbines are as quiet as what's presented setting enhanced
- 5 noise standards should provide no difficulty for developers
- 6 to meet and yet would make a strong statement illustrating
- 7 the state's commitment to safeguarding the health of its
- 8 citizens. The how-to guide referenced above clearly
- 9 articulates how to go about setting such standards. Simple
- 10 reliance on the Washington State Environmental Noise Levels
- 11 Chapter 173-60 WAC is not enough. The acoustical expert's
- 12 approach is to locate a wind turbine so as to not increase
- 13 preconstruction/operation background sound levels by more
- 14 than 5 dBA along the property lines of receiving
- 15 nonparticipating property, and such that it would not exceed
- 16 30 dBA within a hundred feet of any occupied structure.
- 17 Additionally we refer you to a low frequency
- 18 sound, and this is also depicted on page 17. That's all
- 19 kept in here. We strongly represent that EFSEC become fully
- 20 informed of the growing documented potential health risks
- 21 from sound. There's an attached deputation by Dr. Robert
- 22 Labertry from April of 2009, as well as the approach
- 23 suggested in this how-to guide by inviting acoustical expert
- 24 Cammerman and James to present to EFSEC and this community
- 25 their approach to siting wind turbines in a manner of

- 1 proving health risks. This should be completed as part of
- 2 the EIS.
- Also for your consideration we've attached a news
- 4 release dated March 4, 2009 from the medical staff at the
- 5 Northern Maine Medical Center regarding health concerns and
- 6 the need for careful siting of wind turbines. You've heard
- 7 me reference you to the book and work of New York Physician
- 8 Nina Pierpont, M.D., Ph.D., regarding wind turbine syndrome.
- 9 And I must be at four minutes.
- 10 CHAIR LUCE: That's a possibility.
- MS. McCARTHY: My husband will be happy to read
- 12 you the remainder.
- 13 CHAIR LUCE: You can keep going, but if you do
- 14 have something to submit for the record just remember we
- 15 will read everything you submit.
- 16 MS. McCARTHY: Okay. Our secondary area of
- 17 concern relates to protecting the incredible scenic beauty
- 18 of the Columbia River Gorge. This area is a local and
- 19 national treasure and we feel that the Whistling Ridge as
- 20 proposed could have a profoundly detrimental effect on the
- 21 truly unique and exceptional scenic and recreational
- 22 resources wisely preserved and protected for the enjoyment
- 23 of all through Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area Act.
- 426-foot tall wind turbines lining the scenic area
- 25 would surely denigrate the scenic experience and we feel

- 1 certain was not remotely foreseeing when determining the
- 2 scenic area boundaries; thus, it would undermine the intent
- 3 of the act. As clearly articulated in the above hearing
- 4 examiner's quote, Landscape aesthetics have measurable
- 5 objective standards, it is possible to map aesthetically
- 6 sensitive areas and use such information when making zoning
- 7 decisions. Quote: 415-tall wind turbines in the southeast
- 8 portion of Skamania County but outside the National Scenic
- 9 Area would be visible to a six-foot tall observer from
- 10 Cook-Underwood Road within the National Scenic Area and from
- 11 Interstate 84.
- 12 Again quote: A view shed analysis was prepared
- 13 specifically for the Saddleback Project. The turbines would
- 14 be visible for several miles and would be particularly
- 15 visible to areas to the west and north of the project and
- 16 from the south side of the Columbia River Gorge.
- We ask that the scope of the EIS include a
- 18 thorough assessment of the aesthetic impact of the proposed
- 19 placement of wind turbines within the Whistling Ridge
- 20 Project so that appropriate mitigation measures in said
- 21 places can be required.
- 22 Thirdly, the scope of the EIS for the Whistling
- 23 Ridge Project must necessarily perceive the requirements
- including considering SDS's proposed lease of four common
- 25 school trust parcels on adjacent DNR land in western

- 1 Klickitat County. This would be essentially an extension of
- 2 the company's proposed Whistling Ridge Project, formally
- 3 known as Saddleback. Therefore leasing of the school trust
- 4 parcels would essentially create one large project with 92
- 5 proposed turbines. The EFSEC EIS scope and analysis need to
- 6 consider the impact of the entire project fully built out
- 7 with wind turbines as opposed to considering individual
- 8 parts more favored separately. As DNR indicated on page 13
- 9 of the checklist of the trust lands, quote: The entire area
- 10 of this proposal is environmentally sensitive, unquote.
- 11 Portions of the proposed leased land are designated as one
- 12 of those spotted owl conservation areas. Additionally,
- 13 SDS's application indicates other sensitive species such as
- 14 western gray squirrel and Northern Goshawk both have a
- 15 potential to occur within the project site, unquote.
- This proposed project is reportedly the first of
- 17 its kind in forested habitat in Washington. This begs to me
- 18 for intelligent planning, caution, and due consideration
- 19 given the potential and profound impact on watersheds,
- 20 wildfire risks, bats, and Indian species, mammals, and
- 21 humans.
- Our dream is that Washington State can and will
- 23 become a leader for the nation in the development of wind
- 24 energy that is in harmony with the environment and the
- 25 health and quality of life of all its residents. Thank you

- 1 so much.
- 2 CHAIR LUCE: Do you have those comments to submit
- 3 for the record, please? The testimony you just read as
- 4 well. Thank you.
- 5 Keith Brown.
- 6 MR. BROWN: She covered me.
- 7 CHAIR LUCE: Oh, she got you. Okay. And I'm
- 8 going to really -- Sally? I'm sorry. Your handwriting
- 9 looks like mine.
- 10 MS. TUCKER JAMES: It's bad, isn't it?
- 11 CHAIR LUCE: Well, it's not bad. It just looks
- 12 like mine.
- 13 MS. TUCKER JONES: Sallie Tucker Jones.
- 14 CHAIR LUCE: If you could spell your name and give
- 15 us your address, please.
- 16 COMMENTS BY SALLIE TUCKER JAMES
- 17 S-a-l-l-i-e Tucker T-u-c-k-e-r Jones, and I live
- 18 at 882 Tuna Narrow, Washougal, Washington. I'm a resident
- 19 of Skamania County.
- 20 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you.
- 21 MS. TUCKER JONES: I think just a short thing.
- 22 People have addressed a lot of my concerns already,
- 23 including the aesthetic issues. I'm really concerned that
- 24 the siting will affect residents. I know there is a growing
- 25 body of information that indicates that there may be harmful

- 1 effects from low frequency noise that's generated by the
- 2 wind turbines. I think the gentleman that brought up the
- 3 issues of widening the roads in order to accommodate
- 4 delivery of these materials that will be required is a very
- 5 legitimate one. It can be guite a difference to a few
- 6 people, and I think it doesn't matter if it's a few or a
- 7 lot. I think that's really a legitimate concern if people
- 8 are going to be impacted, that their property is going to be
- 9 impacted or loss of their yard or whatever that might be.
- I don't know specifically, I may be wrong on this,
- 11 but the Columbia River flyway is a big area of bird
- 12 migration and bat migration as well, and I know that hawks
- and other migrating birds use ridges and the wind generated
- 14 there to travel. And I think that's a concern for me and
- 15 the fact that SDS is collecting the data on the bird and bat
- 16 issue is in question. I don't think they should be the ones
- 17 to collect that data. You will analyze it, of course, but I
- 18 would think that the Fish and Wildlife Service or other
- 19 appropriate agencies that would be able to do studies in an
- 20 unbiased way would be more appropriate people to do those
- 21 kinds of studies.
- I think the issue of reliability was mentioned
- 23 earlier and that bothers me a little bit. Even through wind
- 24 turbines can be or the generating capability can be put into
- 25 the power grid, it can't be stored and wind power is

- 1 certainly reliable on the presence of the wind. So in that
- 2 sense it's not as reliable as one might think. If the wind
- 3 isn't blowing you're not generating any power to do anything
- 4 with, and then there may be circumstances when the winds are
- 5 too heavy. I don't really know the specifics on that
- 6 particular area. I'm sure you have the data on that
- 7 already, but if there is too much wind the blades will have
- 8 to be feathered and they won't be generating anything
- 9 either. My understanding also on maintenance that I don't
- 10 know what arrangements have been made, but I think a
- 11 maintenance crew has to actually come to the site if there
- 12 aren't people available there all the time to actually
- 13 perform that maneuver. So people would have to come and do
- 14 that
- 15 Let's see. I quess the only other thing I had
- 16 that people haven't addressed was the fact that on the back
- 17 board it's mentioned that it will cost \$150,000,000 to
- 18 complete this project, and that seems like an awful lot of
- 19 money for a 20- to 30-year lifespan of particular projects.
- 20 So it's just something to think about in that respect, and I
- 21 think that covers it. Everybody else covered everything
- 22 else that I wanted to say. Thank you
- 23 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much. Appreciate you
- 24 coming here.
- 25 Paul Smith, followed by Dan Morby, followed by

- 1 Jill Barker.
- 2 COMMENTS BY PAUL SMITH
- 3 Hello, my name is Paul Smith, and I live at 1482
- 4 Mabee Mines Road in the west end of Skamania County.
- 5 Like Sallie had mentioned I have several items
- 6 that some of the people have touched on already. I
- 7 apologize for any redundancy, but I think I can whip through
- 8 this within my four minutes or less. So I'd like to think
- 9 that I'm not as young as I used to be so I'm going to take
- 10 my glasses off.
- 11 Thank you for the opportunity to express my
- 12 thoughts and concerns which I would like to have entered
- 13 into the public record and addressed directly within this
- 14 scope for this proposed Whistling Ridge Energy Project. I
- 15 have eight concerns here. I've added some text to this so
- 16 should I add that? Should I give this to you at a later
- 17 date since it's not exactly the same as the printouts that I
- 18 had?
- 19 CHAIR LUCE: If you give it to Tammy, everything
- 20 can go into the record. So you can either choose to give it
- 21 to us now with your text added or you can retype it and send
- 22 it into Tammy by May 18.
- MR. SMITH: Okay.
- 24 CHAIR LUCE: So either way.
- MR. SMITH: Okay. Fair enough. Thanks.

1 Number one is this large scale wind turbine 2 project located within Washington State designated spotted owl species emphasis areas sandwiched between the National 3 4 Scenic Area (NSA) and National Forest Land. Given this 5 designation coupled with the NSA and NF being in conduit for 6 wildlife corridors a thorough EIS is warranted which should include the cumulative impacts that was mentioned earlier. 7 Number two, there needs to be a low-frequency 8 9 noise analysis done. Teresa also mentioned that earlier. This project will be probably evaluated using Washington 10 State noise standards which only measures in dBA audible by 11 humans, but there needs to be analysis done measuring at 12 minimum either simply just a presence or absence of LFN 13 which could be measured using a C-weighted scale dec not 14 audible to humans but can still have deleterious effects on 15 16 humans. 17 Number three, a half-mile setback is inadequate when considering the topography of the Columbia River Gorge 18 19 where the drainage is canyons and mountains that can amplify 20 and transmit sound from wind turbines greater distances than in typically used flatter landscapes. For instance, many of 21 the European nations having over 20 years of experience with 22 23 industrial wind facilities have implemented regulations 2.4 having setbacks at one to one and a half miles. 25 location of the actual residence home itself on adjacent or

- 1 nearby properties to wind turbines must be taken into
- 2 account, not just the property line.
- Number four, according to the Travis Nelson Wind
- 4 and Water Energy Section Manager for WDNW quote: This
- 5 project is the first of its kind in forested habitat
- 6 Washington State, unquote. The Columbia River Gorge
- 7 provides habitat for more than 300 bird species, and it's a
- 8 major stopover for many migratory bird species. Industrial
- 9 wind turbines can lead to a loss of habitat, fragmentation
- 10 of habitat, and increased fatalities due to contact with
- 11 wind turbines. Several Washington State listed bird species
- 12 could be affected: The spotted owl which is listed as an
- 13 endangered specie in Washington State, Northern Goshawk and
- 14 affiliated woodpecker both listed candidate species, and the
- 15 bald eagle in Washington State sensitive species, as well as
- 16 numerous migratory birds, bats, the gray squirrel which is a
- 17 state threatened species and many mammals.
- 18 Number five, EFSEC does the Environmental Impact
- 19 Statement. Why was this not required by the applicant as
- 20 most projects like this? Why is this not required by the
- 21 applicant of the project like most environmental impact
- 22 statements? This is a private development that Washington
- 23 State taxpayers which I'm one of should not have to pay for.
- 24 SDS's application to EFSEC runs over 900 pages. How much
- 25 money is that going to cost me?

- 1 Number six, the Whistling Ridge southern boundary
- 2 abuts the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. The
- 3 wind turbines will be over 420 feet high which is nearly the
- 4 same height as a 42-story building. These would be visible
- 5 from several key viewing areas throughout the Gorge to
- 6 include I-84, Hood River, Husom, White Salmon, not to
- 7 mention many trails throughout the Gorge.
- 8 Number seven, the SDS application states that the
- 9 Whistling Ridge site is managed for timber harvest. Wind
- 10 turbines need to be sited as far away from buildings or
- 11 trees as possible which can block the wind and cause
- 12 turbulence. How much timber production will now be taken
- 13 out of production due to the wind turbines? How much state
- 14 revenue for schools will be lost on the DNR section in
- 15 Klickitat County? Will SDS make up for that?
- 16 And finally, number eight, SDS originally named
- 17 the project Saddleback Wind Power and it was for
- 18 approximately 50 wind turbines. Now there has been an
- 19 additional 30 plus wind turbines added to that with the
- 20 change in name to Whistling Ridge.
- 21 They held a preapplication meeting with Skamania
- 22 County Planning Department to determine the zoning
- 23 requirements which would regulate the project. The county
- 24 did not have their ordinances updated though, Skamania
- 25 County and zoning. Skamania County planned to implement the

- 1 zone changes required without an Environmental Impact
- 2 Statement first. Several concerned parties appealed and
- 3 even the hearing examiner ruled against the county. Two
- 4 weeks later SDS submitted an application to EFSEC for the
- 5 project now renamed Whistling Ridge. EFSEC's authority
- 6 supersedes all other state, county, and city agencies
- 7 including local land use regulations. The county makes
- 8 the -- the Council makes a recommendation to the Governor
- 9 who makes the final decision. This circumvents public
- 10 concerns to how our rural character of life will end up.
- 11 This take all aspects of the public process and tosses it to
- 12 the wind, no pun intended. No offense to your group. I
- 13 appreciate that, but the applicant basically didn't get what
- 14 he wanted going through the county and so he went an end
- 15 around local land use ordinances and zoning which once in
- 16 place could be restricted to his agenda.
- I am not opposed to alternative energy development
- 18 at all, but to deface this unique beautiful area which is
- 19 the only National Scenic Area in the United States of
- 20 America is wrong. This is the right time but definitely the
- 21 wrong place. Thank you.
- 22 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much. Our next
- 23 speaker will be Don Morby.
- MR. FIKSDAL: Mr. Chairman?
- 25 CHAIR LUCE: Yes.

- 1 MR. FIKSDAL: Council Member Wilson wanted me to
- 2 correct one misconception about the funding of the project.
- 3 CHAIR LUCE: The funding is not by taxpayers.
- 4 Project applicants pay all costs for the project, including
- 5 the cost of the Council, including all the environmental,
- 6 including all costs, and we bill accordingly. So there are
- 7 no taxpayer funds being used to process this application.
- 8 So just to be clear on the record. Thank you.
- 9 Mr. Morby.
- 10 COMMENTS BY DON MORBY
- 11 Don Morby. I currently live -- that's, M-o-r-b-y,
- 12 Don -- 715 Jessup Road, Mill-A, Washington, which is a
- 13 community below Willard, next to Underwood, and a lot people
- 14 ask us or me where is Mill-A and it's across the river from
- 15 Mill-B.
- 16 So I want to bring my perspective as a citizen
- 17 that lives up there. I grew up in Underwood, born and
- 18 raised there. My family homesteaded that whole area in the
- 19 late 1800s so we've been here a long time, not as long as
- 20 our neighbors the chiefs back there, but pretty long time.
- I am in favor of this windmill project because our
- 22 small county desperately needs something to bring into this
- 23 county. Some of these special interest groups have
- introduced the spotted owl and everything else and pretty
- 25 much devastated my family's way of life and income, and I

- 1 think it needs to stop. We need to take a stand and say,
- 2 look, bring something here that brings it to us. We have a
- 3 small school. We have 52 kids in that school and four
- 4 teachers and one part-time superintendent principal. The
- 5 only fallback to this whole thing is some of this money is
- 6 going to District 405 which is Klickitat County School
- 7 District and not to my school. So that's sad and I'll work
- 8 on it later. We need some more of the younger kids to come
- 9 to the Skamania County schools.
- 10 But I'm in favor of this because I think it will
- 11 bring some money into this community and will create some
- 12 jobs, and I just want to go on record that I was an
- individual who's lived in this county all their life. I
- 14 spent 30 years in the military and maintained a residence
- 15 here because I wanted to come back here because it was my
- 16 home. And there's times I can't come back to Mill-A because
- 17 I can't afford to live in Underwood anymore. My military
- 18 retirement wasn't that great. After two tours in Vietnam I
- 19 know statistics can be maneuvered and worked around and come
- 20 up with the numbers you need.
- 21 If they're worried about noise, then maybe you
- 22 just should try listening to a low impact sound of a bullet
- 23 that goes past your ear. I don't see the windmills doing
- 24 this to me so I'm for them. Thank you for your time.
- 25 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much.

- 1 Jill Barker.
- 2 COMMENTS BY JILL BARKER
- Good evening. My name is Jill Barker. Can you
- 4 hear me?
- 5 CHAIR LUCE: We can hear you.
- 6 MS. BARKER: I live at 3375 Vensel Benson Road,
- 7 Mosier, Oregon, 97040.
- I thank you for this opportunity to make comments.
- 9 This evening I'm providing comments for the Columbia Gorge
- 10 Audubon Society regarding the environmental impacts scoping
- 11 for Whistling Ridge Wind Energy facility proposal.
- 12 The society is a Washington nonprofit with bistate
- 13 membership founded in 1988. Our mission statement is: The
- 14 Columbia Gorge Audubon Society seeks to promote and enhance
- the enjoyment, understanding, and protection of our natural
- 16 world. Our interests are worldwide. Our focus is the
- 17 scenic beauty and ecological health of the Columbia River
- 18 Gorge and its tributaries.
- 19 Our organization has long been involved in the
- 20 environmental issues in the Columbia Gorge Region. The
- 21 society has challenged every wind power proposal that has
- 22 threatened scenic and ecological integrity to the Gorge,
- 23 beginning with Kenetech and CARES proposals in the east
- 24 Gorge and most recently a proposal on Sevenmile Hill near
- 25 the Dalles where the developers eventually thought better of

- 1 it and moved on. The Society coined the phrase "industrial
- 2 wind power" in the early '90s that has become part of the
- 3 popular vernaculars describing modern industrial wind power
- 4 facilities.
- 5 Founding members of the Society were centrally
- 6 involved in the establishment of the Columbia River Gorge
- 7 National Scenic Area and the White Salmon National Scenic
- 8 River as federally protected landscapes. We believe both of
- 9 these protected areas would fundamentally and irreparably be
- 10 damaged by this proposed project.
- 11 Industrial wind power development is land-use
- 12 intensive creating a large disproportion of land required
- 13 compared to power produced. Wind power facilities become
- 14 the dominant feature of affected landscapes and, thus, have
- 15 the potential for significant impacts to natural systems and
- 16 human communities.
- 17 There's no regional or national coordinated
- 18 planning; no regional or national siting standards even
- 19 though wind power is the most heavily subsidized (with
- 20 public funds) form of energy production. The U.S. Fish and
- 21 Wildlife Service charged with protecting threatened and
- 22 endangered species, migratory birds, and eagles, etc., has
- 23 yet to adopt permanent development guidelines. Often as
- 24 not, local governments afflicted by cozy politics and an
- 25 insatiable desire for a larger tax base make monumental

- 1 decisions placement and density of projects.
- We built dams on every suitable hydro head up the
- 3 Columbia and the Snake Rivers, and politicians and economic
- 4 boosters rejoiced, "it's green, it's renewable, and it's
- 5 good for business." We now know the rest of the story. The
- 6 world's greatest anadromous fishery has been driven to near
- 7 extinction and Celilo Falls, great natural wonder and
- 8 cultural epicenter of North America is no more. Are we
- 9 making the same mistakes with wind energy?
- 10 With the advent of numerous projects in various
- 11 geographic locations, important and useful data is now
- 12 available as to their impacts on human health and the damage
- 13 to property including valuations. Existing data strongly
- 14 suggest that industrial wind power and human communities are
- 15 incompatible. It is doubtful that industrial wind power
- 16 will ever be erected in the Puget Sound area. We suspect
- 17 that the residents and their elected representatives have a
- 18 visceral feeling for this incompatibility. But as long as
- 19 decision makers can foist this menace, as with toxic waste
- 20 and garbage, on rural communities and Indian reservations,
- 21 all is well for industrial wind power developers and
- 22 speculators.
- The tremendous scale of modern wind power
- 24 facilities can have profound effects on natural flora and
- 25 fauna and their physical environment. This proposed project

- 1 is the first industrial wind power project in the Western
- 2 U.S. proposed in primary forestland where the impact is
- 3 known to be much more severe. The Nature Conservancy
- 4 considers industrial wind power to be the greatest threat to
- 5 biodiversity in the American Great Plains. Habitat
- 6 fragmentation, habitat avoidance, and bird/bat/blade
- 7 interactions are well documented. Ridge top wind power
- 8 facilities such as Whistling Ridge are tantamount to
- 9 mountain top coal mining. Ridge tops are leveled and
- 10 permanently stripped of vegetation sending sedimentation,
- 11 lubricating fluids, tower cleaning fluids, as well as
- 12 herbicides and pesticides used to control competing
- 13 vegetation and unwanted wildlife into the down-slope water
- 14 systems. These are very real and serious consequences of
- 15 ridge top wind mining.
- 16 A surprising (to some) result of wind energy
- 17 development is the increase in atmospheric CO2 (carbon
- 18 dioxide) implicated in global warming and generated from the
- 19 backup fossil fuel fired facility developments required to
- 20 offset a destabilization of the energy grid caused by wind
- 21 power's famous unreliability, inefficiency, and generation
- 22 intermittency.
- Specifically, the Audubon Society requests the
- 24 project EIS analyze potential impacts on the following:
- One, human health, both physically and

- 1 psychologically on reasonably affected parties.
- 2 Two, potential residential displacement.
- 3 Three, the potential loss of county tax revenue
- 4 from property devaluations.
- 5 Four, the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area.
- 6 Five, the White Salmon National Scenic River.
- 7 Six, Buck Creek, a municipal weather source and
- 8 recreation area.
- 9 Seven, the Little White Salmon River and its role
- 10 in anadromous fish production.
- 11 Eight, and all other socioeconomic impacts.
- 12 Nine, wildlife, including threatened and
- 13 endangered species such as the spotted owl and migratory and
- 14 resident birds and bats and their migration routes.
- 15 Ten, cumulative impacts on physical, biological,
- 16 and social elements of the environment from all past,
- 17 present, and reasonably foreseeable wind energy facilities
- 18 in the Northwest.
- 19 And the last one is 11, increased carbon dioxide
- 20 emissions from the required backup fossil fuel fired
- 21 facilities. Thank you for your attention.
- 22 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much. Leave those
- 23 comments if you wish with Ms. Talburt.
- 24 Moving onto the next page of presenters there was
- 25 someone I think from the Skamania County Agri-Tourism

- 1 Association. Is there somebody? Would you be Mr. Collins?
- 2 Come forward. Just wasn't a name next to it from the
- 3 Skamania County Agri-Tourism Association.
- 4 MR. CRUMPACKER: It's John Crumpacker.
- 5 CHAIR LUCE: All right.
- 6 COMMENTS BY JOHN CRUMPACKER
- 7 C-r-u-m-p-a-c-k-e-r. My first name is John and
- 8 I'm a member of the Board of Directors of the Skamania
- 9 County Agri-Tourism Association, and I'm here to provide
- 10 information.
- 11 CHAIR LUCE: And your address is?
- MR. CRUMPACKER: Our address is, the Association
- is P.O. Box 100, Underwood, Washington, and that's my
- 14 address as well.
- 15 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you.
- 16 MR. CRUMPACKER: Before I move on if I could just
- 17 direct everyone to the chart for a second and walk over
- 18 there. It might be helpful because we have a focused issue
- 19 here. Our interest is in talking about these seven towers.
- 20 They're on the southern portion of the site, and I'm going
- 21 to refer to them as the "A Towers" throughout our comments.
- 22 So if you could just look at that, that would be great.
- 23 There's been a lot of questions about and comments about
- 24 what are the visual impacts. That's our primary concern and
- 25 so we, the Association, hired a pilot and we flew the ridge

- 1 line from various angles and I have some photos that you
- 2 will be able to see, and one of the things that we did is we
- 3 flew directly over the "A Tower" ridge at exactly 300 feet
- 4 elevation above the ridge surface and directly over it and
- 5 we took some photos. So I've got those.
- I think the answer to the questions about what is
- 7 the visual impact we're particularly interested in that as
- 8 it relates to the Agri-Tourism Association and the members'
- 9 businesses that are affected. So I'm going to submit an
- 10 appendix to our comments tonight, one copy, and then present
- 11 my comments at some point here in the next couple days, and
- 12 I'll have a couple loose pictures with that appendix right
- 13 now that I will be referring if you could circulate and look
- 14 at them and it will give you an idea.
- 15 CHAIR LUCE: All right. We're going to try to
- 16 work the four or five minute rule so to the extent that
- 17 that's possible I'd appreciate it. Go ahead.
- 18 MR. CRUMPACKER: Thank you. In the interest of
- 19 time I will speed read.
- 20 CHAIR LUCE: The reporter is having trouble with
- 21 speed reading. You can also submit anything for the record.
- 22 Go ahead.
- MR. CRUMPACKER: Thank you. I won't submit this.
- 24 It's in rough form.
- 25 As I said, my name is John Crumpacker. I live in

- 1 Underwood, Washington. I am a member of the Board of
- 2 Directors of the Skamania County Agri-Tourism Association.
- 3 The Skamania Agri-Tourism Association is a Washington
- 4 non-profit corporation dedicated to promotion and
- 5 improvement of sustainable agri-tourism in Skamania County.
- 6 Our mission is to create and maintain favorable business
- 7 conditions for our association members. All members own and
- 8 operate agriculture businesses in Underwood, Washington
- 9 located in eastern Skamania County. Our unincorporated
- 10 community sits directly across the Columbia from Hood River,
- 11 Oregon. Members of the Skamania County Agri-Tourism
- 12 Association include: Acadia Vineyards, a 75-acre vineyard
- and orchard; Crooked Acres Vineyard, a 20-acre vineyard; The
- 14 Davis Family Farm, a 50-acre farm and orchard; Energeia
- 15 Vineyards, a 64-acre vineyard; Gorge Crest Vineyards &
- 16 Winery, a 41-acre vineyard, winery, and commercial event
- 17 site; Gorge Estate Vineyards, a 95-acre vineyard and winery;
- 18 Lamonti Vineyards, a 32-acre vineyard; Pearblossom
- 19 Vineyards, an 18-acre vineyard and orchard; Sanctuary Herb
- 20 Farm, an 18-acre herb farm and vineyard; Soluna Vineyards, a
- 21 34-acre vineyard; Underwood Gardens, a 6-acre lavender farm;
- 22 and Wine Spring, a 40-acre vineyard.
- As a group these farms and vineyards; farms,
- vineyards, and wineries currently give thousands of people
- 25 each year a reason to visit our community and share in the

- 1 awe inspiring beauty we call it bucolic charm. Some bring
- 2 the entire family and 50 of their closest friends to say "I
- 3 do." Some come to taste wine and touch grapes on the vine.
- 4 Some come to buy an organic-free range pig for a
- 5 celebration, and others simply come because the views of the
- 6 river, the Gorge, and the Hood River Valley are unsurpassed.
- 7 But more importantly for purposes of this hearing, each of
- 8 these people bring with them a domino effect of economic
- 9 activity that benefits our entire region.
- 10 The Agri-Tourism Association is here today to
- 11 provide the Council with our comments on the proposed
- 12 Whistling Ridge Project and the potential impact on our
- 13 members and on agri-tourism in Underwood as a whole. We
- 14 respectfully request that the negative impacts of
- 15 agri-tourism in Underwood be addressed in the forthcoming
- 16 EIS. Our comments focus on providing the Council with a
- 17 clear understanding of the following five factors:
- 18 One, that tourism is the lifeblood of Skamania
- 19 County and all communities throughout the Columbia River
- 20 Gorge.
- 21 Two, that agri-tourism is the present day driver
- 22 of tourism in the famous Hood River Valley and that
- 23 Underwood is well on its way to duplicating that economic
- 24 success in eastern Skamania County.
- Three, that Underwood's historic transformation

- 1 from pear orchards to agri-tourism and to one of the premier
- wine producing regions in the world has enormous present-day
- 3 socioeconomic value.
- 4 Four, that the very real present-day economic
- 5 value of Underwood agri-tourism as well as its future
- 6 potential would be severely impacted by the seven "A Towers"
- 7 as currently sited.
- 8 And, finally, five, that this Council has the
- 9 authority and responsibility to put the reins on this
- 10 project by requiring a responsible re-siting of the seven "A
- 11 Towers", towers that will otherwise dominate the skyline and
- 12 become Underwood's new calling card.
- In order to give responsible mitigation of the "A
- 14 Towers" a clear voice in these proceedings and thereby
- 15 safequard the tremendous socioeconomic value of Underwood,
- 16 the Skamania County Agri-Tourism Association will petition
- 17 this Council pursuant to WAC 463-30-091 for permission to
- 18 intervene in these proceedings.
- 19 However, the Association has already taken a vote
- 20 and the result is unanimous. If the Council or this
- 21 applicant of its own accord makes a responsible mitigation
- 22 decision and re-sites the seven "A Towers" to eliminate the
- 23 negative impacts, the association intends to withdraw as a
- 24 party to support the project.
- As we detail in our written comments, failure to

- 1 re-site the seven "A Towers" would improperly force the
- 2 blossoming Underwood agri-tourism industry to bear a
- 3 disproportionate share of negative environmental and
- 4 socioeconomic impacts of this project in violation of WAC
- 5 463-60-085. Such a result is prohibited by WAC, which is
- 6 Washington Administrative Code I think, sorry, to 463-47-110
- 7 which states that the overriding policy of the Council is to
- 8 avoid or mitigate adverse environmental impacts which may
- 9 result from the Council decisions. So here are the facts on
- 10 our five points.
- 11 First, tourism is the lifeblood of the Gorge.
- 12 Skamania County is more dependent on tourism than any county
- in the state of Washington. You can see Appendix 1 of our
- 14 supporting data. In 2007, 47 percent of all retail and
- 15 lodging tax collection in the county came from visitors, the
- 16 highest percentage in the state. Almost 11 percent of all
- 17 Skamania County was travel related, over 58 million dollars,
- 18 the highest percentage in the state. Where do these figures
- 19 come from?
- In December 2008, the State of Washington through
- 21 the Department of Community Trade and Economic Development
- 22 (CTED), which also employs a staff of this Council, released
- 23 these findings in a report on the importance of travel
- 24 impact of the economy in the state. The state concluded
- 25 that the travel industry generates tax benefits for

- 1 Washington residents, generates job opportunities for
- 2 Washington residents, and benefits all regions of the state.
- 3 The study found that in particular rural counties, including
- 4 Skamania County, have a greater number of travel-generated
- 5 jobs in relation to total employment, and that we are more
- 6 dependent on the travel industry. They determined that over
- 7 ten percent of Skamania County's jobs are generated by
- 8 tourism. Maybe this is no great surprise since we live in
- 9 one of the most beautiful places on earth.
- 10 The State of Washington also released a report in
- 11 2002 titled Travel Industry Employment. It's Appendix 1.
- 12 It was released by the Washington Department of Business and
- 13 Tourism Development. They reached the same conclusions and
- 14 found specifically that this is because some rural areas are
- 15 recreation destinations and/or have little employment in
- 16 manufacturing other industries. Once again topping the list
- of counties were those in the Columbia River Gorge.
- 18 The two key conclusions of this study: The travel
- 19 industry develops and thrives to the extent it has
- 20 comparative advantages in the Northwest relative to other
- 21 locations in the U.S. High-quality natural and outdoor
- 22 recreation resources are an example of such an advantage.
- 23 Why does this matter, this hearing? Because any development
- 24 proposal could have a potential to cut off the lifeblood of
- 25 our economy. It needs to be closely monitored, carefully

- 1 studied, and mitigated in a manner that eliminates damaging
- 2 impacts.
- Number two, the facts about agri-tourism and the
- 4 fact it drives Hood River County and eastern Skamania
- 5 County. Hood River is a tourist mecca just like Skamania
- 6 County. The Hood River Valley is famous worldwide for its
- 7 breathtaking beauty in its farms, orchards, and vineyards.
- 8 In fact, Hood River is a case study in the economic power
- 9 and sustainability of agri-tourism. You need to look no
- 10 further than the front page of the Hood River County Chamber
- of Commerce website which is in Appendix 2. The image of
- 12 Hood River is agri-tourism. It's plastered everywhere:
- 13 pictures, events, festivals and links to other sites
- 14 dedicated to agri-tourism in its many forms.
- 15 The other marketing push in Hood River?
- 16 Recreation and scenery, of course. Just as the State of
- 17 Washington has concluded in its studies that high quality,
- 18 natural, and outdoor recreation resources are our primary
- 19 asset and must be leveraged. They must also be carefully
- 20 guarded to assure our economic health and well being.
- 21 Why is Hood River important in this area? Because
- 22 Underwood which is in eastern Skamania County and which is
- 23 the site of this proposal sits directly across from Hood
- 24 River and is inextricably tied to Hood River
- 25 topographically, economically, and even evolutionarily.

- 1 Although our county seat is 30 miles here in
- 2 Stevenson, we have a uniquely different set of issues and
- 3 opportunities, issues and opportunities that county
- 4 government has failed to understand. This is evident in
- 5 light of the county's decision to publicly endorse this
- 6 project without consideration of the impacts to Underwood
- 7 agi-tourism. Agri-tourism holds the key to Underwood's
- 8 economic future if it is responsibly cared for.
- 9 Fact relating to number three, Underwood
- 10 agri-tourism is growing quickly. The primary driver of
- 11 agri-tourism in Underwood is its far reaching reputation as
- 12 one of the premier wine producing regions in the world. The
- 13 information is in Appendix 3. Amazing as it may sound, the
- 14 new Columbia Gorge Wine Appellation was recently recognized
- as one of the best emerging regions in the world along with
- 16 Paso Robles, California and Maule Valley, Chile. The same
- 17 accolades were earned in Seattle Magazine.
- 18 In fact, the Washington Wine Industry is now
- 19 ranked as the second largest premium wine producer in the
- 20 U.S. Washington Winery of the Year in 2009 was Maryhill
- 21 Winery, a Columbia Gorge operation located here in the
- 22 Gorge. Winery of the Year in 2007 in the State of
- 23 Washington was Cathedral Ridge Winery in Hood River, also
- located directly across the river from Underwood and often
- 25 touting Underwood wines which you'll see in the image of

- 1 their front page in Appendix 3.
- 2 Even more directly to the point, Celilo Vineyards
- 3 in Underwood is consistently ranked as one of the top ten
- 4 vineyards in Washington which as mentioned is ranked second
- 5 actually in the production of premium wines. The entire
- 6 south slope of Underwood Mountain is considered the cream of
- 7 the crop. If any question remains regarding the value of
- 8 the wineries in Underwood, we need look no further than the
- 9 seal of approval of SDS Lumber who recently informed the
- 10 community that it had purchased potential vineyard land in
- 11 Underwood.
- 12 Facts related to number four, socioeconomic value
- of the Underwood agri-tourism. Agri-tourism is of the
- 14 reality in Underwood as we sit here today. There are over
- 15 30 large-scale agricultural operations within the community.
- 16 Some of these enterprises were started generations ago and
- others have broken ground within the last year. In many
- 18 ways the Skamania County Agri-Tourism Association owes its
- 19 new found status to the proposal before you. We have
- 20 formally come together for the first time out of necessity,
- 21 a necessity born from the threat that this project poses to
- 22 our very existence.
- 23 Although our members have each made extraordinary
- 24 commitments of time and capital to the common vision of
- 25 making Underwood the premier agri-tourism destination in the

- 1 Gorge, until recently we were working in parallel rather
- 2 than in concert. The threat that this project poses to that
- 3 vision, however, immediately galvanized farm, winery, and
- 4 vineyard owners across the community. We now stand here
- 5 with consensus of opinion, not just on this project but on
- 6 the future lobbying goals, marketing strategies, and product
- 7 offerings.
- 8 The Association has two primary marketing
- 9 strategies; one, to promote the agri-tourism movement in a
- 10 manner similar to the Hood River fruit loop. You'll find
- 11 all the information in the supporting data and maps.
- 12 The Hood River Fruit Loop is considered a national
- 13 model of successful agri-tourism. In Appendix 2 you'll see
- 14 all the information about the fruit loop and in Appendix 4
- 15 all the information about the Underwood Agri-Tourism Loop.
- 16 Number two, establish the Underwood Vineyard Trek
- as a "can't be missed" one-of-a-kind opportunity to hike
- 18 through 12 of the county or the country's premier vineyards
- 19 while sampling world class wines and views. Nowhere else in
- 20 the U.S. has 12 contiguous vineyards collectively developed
- 21 a private trek situated in the heart of the National Scenic
- 22 Area. See Appendix 4 for maps of the trek and where we're
- 23 situated.
- 24 Underwood agri-tourism is not just about wine
- 25 though. Other members offer produce, free-range organic

- 1 livestock, lavender viewing, and organic herbs. One of the
- 2 original visionaries in Underwood is Hank Patton who founded
- 3 World Steward which is located in the upper Underwood
- 4 agri-tourism loop and is committed to environmental
- 5 stewardship, sustainable farming, and research and
- 6 education. All the information about that is in Appendix 4.
- 7 In addition, three wineries are already in
- 8 operation in Underwood. One of those wineries is now
- 9 considered by many to be the premier commercial event site
- 10 in the Columbia Gorge. A number of other vineyards located
- in the upper loop have future winery plans which have been
- 12 put on hold as a result of the potential negative impacts of
- 13 this project.
- 14 As set forth in Appendix 4 to our comments the
- 15 economic and socioeconomic value of the existing Underwood
- 16 agri-tourism industry is significant and quantifiable. It
- 17 is diverse and sustainable and benefits citizens and
- 18 governments throughout the region. The tremendous future
- 19 potential is also quantifiable and dwarfs the tax benefits
- 20 of the seven "A Towers" as projected by SDS Lumber. It's
- 21 all in Appendices 1, 2, 3 and 4, hard facts including the
- 22 economics of wine in Underwood, both winery development,
- 23 infusions to the economy and vineyard development, facts
- 24 related to agri-tourism and the fact that agri-tourism and
- 25 40-story turbines don't mix.

1 SDS once told the Underwood community that wind 2 turbines are beautiful and we've heard that from others tonight. We are all welcome to our personal opinions, but 3 4 in these proceedings facts should rule, and the fact is that 5 tourists and especially tourists in the gorge don't want to 6 see industrial development. The facts are set forth clearly in studies conducted by the U.S. government and the State of 7 Oregon which are attached to your comments as Appendix 5 and 8 These facts are undisputed and really need no further 9 discussion. 10 11 Moving the "A Towers" mitigates tourism impacts, and our comments are focused specifically on the seven "A 12 The facts: The seven "A Towers" sit alone on a 13 clearcut ridge at the very most southern portion of the 14 15 proposed project. If installed they would dominant views day and night from farm or locations that are depicted in 16 17 application submitted to Council. To remove any uncertainty of that visual impact of the seven "A Towers", the 18 Agri-Tourism Association hired a pilot to fly a photographer 19 20 along the ridge where the towers are proposed. In Appendix 7 to our comments you will find the results. Take note of 21 22 the photograph that was taken directly over the ridge at an 23 elevation of 300 feet above the ridge. This photograph 24 tells the story of who will see the seven "A Towers". Also 25 note that the paragraph or the photograph was taken 120 feet

- 1 below the top of the proposed towers. Then take note at the
- 2 next photograph that shows the locations of the existing
- 3 businesses along the Underwood agri-tourism loop. The
- 4 impacts are clear. The solution is also clear. The
- 5 re-siting of the seven "A Towers" eliminates all visual
- 6 impacts to the Underwood agri-tourism industry in upper
- 7 Underwood as well as the visual impacts to the vast area
- 8 throughout the Gorge.
- 9 When you consider our fate please keep in mind the
- 10 following statement of SDS Lumber Company which was made in
- 11 reference to the decision to expand this project to 80
- 12 turbines by leasing adjacent DNR lands: "Expansion also
- 13 gives more flexibility. If we have more flexibility, we
- 14 could use that to optimize the site and minimize impacts."
- 15 That was in the Hood River News on February 25, 2009. We're
- 16 glad to see that SDS is realizing that the impacts of this
- 17 project must be mitigated, but the seven "A Towers" should
- 18 be mitigated whether or not this project is expanded, and
- 19 that mitigation decision should be based on balancing of the
- 20 negative impacts of "A Towers" alone.
- In conclusion we're thankful that the Council
- 22 brings to this process a broad perspective of the benefits
- 23 and impacts of wind development, a perspective that is
- 24 understandably missing from the county government in
- 25 financial crisis. We are also confident that this Council

- 1 will use its broad mitigation powers, its depth of
- 2 experience, and basic common sense to draw a line in the
- 3 sand, a line that will make it clear to people throughout
- 4 the country that in the Northwest turbines don't have the
- 5 right to dominate every ridge line just because the wind
- 6 blows.
- We feel fortunate, fortunate that each of you is
- 8 here in the Gorge and fortunate that during your site visit
- 9 you will have the chance to experience the extraordinary
- 10 beauty of our agricultural community and understand why it
- is a priceless resource in and of itself, not just for those
- of us that live on the Gorge, but to people throughout the
- 13 Gorge who benefit economically from the snowballing
- 14 reputation as one of the premier wine producing destinations
- 15 in the United States.
- 16 We ask you to take a moment, a moment to make sure
- 17 you visit the southern most portion of the site where you
- 18 will decide whether the "A towers" should be a boundary or
- 19 should have bought the boundary for the National Scenic
- 20 Area. Taking a sweeping view of the vineyards below, of the
- 21 Columbia River Gorge, and of the vast panoramas of the Hood
- 22 River Valley in the background and then take a moment to
- 23 transport yourselves from the prominent ridge line where you
- 24 stand to any one of the places you see below you. Now, from
- 25 that spot imagine looking back to the Underwood skyline.

- 1 What will catch your eye first? Would it be the 40-story "A
- 2 Towers spinning of each tower from the clearcut ridge?
- 3 That image will be Underwood's new calling card. Will
- 4 turbines beckon the million of tourists who come to the
- 5 Gorge every year for the "beautiful national parks" and
- 6 "protected environmental areas"? Will tourists be inspired
- 7 to drive the agri-tourism loop at Underwood and walk from
- 8 vineyard to vineyard and winery to winery on the vineyard
- 9 trek below a complex of 40-story towers?
- We feel that it's this Council's responsibility to
- 11 make sure that we never know the answers to these questions.
- 12 With the stroke of a pen the "A Towers" should simply be
- 13 moved elsewhere in the matrix of this project.
- 14 The information presented at this hearing and our
- 15 comments will be posted on the news page of the Skamania
- 16 County Agri-Tourism Association website which is located at
- 17 www.scaassn.org. Thank you for this opportunity to comment
- 18 and welcome to the Gorge.
- 19 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much. We will hear
- 20 next from Mr. Gary Collins, then Tom Linde, and Walt
- 21 Loehrke. I want to say early on we asked the County
- 22 Commissioner and Tribal representatives to hold it to four
- 23 minutes, and I really appreciate that people want to give us
- 24 the full benefit of their views. But if you have something
- in writing, we will read it so it's not necessary to be all

- 1 of your testimony. If you can summarize it and then again
- 2 if someone else has already made your points, agree with
- 3 them and then we'll move ahead. So thank you very much.
- 4 Mr. Collins.
- 5 COMMENTS BY GARY COLLINS
- 6 Yes. My name is Gary Collins. My address is 381
- 7 Erickson Road, Stevenson, Washington. Thank you for letting
- 8 us speak here.
- 9 I'd just like to say -- I'll make it short. I'm
- 10 in support of the project, and I think it's very good for
- 11 the community. I'm a third generation in this community.
- 12 I'm a lot like Don Morby. My grandfather homesteaded a
- property up on the Underwood Road on the west end, and I've
- 14 just got to say he'd be pretty proud of it, a windmill,
- 15 because he didn't have electricity.
- 16 But the economic part of it I think it's great. I
- 17 think it's good. This county needs it. I've been here all
- 18 my life, and I've watched how its went and we definitely
- 19 need this. Anything would be good in the county here.
- 20 Personally I don't think -- in fact, I think it's an asset
- 21 to have the windmills up on the hill. I like them.
- I've been by them. I stopped like going to Walla
- 23 Walla. There is no noise. I couldn't hear no noise. And
- 24 everyone's worried about the color of them or you can see
- 25 them. To me why don't you paint them an earth tone so, you

- 1 know, they won't be as visual if that's the problem. And I
- 2 just think there's a workable deal here and I hope that the
- 3 Council will understand that. Thank you
- 4 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much. Appreciate
- 5 that. Anything else you would like to submit for the record
- 6 by May 18 we will be glad to read and we will read.
- 7 Mr. Linde.
- 8 MR. LINDE: To speed things up, I will present my
- 9 comments in writing.
- 10 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much.
- Mr. Loehrke.
- 12 COMMENTS OF WALT LOEHRKE
- 13 My name is Walt Loehrke and I live at Hemlock Road
- in Carson, Washington, and I'm speaking today as a Skamania
- 15 County resident.
- 16 CHAIR LUCE: Please spell your name, Mr. Loehrke.
- 17 If you can for the benefit of the court reporter.
- 18 MR. LOEHRKE: That was the first question that the
- 19 teacher asked me. L-o-e-h-r-k-e.
- 20 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you.
- 21 MR. LOEHRKE: I'm sitting here and I figure I must
- 22 suffer from I don't know what: the classic education. I
- 23 remember back in 1965 while I was still attending high
- 24 school being able to attend hearings held in Coos Bay,
- 25 Oregon on a wind farm that was proposed down there that

- 1 actually failed due to lack of transmission lines. There
- 2 was no place they could sell the power to at the time, and
- 3 it hasn't been reintroduced. I've always thought that as a
- 4 conundrum because on the state wind maps that still locates
- 5 that area as a high potential.
- I see this development as a positive thing for
- 7 Skamania County. It's private land and it's disturbed soil.
- 8 It adds a boom to our PUD's ability to have a back feed into
- 9 the county which is since we are losing Condit Dam puts our
- 10 power situation here in a very precarious situation, makes
- 11 it a very precarious situation, and also provides a
- 12 guaranteed revenue stream for the county. It's unfortunate
- 13 for the tourist people. I love to hear them talk and I love
- 14 to go on their wine tours, but we're still waiting for them
- 15 to quarantee revenue stream from tourism. That was promised
- 16 to us 22 years ago with the National Scenic Area.
- With that said, I don't personally and my wife and
- 18 I have tried to make windmills ugly. We have taken trips by
- 19 ourselves anecdotally to go get those things to be noisy and
- 20 cause vibration. I personally am very familiar with
- 21 subsound vibration and what it does to heavy construction
- 22 workers and have seen it on the job site and I am quite
- 23 concerned with that. I feel that this commission does have
- 24 the expertise available to them to make these kinds of
- 25 decisions and I welcome your guys' involvement in this

- 1 proposal. With that, good success and thank you for letting
- 2 me comment.
- 3 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much.
- 4 Mr. Jim Hutchison followed by Mike Rockwell and
- 5 Leanne Haight.
- 6 COMMENTS BY JIM HUTCHISON
- Good evening, my name is Jim Hutchison,
- 8 H-u-t-c-h-i-s-o-n. I'm a retired biologist. Mailing
- 9 address is Post Office Box 749, Washougal, and today is my
- 10 birthday. Until the last speaker we pretty much lacked any
- 11 levity so I would like to demonstrate this card that my wife
- 12 gave me this morning for my birthday. On the cover it says
- 13 you're not old until the fat lady sings, and then you open
- 14 it up and --
- 15 (The fat lady is singing.)
- 16 CHAIR LUCE: That's fantastic. And just for the
- 17 record I'll look forward to that date. I'll let you know
- 18 that earlier this week I got my Medicare Card.
- 19 MR. HUTCHISON: I do have some serious comments
- 20 that I want to make.
- 21 CHAIR LUCE: All right.
- 22 MR. HUTCHISON: The SDS proposed wind turbine
- 23 field you are now studying is unique in several respects.
- 24 It would be the first such project located directly adjacent
- 25 to the Columbia River Gorge Scenic Area and would introduce

- 1 turbine towers visible from various locations within the
- 2 Scenic Area. The Gorge Act, though it did not visualize
- 3 tall towers that would impact views from the Gorge, is very
- 4 specific about aesthetics. Since the Act's implementation
- 5 even single nonconforming houses have generated extensive
- 6 debate.
- 7 Impacts on timber production and wildlife are
- 8 major concerns related to the proposal. SDS intends to
- 9 reduce all vegetation to no more than 15 feet high within
- 10 150 feet of each turbine. Within the next 350 feet,
- 11 vegetation would be kept less than 50 feet high. Nearly all
- 12 timber harvest would thus be permanently eliminated for
- 13 approximately 18 acres around each turbine. For a 100
- 14 turbine field, including the other 50 on DNR land that's
- 15 been proposed, this would total 1,800 acres or nearly three
- 16 square miles of lost timber production. Turbine access
- 17 roads and appurtenant facilities would multiply this loss
- 18 several fold.
- 19 Numerous wildlife species, not just those
- 20 threatened or endangered, rely on forest habitats. Bird
- 21 mortality from wind turbines is fairly well documented, but
- 22 most such studies focused on turbines located outside of
- 23 forest areas. Other wildlife concerns are associated with
- 24 the SDS proposal. These concerns include seasonal use
- 25 patterns: travel corridors, habitat alteration or removal,

- 1 soil loss and associated stream sedimentation, and area
- 2 abandonment by wildlife due to turbine noise. Many animals
- 3 with hearing more acute than ours can be detrimentally
- 4 affected by noise. Considering these and related concerns,
- 5 the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife's
- 6 recommendation for a comprehensive cumulative effects
- 7 analysis should be required for this or any wind turbine
- 8 application, especially when proposed in a forest setting.
- 9 Various kinds of EIS studies are typically
- 10 prepared and funded by the projects' proponents. However,
- 11 for this and other wind power projects in Washington, your
- 12 agency, the Energy Siting Council, prepares the EIS. That
- 13 approach appears a most questionable use of public funds --
- 14 I stand corrected but I still have questions about this
- 15 subject -- for this highly contentious proposed Gorge
- 16 project which the Governor may well not approve in the long
- 17 run.
- 18 A Skamania County representative will apparently
- 19 join the Siting Council to consider the Whistling Ridge
- 20 turbine proposal. That person should logically be as
- 21 open-minded and nonbiased as possible. Yet, it should be
- 22 stressed that Skamania County's Board of Commissioners is
- 23 already on record as favoring this proposal, plus another
- 24 controversial proposal by SDS for a large rural resort
- 25 within the Gorge Scenic Area, plus a big Gorge gambling

- 1 casino at Cascade Locks. Perhaps a bit of bias is involved
- 2 there.
- 3 This wind power application involves several
- 4 precedents: No large wind power installations are in or
- 5 next to the Gorge Scenic Area and none in the Pacific
- 6 Northwest are located on forest lands. Impacts on wildlife
- 7 and timber harvest in such locations are essentially little
- 8 studied and unknown. Wind is a legitimate source of power
- 9 production, but only if it does not conflict overly with
- 10 other values. In this case, placing multiple wind turbines
- 11 which would remove hundreds of acres of sustainable tree
- 12 harvest on forest land favored by many forms of wildlife
- immediately adjacent to the Gorge Scenic Area appears
- 14 substantially unwise. Thank you.
- 15 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much for your
- 16 comments. You can provide those to Ms. Talburt and we'll
- 17 get those in the record as well.
- 18 The next speaker will be Mike Rockwell.
- 19 AUDIENCE MEMBER: He took off.
- 20 CHAIR LUCE: He took off. All right. Mike, if
- 21 someone knows Mike ask him to submit his comments in
- 22 writing.
- Leanne Haight.
- 24 COMMENTS BY LEANNE HAIGHT
- 25 My name is Leanne Haight, H-a-i-g-h-t, P.O.

- 1 Box 1207 in Carson 98610. My comments are going to be
- 2 fairly simplistic and short at this point.
- I am a strong supporter of developing renewable
- 4 energy in Skamania County. As a county we chose to help
- 5 create and be part of a bistate renewable zone, and as such
- 6 we have a responsibility to not just talk the talk but to
- 7 make use of and develop those renewable energy sources
- 8 available to us. Wind is one.
- 9 The site of the proposed wind farm is the
- 10 appropriate one, as there are very few if any other places
- in the county where a wind project of any size and substance
- 12 can be located, and that's considering wind flow patterns
- 13 and the boundaries of the National Scenic Area.
- 14 This project would also put us one step closer to
- 15 reaching the state mandate that requires that 15 percent of
- our energy come from renewable sources by the year 2020, and
- 17 it will be us walking our talk.
- 18 Additionally and as importantly it will provide a
- 19 boost to our county economy. Not only do we need to wean
- 20 ourselves from nonrenewable and foreign energy sources, we
- 21 need to wean ourselves from the subsidies of our forest as
- 22 those federal timber payments will be gone in short order.
- 23 This wind project would be one piece of a matrix we need to
- 24 construct to responsibly grow our economy and sustain a
- 25 healthy community in the future. I support the development

- 1 of the Whistling Ridge Project.
- CHAIR LUCE: That you. Thank you very much.
- 3 Kevin Herman.
- 4 MR. HERMAN: I'm leaving you. I'll come back
- 5 tomorrow.
- 6 CHAIR LUCE: Great.
- 7 MR. HERMAN: Thank you.
- 8 CHAIR LUCE: Steve Andruss.
- 9 COMMENTS BY STEVE ANDRUSS
- Good evening. My name is Steve Andruss and I live
- 11 at 842 Little Rock Creek Road in Bingen, which is on the
- 12 east end of the county here, and I think there's a lot of
- 13 experts here that talked tonight.
- 14 CHAIR LUCE: The spelling of your last name is?
- MR. ANDRUSS: A-n-d-r-u-s-s.
- 16 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you.
- 17 MR. ANDRUSS: I quess it's just more of sort or a
- 18 gut feeling I have. I remember -- well, I grew up on the
- 19 river and my grandfather and great grandfather and everybody
- 20 said they'd live here forever, and our family has too. But
- 21 our view of this beautiful place is to preserve it and don't
- 22 alter it too much because it's a recreational paradise, and
- 23 I'm really pretty opposed to the wind turbine project as it
- 24 will affect me every time I go for a walk I'm going to be
- 25 looking at wind turbines and they're going to be hanging

- 1 over the valley. And it seems a little bit unfair to me
- 2 because we just bought this piece of property a couple years
- 3 with the intent of getting out of town and I have a few
- 4 friends that come and visit me and when they came they went
- 5 out around Bickleton and did stuff we always used to do when
- 6 we were kids. And they came up to me and said, "Well, we
- 7 live in the city, we come here to appreciate the outdoors,
- 8 and what's happened to this country out here? It's totally
- 9 altered."
- I mean there's these giant towers everywhere and
- 11 these blinking lights all night, and you see them all day
- 12 long. And I don't know if you drive down the Gorge at night
- or not but out east it's like a slurry of lights in the sky
- 14 at night, and it's aesthetically really not very nice. And
- 15 so I just think it's kind of a bad idea. I know everybody
- 16 needs the money and SDS they're nice guys and all that, but
- 17 I just don't think it's a great idea to put it out in that
- 18 beautiful part of the country. I guess that's about all.
- 19 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much. I appreciate
- 20 that.
- 21 Steve Curley followed by Sally Newell.
- 22 COMMENTS BY STEVE CURLEY
- Hi, there.
- 24 CHAIR LUCE: Hi, there.
- MR. CURLEY: Thanks for being here. Steve Curly,

- 1 C-u-r-l-e-y. I live at 10381 Cook-Underwood. When you go
- 2 to do your tour tomorrow, you go right past my house and
- 3 take a left on Kollack-Knapp Road and go up the hill. I
- 4 live less than two miles from this proposed project.
- 5 It sounds like we're suppose to be afraid because
- 6 we're going to run out of energy if we don't get this wind
- 7 turbine project, which to me seems quite ridiculous because
- 8 we live on the second largest river in the country with a
- 9 hydroelectric project every 40 to 60 miles as far as they
- 10 go. There's a coal-fired plant in Boardman. There's a
- 11 nuclear project up there in Hanford that's been there since
- 12 the forties. I think we have energy coming out our wazzus.
- 13 It all goes somewhere else is what happens. I'm all for
- 14 alternative energy and green energy, and things like that
- and for teaching people how to conserve energy, which at my
- 16 house there is not one light on because I shut my lights
- 17 off. I'm very diligent about shutting everything off when
- 18 I'm gone.
- 19 It's interesting how SDS Lumber Company can do
- 20 pretty much whatever they want to do. Our County
- 21 Commissioner said something about buffers zones with the
- 22 Gorge National Scenic Area. Well, you know what? If you
- 23 drive across the Hood River toll bridge, you look up on
- 24 Underwood Mountain and there's a big old clearcut up there.
- 25 You know, if I want to cut one limb off a branch in my yard

- 1 or if I want to paint my house technically I'm suppose to go
- 2 jump through some hoops with the Gorge Commission. Now,
- 3 that's what I'm suppose to do; that's what we're all suppose
- 4 to do in the Gorge Scenic Area.
- 5 I'm less than two miles, one to two miles from
- 6 this project, and the windmills are clearly going to be
- 7 visible from the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area.
- 8 I didn't make up the rules. I think Mark Hatfield and
- 9 everybody else who was in power at the time did that stuff
- 10 and I'm quite happy they did. You know, it's an
- 11 unbelievable beautiful place where we do live here.
- 12 It's the wrong place for a wind farm within a few
- 13 miles of the Columbia National Scenic Area. You can put
- 14 this farm in Eastern Washington, Bickleton, Maryhill.
- 15 There's tons of wind farms out there, and it's not bothering
- 16 anybody out there. This is only good for the SDS Lumber
- 17 Company. It's a win-win for those guys and it's not good
- 18 for local residents. I have no idea what's going to happen
- 19 with my property value, you know. They're going to collect
- 20 extra taxes from these windmills, yeah, but what happens to
- 21 my property value if it goes down? I have no idea what's
- 22 going to happen. I mean that's obviously one of the
- 23 variables here.
- If this project wasn't so close to the Columbia
- 25 Gorge National Scenic Area and it's like a mile or two away,

- 1 it's right there, I wouldn't have such a problem with it.
- 2 But you know what? I can't put the wind turbine at my house
- 3 one or two miles away so why should they be able to? I
- 4 think they should put it in Eastern Washington. Thank you
- 5 very much.
- 6 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much.
- 7 Sally.
- 8 MS. NEWELL: Well, I'll try to scale my comments
- 9 quite a bit. Others have covered it.
- 10 CHAIR LUCE: That's okay. You can just say you
- 11 agree with them. Sally, spell your last name and give us
- 12 your address.
- 13 COMMENTS BY SALLY NEWELL
- I'm Sally Newell, N-e-w-e-l-l for the record. I
- 15 want to thank you all for travelling down here and I want to
- 16 particularly thank you for having the hearing in Underwood,
- 17 Washington tomorrow. I've been asking for that from the
- 18 git-go, and I'm pleased as punch that you're going to come
- 19 and actually see the site. And I would encourage you please
- 20 to be sure and drive the stretch from the mill all the way
- 21 up to the site because one of my major concerns is the
- 22 transportation of these things over those roads.
- We were promised actually at a county commission
- 24 meeting in Underwood on the record by Jason Spadaro that
- 25 nothing would have to be condemned in order to accomplish

- 1 this project and I look at those pictures and I wonder, I
- 2 really wonder if they're going to have to condemn some
- 3 property in order to get some of those big trucks of theirs
- 4 around some of the corners.
- I guess I was planning to give you some background
- 6 on myself. I have lived my whole life in the Columbia River
- 7 Gorge. I was born in the Dalles, Oregon. In my lifetime
- 8 Celilo Falls was still around. My dad every time company
- 9 came to town my dad would take us all out to the dam and
- 10 you'd think he built the thing himself. He was so proud of
- 11 it. You know, he would talk about all the power that it
- 12 sent to California and everything. And, you know, at this
- 13 point I look at all that the people of the Gorge have given
- 14 and given and given for energy in this country and it's all
- 15 gone somewhere else. And now we're going to be asked to
- 16 give some more, just a little bit more.
- 17 And I love this place passionately and I love the
- 18 democratic process and I so appreciate that you folks are
- 19 here and are listening because our county commissioners did
- 20 not listen. They did not listen to the people of Underwood
- 21 and they forced the zoning project on the county that the
- 22 hearing examiner even though they were signing her paycheck
- 23 she couldn't swallow it. So they're having to go back and
- 24 do some work on that.
- I am so ready to roll the dice with EFSEC over

- 1 Skamania County you cannot believe. I would support what
- 2 others have said about independent studies. I don't want
- 3 studies that are being financed by the proponent. I want
- 4 truly neutral studies of the wildlife and human impacts.
- 5 But that transportation piece has me very worried. Also I
- 6 know your chair formally served on the Columbia River Gorge
- 7 Commission. That's a real small club and I actually belong
- 8 to that club too.
- 9 The National Scenic Area is a major concern to me
- 10 because I think there's a potential for a precedent here.
- 11 This whole Gorge is windy, and it's windy on both sides of
- 12 the river, and it wouldn't take a whole lot of the blinking
- 13 red lights to really trash it. I have to confess I'm very
- 14 ambivalent about wind energy in general. I like the idea of
- 15 it and even this project I thought, well, you know, I've
- 16 seen those things. The first time I heard about it I ran
- 17 out to Klondike in Wasco and looked at the turbines there
- 18 and listened to them, and I decided, you know, that wasn't
- 19 all that bad. And then last summer I had occasion to be in
- 20 Goldendale all day, a really long day with kids and horses,
- 21 and I came home at night and that was an eye opener for me.
- 22 I had never seen those wind farms at night, and it really
- 23 changed the way I felt about them.
- So I'm glad you're here and thank you so much for
- listening and I'll have more to tell you tomorrow in

- 1 Underwood. I also look forward to seeing you at 10:00 in
- 2 the morning.
- 3 CHAIR LUCE: All right. Those who have testified
- 4 tonight I'm not encouraging people to show up tomorrow and
- 5 testify unless there's new material that you haven't covered
- 6 tonight. So we are having two hearings and the purpose of
- 7 that was to make time available for those who couldn't
- 8 travel from Underwood and that was what we were told and
- 9 that's what we believe so I just want to get that on the
- 10 record that that is something we're going to look at
- 11 tomorrow.
- 12 Willemina.
- MS. NEWELL: For the record, I was told that if I
- 14 come back that I could testify.
- 15 CHAIR LUCE: Well, the Chair will rule on that
- 16 tomorrow, but we don't want repetitive testimony, Sally, and
- 17 I know you'll appreciate that having been a former member of
- 18 the Gorge Commission.
- 19 MR. SLOCKISH: You said the land use issues.
- 20 CHAIR LUCE: We're going to have a second public
- 21 meeting comment session like tonight, scoping.
- MR. SLOCKISH: That was for land. I never
- 23 addressed the land use.
- 24 CHAIR LUCE: That's correct, and you'll have a
- 25 chance to do that tomorrow. The public comment session

- 1 tomorrow begins at, Allen, 2:30?
- 2 MR. FIKSDAL: 2:30.
- 3 CHAIR LUCE: 2:30 and it is intended for those
- 4 people who could not travel from Underwood or east of
- 5 Stevenson. If people have already made comments for the
- 6 record tonight, we are not encouraging people to show up
- 7 tomorrow and repeat those comments. We will consider
- 8 everything that everybody said and you can write anything
- 9 additional that you want to and submit it to us.
- 10 Willemina.
- MS. NIOSI: I was the fat lady and I've already
- 12 sang.
- 13 CHAIR LUCE: Well, you sang very beautifully. I'm
- 14 glad my wife didn't get me that card.
- 15 Scott Hulbert.
- 16 AUDIENCE MEMBER: But we will be able to speak to
- 17 it on the land use issues.
- 18 CHAIR LUCE: Yes, absolutely.
- 19 AUDIENCE MEMBER: 6:00 or 6:30?
- 20 CHAIR LUCE: 6:30. So there's two different
- 21 hearings. One is the public comment which you attended
- 22 tonight and the second one is land use hearing.
- 23 Scott Hulbert, welcome.
- 24 COMMENTS BY SCOTT HULBERT
- Yes, my name is Scott Hulbert. I live at 742

- 1 Northwest Lincoln in White Salmon, and in the spirit of full
- 2 disclosure I don't own property that will be majorly
- 3 impacted but minorly impact, I mean personally property that
- 4 will be impacted. I'm mainly here just sort of in the
- 5 defense of the National Scenic Area.
- 6 CHAIR LUCE: Just one question, Scott. Is that
- 7 H-u-l-b-e-r-t?
- 8 MR. HULBERT: Correct.
- 9 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you.
- 10 MR. HULBERT: So the purpose of I feel like I'm
- 11 here to sort of help represent some of those other people in
- 12 the U.S. who are not able to come here and probably have no
- idea maybe even that a National Scenic Area exists here.
- I think what really drove me to come here is one
- 15 evening I was coming down from Goldendale late at night and
- 16 I was just completely blown away once we approached the
- 17 Maryhill area and saw the impact that those red lights had
- 18 on just visually it was amazing the way that they were all
- 19 synchronized. It wasn't just a random flashing of lights.
- 20 It was a line of strobe of just flashing in one continuous
- 21 line, and, you know, I guess I can after learning more about
- 22 wind power I can accept that out in that area where there's
- 23 not a lot of people and, you know, there's a need for some
- 24 green energy. But the thoughts of this occurring occur in
- 25 our area this National Scenic Area is what drove me here

- 1 tonight.
- 2 So I have two main concerns and one comment and I
- 3 think I'll be finished. I'm going to reiterate this. I
- 4 think from Hood River and from coming from the Dalles and
- 5 coming from driving up from Portland at night this is going
- 6 to be a major distraction, and I drive this road everyday to
- 7 Portland and back and I know exactly where they're going to
- 8 be and it's a huge concern for me.
- 9 My second concern is that key provision of the
- 10 National Scenic Act is the idea of manmade objects being
- 11 visually subordinate to the natural resources, especially in
- 12 these key viewing areas. I don't feel this project would
- 13 fit that definition.
- 14 And referring to Section 4 of the application, I
- 15 really was seeking more information and I didn't feel that
- 16 the photos were an accurate representation of what impact
- 17 this will have visually from key viewing areas. The
- 18 problems I have with the application were that they seemed
- 19 less than accurate in regards to ratios of height. You
- 20 know, some of the trees looked like they were not much
- 21 shorter than these towers. I know they're 400 plus feet
- 22 tall.
- The problem I have with the photos again is that
- 24 it really doesn't show the visual contrast that these
- 25 windmills will have. They were all, I mean most of the

- 1 photos were taken in the late to mid afternoon, some of them
- 2 almost directly into the sun, and there's no visual contrast
- 3 there. So I really can't get a good grasp on what impact
- 4 visually this is going to have. So I would ask the Council
- 5 to take the time to go to these areas that are in these
- 6 photos.
- 7 I don't think this requires a major computer
- 8 analysis. I would love for you to go to these places,
- 9 either go over out to Rowena Crest and on an afternoon if
- 10 you look out 30 miles east you'll see the wind farms out
- 11 there, and, you know, so the bottom line for me here is to
- 12 ask you to not approve this application. We don't need this
- 13 type of thing in the National Scenic Area. Thank you.
- 14 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much.
- 15 Stephen Bronsveld. Welcome.
- 16 COMMENTS BY STEPHEN BRONSVELD
- 17 Thank you very much. My name is Steven Bronsveld.
- 18 That's B-r-o-n-s-v-e-l-d.
- 19 CHAIR LUCE: And Stephen's with a p-h.
- MR. BRONSVELD: P-h, yes. Thank you very much. I
- 21 live at 1111 Scoggins Road in Underwood, Washington. My
- 22 house is about probably two miles from the site because I'm
- 23 a little further east and you get into Scoggins. And I
- 24 won't be seeing any of the towers from my house because I
- 25 look primarily southeast on the south side of Underwood

- 1 Mountain.
- I really am grateful that you came here tonight to
- 3 check this all out and listen to us talk. We've had several
- 4 meetings about this kind of stuff before, and one thing I
- 5 wanted to point out to you is that any community -- by the
- 6 way, I've been here 23 years and I've lived in the area for
- 7 30 years, and we have a longstanding existing plan for
- 8 long-term economic stability. It's been in effect for
- 9 approximately a hundred years. It's been worked on by
- 10 countless generations of people and it is a longstanding
- 11 benefit to our community. It's provided jobs, resources,
- income, and stability for our community for like I said
- 13 about a hundred years.
- 14 There has been no mention of this plan in any of
- 15 these presentations, and there's been no rationale given for
- 16 abandoning that plan for a new plan. It's very risky. It
- 17 might have some merit to it, but it is contrary to the
- 18 existing plan we have now which is based on resources in the
- 19 higher elevations being collected and transported downhill,
- 20 how convenient, to processing centers further down by the
- 21 river where transportation is available. Like I said, it's
- 22 been working for a long time, and we have a good thing
- 23 going. Now things are changing and we have need for more
- income in the county because the diminishing income from
- other sources, and that's understandable and those are

- 1 changing circumstances.
- I have not seen any presentation in all of these
- 3 things about this that has demonstrated conclusively that
- 4 the county will make one thin dime net off of this project.
- 5 In fact, I propose that this project will actually be a
- 6 money losing proposition for the county when all of the
- 7 expenses and the diminishing of revenue generated by this
- 8 thing are calculated completely and looked at thoroughly
- 9 which haven't been done yet.
- 10 And we just lived through a time in this country
- 11 where a lot of math wasn't done very well so I suggest you
- 12 do the math and we look at these things. The proposal says
- we'll get 700 and some odd thousand dollars in property tax
- 14 revenues, but we need to look at the expenses as well. Our
- 15 local fire call down in Underwood is looking at what kind of
- 16 facilities and manpower and equipment is going to be
- 17 necessary for dealing with these new structures that have
- 18 never been part of our plan before. There is no evolution
- 19 of any infrastructure or sources that deal with 400-foot
- 20 tall anything in our community, let alone these huge
- 21 buildings that they are going to build.
- The other thing I wanted to mention we have no
- 23 guarantees about how long these things will be in place, how
- long they will generate property tax revenues for the
- 25 county. This is the crux of PSE up in Seattle that's

- 1 funding the thing, but it's now been purchased by a Canadian
- 2 concern. So where's the crux of the international monetary
- 3 exchange rates and tax incentives for these kinds of things
- 4 which are subject to change with political pressures and
- 5 fluctuations in markets which may lead to this income stream
- 6 not being guaranteed in the future? What happens when it's
- 7 more convenient to take the towers down and move them
- 8 someplace else for tax benefits elsewhere? We have no
- 9 guarantees about that, and that's a concern of mine.
- 10 Like other people mentioned we might have loss of
- 11 other potential development, commercial event sites. We
- 12 have a big ordinance, you know, a zoning thing that allows
- for these commercial event centers, and who's going to build
- one if they get a bad write-up in a magazine about the area,
- 15 the scenic impacts? So there are commercial influences like
- 16 that that could affect the income stream also. And I'm
- 17 concerned about that because the county needs a project that
- 18 will make the country money. That's what we need. If it's
- 19 a money losing operation, we don't need the project. That's
- 20 digging the hole deeper we're already in. We don't want to
- 21 do that as a community. That's not good for us
- 22 economically.
- I've mentioned some other things in here that I've
- 24 taken note. I'm concerned where we're going to get the
- 25 birds. They cover in here it said 1.9 birds killed per

- 1 tower. So I'm just saying if that's true where do we get
- 2 the birds? We've only got so many of them in Underwood and
- 3 I'm concerned. We might have to import from someplace else
- 4 and my concern is how many. So I'm concerned about that.
- 5 The county makes money. I'm just looking at my
- 6 notes here. The noise center. Someone mentioned that. It
- 7 was very interesting.
- 8 The other thing that I wanted to talk about was
- 9 the road access. The map over here shows widening necessary
- 10 at the intersection of Scoggins and Kollack-Knapp Road. You
- 11 will go through there on your tour tomorrow. The applicant
- 12 came to a meeting at Underwood Community Center which was a
- 13 hearing of the Skamania County Commission and the Underwood
- 14 Community. That applicant assured us -- I asked about it
- 15 three separate times, three separate questions -- that this
- 16 project could be accomplished within the existing rights of
- 17 way of the existing public roads and thoroughfares that
- 18 connect the major thoroughfares with this project, and the
- 19 County Commissioner Jamie Tolfree told us that no
- 20 transportation plan would be approved that did not meet that
- 21 standard.
- Now I'm concerned that the applicant is requesting
- 23 that this project would require the condemnation of private
- 24 land to widen this intersection. And if that's the case,
- 25 that would be contrary to what's been promised and presented

- 1 to the community, and actually that would be important. The
- 2 idea that some commercial concern being able to trespass on
- 3 private property or even take it was assured that it
- 4 wouldn't happen, and I would think that that would be a deal
- 5 breaker right there. So they would have to get small towers
- 6 or something, figure out some way to make the turn and they
- 7 can do that.
- 8 So that was the -- oh, one last thing was that,
- 9 you know, I knew some people that were country club owners
- 10 in Hood River and the Meredith Motel used to be right there
- 11 on 84. It's now I think the Intertribal Horse Agency. But
- 12 their office there is at the Meredith Club. Now the people
- over there don't talk about that finally when that 20-foot,
- 14 25-foot tall red neon light up there was shut down for the
- 15 last time and removed. They talk about when the city passed
- 16 an ordinance 30 years ago that got it to stop blinking.
- 17 That's what they talked about. That's when they got their
- 18 lives back, when the sky, the underside of the clouds quit
- 19 throbbing with that incessant alarm, that warning signal,
- 20 that tremulous cadence bringing in the internal mood of
- 21 sadness as we all grow upset. That's what it is. It's a
- 22 warning signal to aircraft. So what is that going to do to
- 23 us who to live in that warning signal? I think that that's
- 24 something we ought to think about, and we don't need that.
- 25 And I will be able to see that at my place unfortunately.

- 1 So I hope you consider all of those things. I'm
- 2 looking forward to your visit in our little community over
- 3 there. I hope you have a good time. Thank you very much.
- 4 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you and we will look forward to
- 5 being in Underwood tomorrow.
- Is there anyone else present tonight who has not
- 7 signed who would like to offer testimony tonight?
- 8 Up all right. Second time. Is there anyone else
- 9 who wants to offer testimony tonight?
- MR. SLOCKISH: I would like to make one additional
- 11 comment.
- 12 CHAIR LUCE: Yes, sir.
- MR. SLOCKISH: For the record, the Columbia Gorge
- 14 Audubon Society has no authorization to speak on any of our
- 15 own tribal issues. That is our own internal affairs.
- 16 Celilo Falls died. It's under deep water. We don't like to
- 17 talk about it. It's dead. We want it to rest in peace. So
- 18 all of these issues are not authorized by our people to
- 19 speak on our behalf. That has been done too many times in
- 20 the past. We speak for ourselves. No one else.
- 21 CHAIR LUCE: Thank you very much.
- 22 Now --
- MR. BARKER: I would like to comment.
- 24 CHAIR LUCE: Is it a response to another comment?
- MR. BARKER: No.

- 1 CHAIR LUCE: Oh, sure. Come forward and give us
- 2 your name, spelling, address. Try to observe the
- 3 four-minute rule.
- 4 COMMENTS BY CHARLES BARKER
- 5 My name is Charles Barker, B-a-r-k-e-r. I live in
- 6 Mosier, 3375 Vensel Road, and I'd like the EFSEC to consider
- 7 that renewable energy is only capable in this region up to
- 8 6,000 megawatts. In other words, we have enough hydro and
- 9 hydropower in place right now to effectively carry
- 10 6,000 megawatts of power renewable. After that point we
- 11 will be saturated and we have to start building more
- 12 gas-fired turbines. That's by the renewable impacts
- 13 statement by Bonneville, and I'd like to know what you're
- 14 going to do now that we are at almost 6,000 just here in the
- 15 Columbia Basin. The region is Northern California, Oregon,
- 16 Washington, Idaho, and Montana. That is the region,
- 17 6,000 megawatts for that region. We are approaching
- 18 6,000 megawatts in the Columbia Basin.
- When you get to 6,000 megawatts then we're
- 20 saturated and we're going to be penetrated with more wind
- 21 power up to 30,000 megawatts. Every 1,200, every megawatt
- of renewable energy is required to have a megawatt of backup
- 23 power provided by gas-fired turbines in this region. So for
- 24 6,000 megawatts we will be building -- well, let's see.
- 25 6,000, 1,200, that's five new gas-fired plants right there,

- and you'll be asked to okay or not okay sometime here very
- 2 shortly in the future. Then when we go to 30 megawatts
- 3 renewable, 30,000 megawatts of renewable energy that's going
- 4 to another 25 more gas-fired power plants to back up the
- 5 renewables. Have you thought about this? We've asked this
- 6 question to the EFSEC in Oregon before and they said, "Well,
- 7 when we get to that threshold, we'll worry about it then."
- 8 We are at that threshold. You're the same region, you have
- 9 the same responsibility as the EFSEC in Oregon, Idaho,
- 10 Montana. It's all one big region.
- 11 You've got you're approaching the 6,000 megawatts
- 12 here. I hate to be redundant, but you're going to be in big
- 13 trouble because you have to build these gas plants. Where
- 14 are you going to put all these, people, when it comes time
- 15 to start building all the gas plants and to plug in these
- 16 units that are already out here and putting them into a new
- 17 grid? We're going to have to build a whole new grid. It's
- 18 going to run through the taxpayer, that will be run through
- 19 the taxpayer. Rates are going to increase astronomically,
- 20 and on top of all this when we get to the saturation or the
- 21 penetration point, which is after saturation at 6,000
- 22 megawatts, up 30,000 at penetration, then all of a sudden
- 23 natural gas is going to go through the roof. So we're going
- 24 to be screwed, hard. Thank you.
- 25 CHAIR LUCE: All right. I appreciate your

- 1 comments. I think Bonneville probably has a spot in its
- 2 environmental impact statement or elsewhere, other
- 3 Bonneville documents that looks at this wind integration
- 4 issue that you're referring to.
- 5 MR. BARKER: There is a document that was put out
- 6 in the beginning and it says that -- actually it says in the
- 7 very first paragraph that wind energy is totally
- 8 unsustainable.
- 9 CHAIR LUCE: I think that's a good issue for
- 10 Bonneville to wrestle with.
- 11 MR. BARKER: That was in the very first paragraph.
- 12 CHAIR LUCE: Okay. That's a good Bonneville
- 13 issue.
- So I want to talk briefly about tomorrow. We have
- 15 a tour commencing at 10:00 a.m., Allen. That would be in
- 16 Underwood at 10:00 a.m. Where are we going to be in
- 17 Underwood?
- 18 MR. FIKSDAL: Underwood Community Center.
- 19 CHAIR LUCE: So those of you who want to come
- 20 along on the tour it's tomorrow at 10:00 a.m. at the
- 21 Underwood Community Center. You're welcome to come. We
- 22 can't talk to you. We're going to be observers, and you
- 23 shouldn't as you know talk to us and we'll try to make it
- 24 possible for everyone who wants to come.
- 25 Then tomorrow afternoon at 2:30 we're going to

- 1 have -- I want to be clear about this -- another public
- 2 comment session for the benefit of those people who could
- 3 not travel from Underwood down here tonight.
- 4 MR. FIKSDAL: About the tour tomorrow?
- 5 CHAIR LUCE: Yes.
- 6 MR. FIKSDAL: I want to remind people that we are
- 7 not providing transportation for anybody that would come on
- 8 the tour, and we've been warned that you probably need a
- 9 four-wheel drive vehicle because of the wet weather and the
- 10 dirt roads. So be aware that the roads aren't in great
- 11 condition I've been informed so you have to take that into
- 12 consideration if you want to go along on the tour.
- 13 CHAIR LUCE: So 2:30 the public comment session
- 14 for people who were not able to come here tonight. 6:30 we
- 15 will have a land use hearing. I'm just going to say
- 16 something very briefly about the land use hearing. It's
- 17 required as part of our process, and I'll just read from the
- 18 WAC.
- 19 At the commencement of the public land use hearing
- 20 the Council shall explain the purpose of the hearing to
- 21 determine whether at the time of the application the
- 22 proposed facility was consistent and in compliance with land
- 23 use and zoning ordinances. So that's the issue. There is
- 24 also a procedure to be followed where certificates affirming
- 25 consistency with land use are offered and the WAC provides

- 1 that the rule -- I'm just reading the rule -- contemplates
- 2 that applicants will enter as exhibits at the land use
- 3 hearing certificates from local authorities attesting to the
- 4 fact that the proposal is consistent and in compliance with
- 5 zoning plans and zoning ordinances. If that's done, then
- 6 such certificates are prima facie evidence on their face
- 7 proof of consistency and compliance.
- 8 So what we're going to be looking for tomorrow is
- 9 to find out if there's anything from the county that offers
- 10 consistency with land use, and other people who want to
- 11 comment on the land use will have an opportunity to do so.
- 12 And we will not make a decision tomorrow on land use, but we
- will consider all of the comments, hopefully anything that
- 14 local authorities want to offer, and we will take the matter
- 15 under advisement.
- 16 So don't expect a land use consistency decision
- 17 tomorrow, but you should expect the right to comment on that
- 18 issue.
- 19 So thank you very much. Is there anything else
- 20 now?
- 21 All right. We're adjourned.
- 22 \* \* \* \* \*
- 23 (Public comment meeting adjourned at 10:05 p.m.)

24

25

			Page 130
1	INDEX		
2	PUBLIC COMMENTS	PAGE	
3	PAUL PEARCE	21	
4	SIMON SAMPSON	26	
5	WILBUR SLOCKISH, JR.	28	
6	JOHNNY JACKSON	30	
7	DAN RAWLEY	35	
8	TOM ROUSSEAU	38	
9	PEGGY BRYAN	40	
10	BOB WITTENBERG	43	
11	SCOTT PINEO	47	
12	PETER CORNELISON	49	
13	RAYMOND PERKINS	50	
14	ANN LEUDERS	52	
15	MARY REPAR	55	
16	KATE McCARTHY	59	
17	TERESA ROBBINS	61	
18	SALLIE TUCKER JONES	68	
19	PAUL SMITH	71	
20	DON MORBY	76	
21	JILL BARKER	78	
22	JOHN CRUMPACKER	83	
23	GARY COLLINS	99	
24	WALT LOEHRKE	100	
25	JIM HUTCHISON	102	

			Page 131
1		I N D E X	
2	PUBLIC COMMENTS	PAGE	
3	LEANNE HAIGHT	105	
4	STEVE ANDRUSS	107	
5	STEVE CURLEY	108	
6	SALLY NEWELL	111	
7	SCOTT HULBERT	115	
8	STEPHEN BRONSVELD	118	
9	CHARLES BARKER	125	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	Page 132	
1	In re: Whistling Ridge Energy Project	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	AFFIDAVIT	
8		
9	I, Shaun Linse, CCR, do hereby certify that the	
10	foregoing transcript prepared under my direction is a	
11	full and complete transcript of proceedings held on	
12	May 6, 2009, in Stevenson, Washington.	
13		
14		
15	Shaun Linse, CCR 2029	
16	bliddir Hillse, Cell 2029	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		