



# Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council AGENDA

**MONTHLY MEETING**  
**Tuesday, March 17, 2015**  
**1:30 PM**

**1300 S Evergreen Park Drive SW**  
**Olympia, WA 98504**  
**Hearing Room 206**

- 1. Call to Order** .....Bill Lynch, EFSEC Chair
- 2. Roll Call** .....Tammy Mastro, EFSEC Staff
- 3. Proposed Agenda** .....Bill Lynch, EFSEC Chair
- 4. Minutes**      **Meeting Minutes**..... Bill Lynch, EFSEC Chair
  - February 17, 2015
- 5. Other**      **a. EFSEC Council**
  - Legislation Update .....Bill Lynch, EFSEC Chair
- 6. Projects**      **a. Kittitas Valley Wind Project**
  - Operational Update.....Eric Melbardis, EDP Renewables**b. Wild Horse Wind Power Project**
  - Operational Update.....Jennifer Diaz, Puget Sound Energy**c. Grays Harbor Energy Center**
  - Operational Update.....Rich Downen, Grays Harbor Energy**d. Chehalis Generation Facility**
  - Operational Update.....Mark Miller, Chehalis Generation Staff**e. Columbia Generating Station**
  - Operational Update.....Shannon Khounnala, Energy Northwest**f. WNP - 1/4**
  - Operational Update.....Shannon Khounnala, Energy Northwest**g. Tesoro/Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal**
  - Project Update.....Sonia Bumpus, EFSEC Staff
  - SharePoint Demonstration.....Tammy Mastro, EFSEC Staff
- 7. Adjourn** .....Bill Lynch, EFSEC Chair

**Note: "FINAL ACTION"** means a collective positive or negative decision, or an actual vote by a majority of the members of a governing body when sitting as a body or entity, upon a motion, proposal, resolution, order, or ordinance. RCW 42.30.02

Page 1

Page 3

WASHINGTON STATE  
ENERGY FACILITY SITE EVALUATION COUNCIL  
Richard Hemstad Building  
1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest  
Conference Room 206  
Olympia, Washington  
Tuesday, February 17, 2015  
1:31 p.m.

MONTHLY COUNCIL MEETING  
Verbatim Transcript of Proceeding

REPORTED BY: SHERRILYN SMITH, CCR# 2097

Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC  
1325 Fourth Avenue  
Suite 1840  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
206.287.9066 | Seattle  
360.534.9066 | Olympia  
800.846.6989 | National  
www.buellrealtime.com

Page 2

## APPEARANCES

### Councilmembers Present:

Bill Lynch, Chair  
Liz Green-Taylor, Department of Commerce  
Cullen Stephenson, Department of Ecology  
Joe Stohr, Department of Fish and Wildlife

### Local Government and Optional State Agency:

Bryan Snodgrass, City of Vancouver (via phone)  
Christina Martinez, Department of Transportation

### Assistant Attorney General:

Ann Essko, Assistant Attorney General

### Staff in Attendance:

Stephen Posner  
Tammy Mastro  
Sonia Bumpus  
Kali Vraspir  
Joan Aitken

### Guests in Attendance:

Mark Miller, PacifiCorp Energy  
Jay Derr, Van Ness Feldman  
Richard Downen, Grays Harbor Energy

### Guests in Attendance Via Phone:

Brad Barfus, Energy Northwest  
Eric Melbardis, EDP Renewables, Kittitas Valley  
Timothy L. McMahan, Stoel Rives  
Jennifer Diaz, Puget Sound Energy  
Karen McGaffey, Perkins Cole  
Haley Edwards, Puget Sound Energy  
Bronson Potter, Vancouver

-o0o-

OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, FEBRUARY 17, 2015

1:31 P.M.

-000-

CHAIR LYNCH: Good afternoon. Today is February 17th, 2015, in the regular February meeting of the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council.

Could we please have Staff call the roll.

MS. MASTRO: Department of Commerce?

MS. GREEN-TAYLOR: Liz Green-Taylor

here.

MS. MASTRO: Department of Ecology?

MR. STEPHENSON: Cullen Stephenson here.

MS. MASTRO: Fish and Wildlife?

MR. STOHR: Joe Stohr is here.

MS. MASTRO: Department of Natural Resources?

CHAIR LYNCH: Excused.

MS. MASTRO: Utilities and

Transportation Commission?

CHAIR LYNCH: Excused.

MS. MASTRO: Local Governments and

Optional State Agencies.

Department of Transportation?

MS. MARTINEZ: Christina Martinez here.

Page 4

MS. MASTRO: City of Vancouver?

MR. SNODGRASS: Bryan Snodgrass on the phone.

MS. MASTRO: Port of Vancouver?

CHAIR LYNCH: He may be calling in late, or otherwise he is excused.

MS. MASTRO: Chair, there is a quorum.

CHAIR LYNCH: Thank you.

Can I please have the Councilmembers review the proposed agenda and see if they have any suggested edits. There will be only one action item today.

Hearing none, I would like to give an opportunity for those people who are on the telephone to identify themselves, realizing you are not required to, so please go ahead.

MR. MELBARDIS: This is Eric Melbardis with EDP Renewables for the Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project.

MR. McMAHAN: Tim McMahan with Stoel Rives.

MS. DIAZ: Jennifer Diaz with Puget Sound Energy, Wild Horse Wind Facility.

MS. EDWARDS: Haley Edwards with Puget Sound Energy.

MS. McGAFFEY: Karen McGaffey, Perkins

Page 5	Page 7
<p>1 Coie.</p> <p>2 MR. BARFUS: Good afternoon. This is</p> <p>3 Brad Barfus from Energy Northwest.</p> <p>4 CHAIR LYNCH: Anybody else that's on the</p> <p>5 phone that would like to identify themselves?</p> <p>6 MR. POTTER: Hi. Bronson Potter just</p> <p>7 joined.</p> <p>8 CHAIR LYNCH: Thank you.</p> <p>9 And just a reminder for those of you who are</p> <p>10 on the telephone listening to this. We have had</p> <p>11 problems the last few Councilmembers [sic] with people</p> <p>12 not muting their phones, and then we get a lot of</p> <p>13 either feedback or noise that comes back over our</p> <p>14 phones. And then when we mute you up here, it means</p> <p>15 that people who are listening in cannot hear</p> <p>16 everything that is being said at the Council meeting.</p> <p>17 Please do us the courtesy of muting your phone, if you</p> <p>18 are not going to speak.</p> <p>19 Thank you.</p> <p>20 Let's go ahead and turn to the meeting minutes</p> <p>21 for January 20th. Are there any proposed changes to</p> <p>22 that?</p> <p>23 I'll give you all a few extra minutes to look</p> <p>24 at this.</p> <p>25 (Pause in the proceedings.)</p>	<p>1 fairly low, as they typically are in the winter.</p> <p>2 Although, this year winter seems to have passed us by.</p> <p>3 CHAIR LYNCH: I'm sorry, could you speak</p> <p>4 up a little bit louder, Mr. Melbardis? I missed that</p> <p>5 last thing you were saying. If you could speak a</p> <p>6 little louder, I would appreciate it.</p> <p>7 MR. MELBARDIS: Okay. Is that better?</p> <p>8 CHAIR LYNCH: Not much better.</p> <p>9 MR. MELBARDIS: Sorry about that.</p> <p>10 I just was saying that it has been a fairly</p> <p>11 light winter for us, and it seems to be about over, so</p> <p>12 we will be going into monitoring for stormwater</p> <p>13 discharge.</p> <p>14 CHAIR LYNCH: Okay. Any questions for</p> <p>15 Mr. Melbardis?</p> <p>16 Thank you.</p> <p>17 And now we will have an update for Wild Horse</p> <p>18 Wind Power Project. Ms. Diaz?</p> <p>19 MS. DIAZ: Yes. Thank you, Chair Lynch</p> <p>20 and Councilmembers. I only have two nonroutine</p> <p>21 updates this afternoon. The first item is the Spill</p> <p>22 Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan was</p> <p>23 revised recently, to include a new oil storage</p> <p>24 container and emergency diesel-powered generator. The</p> <p>25 revised plan was reviewed by Department of Ecology and</p>
Page 6	Page 8
<p>1 CHAIR LYNCH: It looks like Ms. Bumpus</p> <p>2 was 90 percent of the hearing last time.</p> <p>3 (Pause in the proceedings.)</p> <p>4 CHAIR LYNCH: At this time, I will go</p> <p>5 ahead and entertain a motion for approval of the</p> <p>6 January 20th, 2015 minutes.</p> <p>7 MR. STEPHENSON: Chair Lynch, I will</p> <p>8 move that we approve the minutes from Tuesday,</p> <p>9 January 20th.</p> <p>10 CHAIR LYNCH: Do I have a second?</p> <p>11 MR. STOHR: I'll second.</p> <p>12 CHAIR LYNCH: It has been moved and</p> <p>13 seconded that we approve the minutes from</p> <p>14 January 20th, 2015. All those in favor say "aye."</p> <p>15 MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.</p> <p>16 CHAIR LYNCH: Opposed?</p> <p>17 Motion carries.</p> <p>18 We will go ahead and turn to updates from</p> <p>19 various facilities. We will start first with the</p> <p>20 Kittitas Valley Wind Project. Mr. Melbardis, you're</p> <p>21 up.</p> <p>22 MR. MELBARDIS: Good afternoon, Chair</p> <p>23 Lynch, EFSEC Council. This is Eric Melbardis for the</p> <p>24 Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project, EDP Renewables.</p> <p>25 We have nothing nonroutine to report. Winds have been</p>	<p>1 certified by a professional engineer. EFSEC staff</p> <p>2 formally approved the revisions on February 5th.</p> <p>3 The second update is related to eagles. As a</p> <p>4 recap, PSE filed a preliminary draft Eagle</p> <p>5 Conservation Plan with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife</p> <p>6 Service in December. The Service is currently</p> <p>7 reviewing the draft and will provide comments to PSE</p> <p>8 as --</p> <p>9 (Bridge line interruption.)</p> <p>10 MS. DIAZ: -- to support the development</p> <p>11 and implementation of the Eagle Conservation Plan.</p> <p>12 PSE will be conducting one year of eagle use surveys</p> <p>13 and eagle fatality monitoring, which are scheduled to</p> <p>14 begin next month. The protocols for the surveys and</p> <p>15 monitoring were submitted to TAC members for review</p> <p>16 and comment on January 23rd. A follow-up meeting was</p> <p>17 then held on February 12th, to discuss the protocols</p> <p>18 in detail and to answer questions from TAC members.</p> <p>19 Based on feedback from the TAC, the protocols</p> <p>20 were revised slightly to include data collection</p> <p>21 regarding the availability of prey at Wild Horse. The</p> <p>22 surveys and monitoring are scheduled to begin mid</p> <p>23 March.</p> <p>24 That's all I have for today.</p> <p>25 CHAIR LYNCH: Ms. Diaz, you said it was</p>



Page 9

Page 11

1 updated to reflect the availability of what?  
 2 MS. DIAZ: The availability of prey.  
 3 CHAIR LYNCH: Oh, prey.  
 4 MS. DIAZ: Prey, yes.  
 5 CHAIR LYNCH: Okay. Thank you.  
 6 MS. DIAZ: Eagle prey.  
 7 CHAIR LYNCH: What I thought I heard you  
 8 say didn't make any sense to me. Thank you.  
 9 MS. DIAZ: Absolutely.  
 10 CHAIR LYNCH: Mr. Posner, just to  
 11 clarify for the Councilmembers, the plan in relation  
 12 to the new oil storage container and emergency  
 13 diesel-powered generator, that's the sort of thing  
 14 that normally Staff just handles and approves, as part  
 15 of your ongoing oversight, and it doesn't rise to the  
 16 level of Council approval; is that correct?  
 17 MR. POSNER: That's correct.  
 18 CHAIR LYNCH: Thank you.  
 19 Any questions for Ms. Diaz?  
 20 Thank you.  
 21 Mr. Downen. Welcome back.  
 22 MR. DOWNEN: Thank you. I am happy to  
 23 be back. It's beautiful weather. I was in Western  
 24 Illinois where it was subzero. I am happy to be here.  
 25 CHAIR LYNCH: Could you check to see if

1 MR. DOWNEN: That's what I hope too.  
 2 CHAIR LYNCH: Thank you, Mr. Downen.  
 3 Mr. Miller. Chehalis Generation Facility.  
 4 MR. MILLER: Good afternoon, Chair Lynch  
 5 and Councilmembers. My name is Mark Miller. I am the  
 6 plant manager for the PacifiCorp Energy Chehalis  
 7 Generation Facility. I have no nonroutine comments to  
 8 offer today.  
 9 Are there any questions?  
 10 CHAIR LYNCH: Any questions for  
 11 Mr. Miller?  
 12 Thank you.  
 13 MR. MILLER: All right. Thanks.  
 14 CHAIR LYNCH: Columbia Generating  
 15 Station, and then WNP 1 and 4. Ms. Khounnala.  
 16 MR. BARFUS: Good afternoon, Chair  
 17 Lynch. This is Brad Barfus, sitting in for Shannon  
 18 Khounnala this afternoon. Good afternoon,  
 19 Councilmembers and Staff.  
 20 Regarding Columbia Generating Station,  
 21 Columbia has been online for 602 days and we are at  
 22 100 percent power today. There are no nonroutine  
 23 items to report for Columbia this month.  
 24 Are there any questions regarding Columbia?  
 25 CHAIR LYNCH: Any questions for

Page 10

Page 12

1 that microphone is on, please?  
 2 MR. DOWNEN: Yes, it is.  
 3 CHAIR LYNCH: Okay.  
 4 MR. DOWNEN: Good afternoon, Chair,  
 5 Council and Staff. My name is Rich Downen. I am the  
 6 plant manager at Grays Harbor Energy. Our monthly  
 7 report, the only thing that was nonroutine was that  
 8 there was some activity with our PSD Amendment 4  
 9 request. I think we are in our fifth year of working  
 10 out the details of that request. We met with EPA and  
 11 EFSEC on site. EPA has requested a bunch of new data.  
 12 I believe we are making progress, but kind of  
 13 starting -- starting over with a bunch of new data.  
 14 CHAIR LYNCH: My understanding is that  
 15 you furnished them with quite a bit of data, but they  
 16 asked for, was it minute-by-minute data?  
 17 MR. DOWNEN: For two years, yes. That  
 18 will take a while to put together, so we are going to  
 19 work on that.  
 20 CHAIR LYNCH: Thank you.  
 21 Any questions for Mr. Downen?  
 22 Hopefully, we will just continue to get  
 23 through these permits for you. We understand it is a  
 24 lot of work, but we hope to have all of this completed  
 25 for you in the near future.

1 Mr. Barfus regarding Columbia?  
 2 Go ahead and proceed to WNP 1 and 4.  
 3 MR. BARFUS: Okay. Regarding WNP 1 and  
 4 4 Water Rights, no changes from last month's update.  
 5 EN has received a formal copy of the water rights, and  
 6 the Department of Energy continues to evaluate the  
 7 necessary mechanisms for signing a new lease agreement  
 8 for WNP 1 and 4. This evaluation is expected to last  
 9 through approximately March.  
 10 I have no other items to report for WNP 1 and  
 11 4.  
 12 CHAIR LYNCH: Any questions for  
 13 Mr. Barfus?  
 14 No questions. Thank you.  
 15 MR. BARFUS: All right. Thank you.  
 16 CHAIR LYNCH: And, Ms. Bumpus, do you  
 17 want to give us an update on Tesoro-Savage Vancouver  
 18 Energy Distribution Terminal?  
 19 We are still calling it that. What's the --  
 20 MS. BUMPUS: That's correct.  
 21 CHAIR LYNCH: Okay.  
 22 MS. BUMPUS: Thank you, Chair Lynch and  
 23 Councilmembers. Good afternoon. I am going to start  
 24 with an update on the application with respect to  
 25 facility plans.

Page 13	Page 15
<p>1 Just to recap, the February 2014 ASC contained</p> <p>2 a preliminary Draft Oil Spill Contingency Plan and</p> <p>3 Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan. The</p> <p>4 contingency plan was reviewed by our contractor at</p> <p>5 Ecology, and it was determined to be incomplete. A</p> <p>6 letter was provided to the applicant, along with a</p> <p>7 checklist, to assist the applicant with completing the</p> <p>8 plan.</p> <p>9 Also, for the spill prevention plan, we are</p> <p>10 currently finishing the review of that plan. We will</p> <p>11 be completing the review this month, and we will be</p> <p>12 sending a letter to the applicant, letting them know</p> <p>13 what the findings are and what additional information</p> <p>14 they need to provide to complete the plan. I will</p> <p>15 keep you updated on that as we move forward.</p> <p>16 For the permits, there is one issue that has</p> <p>17 come up since the last update that I wanted to just</p> <p>18 let Council know about. The construction that is</p> <p>19 proposed at the marine terminal requires an HPA</p> <p>20 permit, a Hydraulic Project Approval permit. And in</p> <p>21 the February 2014 application, the job performs were</p> <p>22 reviewed by DFW to prepare an HPA permit.</p> <p>23 There was an issue that came up relative to</p> <p>24 in-water work windows. DFW prepared an advisory</p> <p>25 permit because we had not finished SEPA yet. And the</p>	<p>1 disagreement between the federal agencies and the</p> <p>2 state agencies about when that -- those -- when they</p> <p>3 can work in the water without disturbing the fish, and</p> <p>4 that's what needs to be resolved.</p> <p>5 MS. BUMPUS: That is one issue that</p> <p>6 needs to be resolved. The other that is relative to</p> <p>7 just DFW is the in-water work window that they have</p> <p>8 published. I believe it's -- the work window that may</p> <p>9 have been used might be the work window that DFW uses</p> <p>10 for mining, prospect mining. So that's one of the</p> <p>11 other things that we want to clarify, along with the</p> <p>12 point you just raised, Chair Lynch, with what the</p> <p>13 federal agencies will look to as the appropriate work</p> <p>14 window.</p> <p>15 CHAIR LYNCH: It's your understanding</p> <p>16 that these different entities are talking to each</p> <p>17 other?</p> <p>18 MS. BUMPUS: Yes. Our DFW contractor</p> <p>19 has informed me that the DFW Region 5 office is</p> <p>20 working with the Corps and that they are having</p> <p>21 discussions about this issue now.</p> <p>22 CHAIR LYNCH: Good.</p> <p>23 I'm sorry, please continue.</p> <p>24 MS. BUMPUS: Does anyone else have any</p> <p>25 questions before I move on to the other permits?</p>
Page 14	Page 16
<p>1 work windows that are part of the provisions in the</p> <p>2 advisory permit differ from the work windows that are</p> <p>3 stipulated in the ASC and in the biological evaluation</p> <p>4 report that the applicant submitted to the Corps in</p> <p>5 December of 2014.</p> <p>6 We are working with DFW, our contractor at</p> <p>7 DFW, to identify why there is a difference, why there</p> <p>8 is an inconsistency with the published work windows</p> <p>9 that the applicant is using and the actual work</p> <p>10 windows that DFW has recommended they use.</p> <p>11 I will keep you updated on that, but we are</p> <p>12 going to need to resolve the issue and understand why</p> <p>13 there is an inconsistency with the published work</p> <p>14 window and what our DFW contractor is recommending for</p> <p>15 the in-water work.</p> <p>16 CHAIR LYNCH: I'm just going to give a</p> <p>17 little bit of a translation for those of you who don't</p> <p>18 know what all those acronyms mean.</p> <p>19 Essentially, you are saying that because the</p> <p>20 project involves work in the water, they need a permit</p> <p>21 from the Department of Fish &amp; Wildlife, to make sure</p> <p>22 that fish are not impacted, primarily I would think</p> <p>23 because of their migration.</p> <p>24 MS. BUMPUS: Correct.</p> <p>25 CHAIR LYNCH: And so there's a</p>	<p>1 So for the air permit, last month we were</p> <p>2 expecting to provide review comments on NOC, the</p> <p>3 Notice of Construction permit application. We heard</p> <p>4 back from our contractor at the Ecology Air Quality</p> <p>5 Program that the application does look to be complete,</p> <p>6 and that he is going to send a letter recommending</p> <p>7 that we begin drafting the permit. That doesn't mean</p> <p>8 that we won't go back to the applicant for additional</p> <p>9 information. We may need to go back to them and get</p> <p>10 more information as we begin drafting the permit. I</p> <p>11 will keep you updated on how that is going. But we</p> <p>12 will be providing a letter to the applicant later this</p> <p>13 month, letting them know that there has been a</p> <p>14 completeness determination for their NOC application.</p> <p>15 CHAIR LYNCH: With these various</p> <p>16 permits, unlike the air permit, Ms. Bumpus, the</p> <p>17 Council will see that when it is put out for comment</p> <p>18 to the public; is that correct?</p> <p>19 MS. BUMPUS: Correct. You will see it</p> <p>20 before we send it out for comment.</p> <p>21 CHAIR LYNCH: And then we will see it</p> <p>22 again at the end of the process, assuming we would</p> <p>23 make any adjustments based upon the comments, and then</p> <p>24 the Council would have to approve any permit that then</p> <p>25 would be folded into the site certification agreement?</p>



MS. BUMPUS: Correct.

CHAIR LYNCH: So we will see this more than once?

MS. BUMPUS: Yes.

CHAIR LYNCH: Okay. Thank you.

Any questions about the air permit?

Thank you. Please continue.

MS. BUMPUS: This is the last of the permit updates. For the stormwater NPDES industrial and construction permits, just to recap, EFSEC sent a letter dated August 1st, 2014, communicating to the applicant a great deal of additional information that we needed to continue the review of the permit applications. This is for both the industrial and the construction permits. We are waiting on that information still, but we have been told by the applicant that they are intending to provide that additional information to us later this month. Once we receive that information, we can begin reviewing it and determining if we have enough to begin drafting those permits.

I don't have a time line for you. It is very much dependent on when we receive the information from the applicant, and then from there, whether or not it is everything that we needed and all of the permit

have sent to the applicant. Data Request 1 was received last month, but the other three are still out there. We are still waiting on those. So those are some other factors that can slow down the DEIS process. It is information that the DEIS authors need from the applicant. I will keep you updated on that as well. There still are three that we are waiting on, to get back.

CHAIR LYNCH: Any questions for Ms. Bumpus?

MS. MARTINEZ: Ms. Bumpus, you mentioned a potential slight schedule slip. I think earlier we were talking March for the draft EIS. Are we thinking later than that at this point?

MS. BUMPUS: That's right. We wanted to get it to you in March, to begin your review. I think right now it is a matter of just some weeks, a few weeks.

MS. MARTINEZ: Okay.

MS. BUMPUS: But if there is anything substantial that comes up that shifts it dramatically, we will -- you know, we will let you know. Anything more than a few weeks, we are going to let you know as soon as we know, and tell you what the new target date would be. We are still working to stay, you know,

writers are satisfied with the information to go forward. So we will keep you updated on that as well. Basically, no change there. We are still waiting on that information.

Are there any questions about the stormwater permits?

Okay. So moving on. I did want to introduce Patty Betts, who has come on board the EFSEC staff, and is assisting with the draft EIS development. Patty comes with several years of experience in SEPA work and DEIS and EIS development. She is going to be assisting EFSEC with -- and our consultant with developing the DEIS over the next several months.

So to go into the SEPA update, at this time there is not a whole lot to report, other than that there has been some slight shift to the schedule, but it's not -- it doesn't look like it is significant, it may be just a few weeks. We do anticipate there could be some significant shift, but we are going to get the facts together and come to you with dates, if it does look like in fact we are going to need to extend it a little bit, as far as our target date to get it to you.

Other than that, I just wanted to let you know that we have a total of four data requests that we

within the time line that we have set.

MS. MARTINEZ: Thank you.

CHAIR LYNCH: Thank you, Ms. Martinez. Any other questions for Ms. Bumpus?

Thank you.

Mr. Posner.

MR. POSNER: Good afternoon, Chair Lynch, Councilmembers. Before we go on to the potential action item, I just wanted to just update the Councilmembers and let you know, you already know, that we have commenced adjudication. There was an order commencing adjudication that was issued on the 28th of January. It did set some dates for various documents to be submitted. I will just summarize those right now.

So the order was issued on the 28th, petitions for intervention are due on the 27th of February. Objections to those petitions are due on the 6th of March, and then responses to objections are due on the 11th of March.

That is all I have on that matter.

Any questions?

CHAIR LYNCH: Any questions for Mr. Posner regarding the commencement of the adjudication?



Page 21

Page 23

1 Please proceed.

2 MR. POSNER: So the next item before the  
3 Council is request to consider extending the review  
4 time period for the Application for Site  
5 Certification. We have received a letter from the  
6 applicant and we do have the applicant's  
7 representative here to briefly discuss their proposal,  
8 or their letter that they submitted to the Council, if  
9 the Council desires. Essentially, they have sent us a  
10 letter and -- with a date which the Council and the  
11 applicant need to agree to, at least a date needs to  
12 be agreed to. They are suggesting November 1st as the  
13 time that the recommendation would be made to the  
14 governor.

15 So Mr. Derr is here, if the Councilmembers  
16 have questions of him.

17 CHAIR LYNCH: Mr. Derr, do you care to  
18 address the Council?

19 (Pause in the proceedings.)

20 CHAIR LYNCH: A good lawyer always says  
21 "yes."

22 MR. DERR: A good lawyer always comes up  
23 and gets on the record, but doesn't necessarily say  
24 anything.

25 Jay Derr, representative for Vancouver Energy.

Page 22

1 I am basically here to respond to questions, if you  
2 have them. We have sort of laid out in our letter why  
3 we proposed the date that we did. There is new  
4 information that I heard for the first time 30 seconds  
5 ago about EIS. The date we proposed is the date we  
6 proposed based on what we know, which is pretty  
7 limited, about the schedule.

8 I, basically, just am here if you have  
9 questions. I didn't bring my client here because I  
10 didn't think you needed my client here today.

11 CHAIR LYNCH: Any questions for  
12 Mr. Derr?

13 Thank you, Mr. Derr.

14 MR. DERR: Thank you.

15 CHAIR LYNCH: We have a question for  
16 Staff.

17 Mr. Stephenson.

18 MR. STEPHENSON: Thank you, Chair Lynch.  
19 Stephen, is this November 1 deadline  
20 reasonable?

21 MR. POSNER: Well, I think it is  
22 possible. If you -- if you saw my letter, I sent  
23 three letters out to Councilmembers last week, so you  
24 could see sort of the chronology.

25 MR. STEPHENSON: I did see those.

1 MR. POSNER: After talking to our  
2 administrative law judge and sort of reviewing the  
3 process as we envisioned it, my response letter to the  
4 applicant's first letter was that I was hopeful we  
5 would get a recommendation by the end of the year.  
6 And then they responded with the letter that you have  
7 before you.

8 MR. STEPHENSON: Thank you.

9 CHAIR LYNCH: I would agree with  
10 Mr. Posner, that the November 1 deadline at least  
11 looks potentially possible. A lot of it depends on  
12 the adjudication. The fact we have jump-started that  
13 a little bit, I think helps us move further on down  
14 the road.

15 Any other questions regarding the extension of  
16 this deadline?

17 And so we will need a motion to agree to  
18 extend the time for processing this application to  
19 November 1st, 2015. Do I have a motion?

20 MR. STEPHENSON: Chair Lynch, I will  
21 move that we extend the deadline to November 1st.

22 CHAIR LYNCH: Do I have a second?

23 MS. GREEN-TAYLOR: I will second.

24 CHAIR LYNCH: It has been moved and  
25 seconded that the Council extend time for processing

Page 24

1 the Tesoro-Savage application to November 1, 2015.  
2 All those in favor say "aye."

3 MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.

4 CHAIR LYNCH: Opposed?

5 Motion carries.

6 Very good. So we just have a couple items I  
7 wanted to bring up under "Other." One is regarding  
8 our request legislation, Senate Bill 5310. You will  
9 see that in your packets. There is an overview of  
10 that particular piece of legislation. That's our  
11 request legislation that would update our enforcement  
12 authority. That passed unanimously out of the Senate  
13 Energy, Environment and Telecommunications Committee  
14 last week, and it is currently in the Rules Committee.  
15 There was another bill, Senate Bill 5115, which asks  
16 the -- asked EFSEC to study siting of small, modular  
17 nuclear reactors in the state. That is scheduled for  
18 executive action in that same committee tomorrow.  
19 That is the type of bill that normally you will see  
20 get reduced to a budget proviso.

21 And, Ms. Mastro or Mr. Posner, could you give  
22 us a quick update about the greenhouse gas mitigation  
23 list for qualified organizations? That is going to go  
24 out -- the RFQ -- I'm sorry, that is going out this  
25 month or --

Page 25

1 MS. MASTRO: Chair Lynch, the plan is to  
2 put out an RFQ onto the website by the end of the  
3 month. That is calling for qualifications for  
4 greenhouse gas --

5 CHAIR LYNCH: Okay. Very good.

6 As you recall, after we originally established  
7 the list, we have not updated it since that time. We  
8 have since -- while the Chehalis facility has met all  
9 its responsibilities for mitigation under their  
10 particular siting approval, we just think it is a good  
11 idea to update the list because of potential projects  
12 in the future.

13 Thank you.

14 MS. MASTRO: Uh-huh.

15 CHAIR LYNCH: Is there any other  
16 business before the Council today?

17 MR. POSNER: No.

18 CHAIR LYNCH: With that, we're  
19 adjourned. Thank you.

20 (Council meeting concluded 2:01 p.m.)  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Page 26

1 C E R T I F I C A T E  
2

3 STATE OF WASHINGTON  
4 COUNTY OF KING  
5

6 I, Sherrilyn Smith, a Certified  
7 Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington,  
8 do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is  
9 true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill  
10 and ability.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

17 \_\_\_\_\_  
18 SHERRILYN SMITH  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25



# Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project

## Monthly Project Update

March 17, 2015

### Project Status Update

#### **February Production Summary:**

MWh 10,828 MWh  
Wind 4.9 m/s or 10.9 mph  
CF 16%

#### **Safety:**

No incidents

#### **Compliance:**

Project is in compliance as of March 12, 2015.

#### **Sound:**

No complaints

#### **Shadow Flicker:**

No complaints

#### **Environmental:**

Achieved ISO 14001 and OSHAS 18001 certification

## **Wild Horse**

**Wind Production:** February generation totaled 48,732 MWh for an average capacity factor of 26.60%.

**Safety:** No lost-time accidents or safety incidents to report in February.

### **Compliance/Environmental:**

On February 18<sup>th</sup>, the Kittitas County Fire Marshall's office completed the annual Fire and Life Safety Inspection of maintenance facilities at Wild Horse. No violations were observed or noted during the inspection.

On February 26<sup>th</sup>, a stormwater inspection was completed. Overall the site is in good condition and in compliance with the SWPPP. Only a few BMPs required maintenance, which was completed on March 9<sup>th</sup>.



February, 2015

EFSEC Monthly Operational Report

1. Safety and Training

- 1.1. Conducted scheduled and required monthly training.
- 1.2. Conducted the scheduled safety committee meeting.

2. Environmental

- 2.1. Submitted the January Discharge Monitor Report (DMR) for outfall and the 1st Quarter DMR for storm water to EFSEC.
- 2.2. Submitted the 2014 Annual Emissions Summary to ORCAA.
- 2.3. Sent additional plant data to EPA per their request for the PSD Amendment 4 administrative record.

3. Operations & Maintenance

- 3.1. Grays Harbor Energy operated 4 days and generated 34,092 MWh during the month of February.
- 3.2. The capacity factor (CF) was 8.2% in February, and 3.9% YTD.
- 3.3. The availability factor (AF) was 100% in February, and 100% YTD.

4. Noise and/or Odor

- 4.1. There were no complaints made to the site during the month of February.

5. Site Visits

- 5.1. State Representative Kevin Van de Wege toured the plant.

6. Other

- 6.1. Grays Harbor is fully staffed with 22 employees.



**Chehalis Generation Facility----Monthly Plant Report - February 2015****Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council**1813 Bishop Road Chehalis, WA 98532  
Phone (360) 748-1300, FAX (360) 740-1891**17 March 2015**

---

**Safety:**

- There were no recordable incidents this reporting period and the plant staff has achieved 4485 days without a Lost Time Accident.

**Environment:**

- Waste water monitoring results are in compliance with the permit limits for the month of February 2015.

**Personnel:**

- Authorized plant staffing level is currently 19 with 19 positions filled.

**Operations and Maintenance Activities:**

- The Plant did not generate during the month of February. The year-to-date capacity factor is 20.3%.

**Regulatory/Compliance:**

- There were no air emissions deviations, waste-water or stormwater deviations or spills during the month of February 2015.
- Sound monitoring: There were no noise complaints to report.

**Carbon Offset Mitigation**

- Nothing to report this period

Respectfully,

Mark A. Miller  
Manager, Gas Plant  
PacifiCorp-Chehalis Power  
1813 Bishop Road  
Chehalis, WA 98532  
360-827-6462



**Energy Northwest  
EFSEC Council Meeting  
March 17, 2015  
(Brad Barfuss)**

**I. Columbia Generating Station Operational Status**

Columbia is operating at 100% power, generating 1108 megawatts. The plant has 630 days of continuous online operation.

Columbia is in the final planning stages for our refueling outage which will begin on May 9 and will concluded on June 15, 2015.

There are no other events, safety incidents, or regulatory issues to report.

**II. WNP 1/4 Water Rights**

Energy Northwest and The Department of Energy received the formal water right permit from the Department of Ecology in January 2015. The Department of Energy concluded their internal review process to approve a new land lease for WNP 1/4. This review determined that the Department of Energy will need to complete a National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review before finalizing the next lease with the authorized water rights. Energy Northwest is working with the Department of Energy on this effort, which is expected to begin next month.