BEFORE THE STATE OF WASHINGTON ENERGY FACILITY SITE EVALUATION COUNCIL

In the Matter of:

CASE NO. 15-001

Application No. 2013-01 ORDER DENYING TESORO SAVAGE, LLC AND PORT OF VANCOUVER TESORO SAVAGE, LLC **DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS** VANCOUVER ENERGY DISTRIBUTION **TERMINAL** On March 29, 2016, the Port of Vancouver (Port) filed a Motion for Partial Summary 1 Judgment Re: Preemption and a Declaration of Todd Coleman in Support of Motion for 2 Partial Summary Judgment. 3 On March 29, 2016, Tesoro Savage LLC (Tesoro-Savage) filed a Motion to Dismiss 4 Issues 15, 20, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 66 and Portions of Issues 7, 12, 14, 18, 19, 39, 45, 64, 67, 5 and 68 ("Rail Operations Issues"). 6 7 On April 28, 2016, the Port joined in Tesoro-Savage's Motion to Dismiss Issues. 8 On April 28, 2016, The City of Spokane, The City of Vancouver, The City of Washougal, The Department of Natural Resources, Columbia Riverkeeper, et al., Columbia Waterfront, 9 LLC, the Tribal parties, and the Council for the Environment filed responses with attachments 10 and a Declaration of Susan Drummond in opposition to Tesoro-Savage's and the Port's 11 12 motions. 13 14 On May 5, 2016, Tesoro-Savage filed a Reply supporting its motion and a consolidated reply in support of the Port of Vancouver's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. 15 16 On May 4, 2016, BNSF Railway Company (BNSF) filed a Motion for Leave to File Amicus Brief in Support of Vancouver Energy's (Tesoro-Savage) Motion to Dismiss Rail 17 Operation Issues and The Port of Vancouver's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, along 18 with its Amicus Brief. Columbia Riverkeeper et al. and The City of Vancouver filed responses 19 opposing BNSF's motion to file an amicus brief. On May 16, 2016, the Energy Facility Site 20 Evaluation Council (EFSEC, or Council) issued an Order Granting BNSF Railway Company 21 22 Leave to File Amicus Brief. 23 The Council has considered the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and the Motion to Dismiss Issues, and denies them for the reasons set forth in the Discussion and Order below. 24

1 DISCUSSION 2 In its preliminary issues filing, Tesoro-Savage asserted the following four issues it deemed jurisdictional: 3 A. Does EFSEC have jurisdiction to address issues concerning rail transportation or to 4 impose mitigation for impacts associated with rail transportation? 5 B. Does federal law preempt EFSEC from regulating any aspect of the Vancouver Energy 6 Distribution Terminal (VEDT) with regard to rail transportation? 7 C. Does EFSEC have jurisdiction to address issues concerning marine vessel transportation 8 9 or to impose mitigation for impacts associated with marine vessel transportation? D. Does federal law preempt EFSEC from regulating any aspect of the VEDT with regard 10 11 to marine vessel transportation? 12 Partial Summary Judgment Motion 13 In its motion, the Port seeks a determination that federal law expressly preempts the Council's imposition of conditions in a site certification agreement that would impact railroad 14 or Columbia River vessel operations. The Port asserts that the Council must, therefore, grant 15 16 summary judgment as to all adjudication issues concerning rail or vessel traffic. Tesoro-Savage concurs in the Port's motion, but submits no sworn facts, undisputed or otherwise, in 17 support. The remaining parties oppose the Port's motion, arguing that it is not ripe because it 18 19 presupposes the Council will impose unlawful conditions in a site certification agreement, and 20 because granting summary judgment at this time would prevent the Council from doing its statutory duty in this adjudication. 21 22 A Court may grant summary judgment when, on the basis of the facts before it, a reasonable fact finder could reach only one conclusion. SentinelC3, Inc. v. Hunt, 181 Wn2d 23 127, 140 (2014). Summary judgment is appropriate only when the pleadings, affidavits, 24

depositions and admissions on file demonstrate that there is no genuine issue of material fact

burden of demonstrating there is no issue of material fact. All facts and reasonable inferences from the material facts must be viewed in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party.

and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. The moving party has the

SentinelC3 at 140, Folsom v. Burger King, 135 Wn.2d 658, 663(1998).

25

2627

28

29

In support of its motion, the Port submits the Declaration of Todd Coleman. Mr. Coleman discusses the value and operations of the Port of Vancouver in general and he explains how rail or vessel operations benefit the Port's viability. Mr. Coleman describes the history and current operations at the Port, how its operations focus on the flow of freight through the Port, the Port's recent capital improvements, the amount of income the VEDT proposal is expected to generate, the projected benefit to the community if the VEDT is built, and the VEDT's potential for competitive advantage in the marketplace.

Mr. Coleman states that the Port and its tenants would be at a competitive disadvantage if conditions were imposed on rail or vessel operations under the Energy Facilities Site

Mr. Coleman states that the Port and its tenants would be at a competitive disadvantage if conditions were imposed on rail or vessel operations under the Energy Facilities Site Locations law that are inconsistent with what he asserts is uniform and comprehensive federal regulation of rail and vessel traffic. He states his understanding that federal law completely and comprehensively governs railroad and vessel operations nationwide, and that this preempts the Council from imposing conditions in its site certification agreement that would have the effect of regulating railroad or vessel traffic.

The Port's factual submittal advocates for its position in this adjudication and is descriptive of Port operations. However, these facts do not support the Port's argument that a significant part of the adjudication be dismissed at this stage in the proceedings. The Port has failed to establish that the Council must grant summary judgment as to issues concerning rail and vessel operations. Nevertheless, the Council will consider the Port's arguments within the context of Tesoro-Savage's Motion to Dismiss Issues.

Motion to Dismiss Issues

1 2

EFSEC was created for "...the selection and utilization of sites for energy facilities and the identification of a state position with respect to each proposed energy site." RCW 80.50.010. Under 80.50.040, the Council has various powers to accomplish this, including:

- (2) To develop and apply environmental and ecological guidelines in relation to the type, design, location, construction, and operational conditions of certification of energy facilities subject to [Chapter 80.50 RCW]...
- (6) To make and contract, when applicable, for independent studies of sites proposed by the applicant...
- (8) To prepare written reports to the governor which shall include (a) A statement indicating whether the application is in compliance with the council's guidelines, (b) criteria specific to the site and transmission line routing, (c) a council recommendation as to the disposition of the application, and (d) a draft certification agreement when the council recommends approval of the application...

The Council's statutory process consists of four separate components. It conducts a land use hearing (RCW 80.50.090(2), an environmental review under the Washington State Environmental Policy Act (RCW 80.50.180, RCW 43.21C.030), an adjudication (RCW 80.50.090(3)), and, as the Council deems necessary, optional "...independent studies to measure the consequences of proposed energy facilities on the environment or any matter that it deems essential to an adequate appraisal of the site." RCW 80.50.071(1)(b). After the parties have presented their evidence and arguments in the adjudication phase, the Council will issue its adjudication findings and conclusions. After the findings and conclusions are issued, the Council will make its recommendation to the governor in a separate decision that takes into account the results of all its processes, including its land use decision, its final environmental impact statement, the findings and conclusions from the adjudication, and any independent studies it conducts.¹

The Council's fundamental duty is the evaluation of sites proposed for energy facilities. EFSEC's governing statutes define 'site' as "...any proposed or approved location of an energy facility..." RCW 80.50.020(19). The proposed VEDT will be located in Vancouver, and, by its nature, will necessarily involve accepting, storing and shipping crude oil delivered by trains routed from the east and traveling across the State of Washington. As well, it will involve storage and handling crude oil on the Port of Vancouver site, and loading operations for shipment of crude oil over state and international waters to supply refineries.

Tesoro-Savage urges the Council to dismiss all proposed rail transport issues, and not to hear evidence about matters that concern rail operations expected to take place in connection with getting crude oil to, and managing it at, the proposed VEDT.² The Port urges summary judgment on all issues relating to vessel traffic for transshipment of crude oil from the VEDT down the Columbia River to the Pacific Ocean. These motions are based on federal preemption and commerce clause theories. They argue that EFSEC lacks jurisdiction to consider evidence relating to these issues because it may lead the Council to unlawfully condition a site certification agreement on the basis of rail transportation or vessel impacts. BNSF presents similar arguments, asserting that EFSEC cannot and should not consider rail operations issues. It argues that the federal government exercises exclusive jurisdiction over these matters, has already fully addressed any rail-related safety concerns, and therefore the Council should not hear evidence on or decide issues relating to rail operations. BNSF further asserts that there is no need to because there is no credible evidence indicating that the VEDT would cause an actual increase in train traffic anywhere in the State of Washington.³

¹ At this time, EFSEC has not chosen to conduct any independent studies for Application No. 2013-01.

² Yet regardless of its assertion that the Council not hear evidence relating to rail or vessel operations, Tesoro-Savage states that it intends to introduce its own evidence in the adjudication to demonstrate the breadth and adequacy of federal regulations on the transportation of crude by rail. Tesoro-Savage Motion to Dismiss Issues, page 2, lines 4-8.

³ Brief of BNSF, page 10.

The parties opposing the Motion to Dismiss Issues and the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment argue that they are premature, and that federal law does not prevent the Council from considering evidence about the proposal's potential effects relating to rail and vessel operations. The City of Vancouver points out that EFSEC is required to evaluate all proposal impacts and determine whether and how they are addressed.⁴ Columbia Riverkeeper, *et al.* argue that the moving parties are actually asking for evidentiary rulings based on relevance to the Council's inquiry that should be made in the course of the hearing. It argues that challenges to any effort by EFSEC to apply overbroad conditions to a recommendation to approve the VEDT siting application must be made at the time such a recommendation is being considered. All opposition parties agree that a decision limiting the evidence concerning rail or vessel impacts is not appropriate at this time, and it would prevent the Council from hearing the evidence it is statutorily required to consider.

At this point in the proceedings, the Council has heard no evidence beyond the limited facts submitted in connection with these motions. The Council's recommendation to the Governor about whether the proposed Vancouver site should be approved for a terminal accepting, storing, and shipping crude oil must be founded on objective, fact-based reasons. For this to happen, all relevant evidence relating to the consequences and effects of siting the proposed facility at the Port of Vancouver must be available to the Council.

Granting Tesoro-Savage's motion to dismiss rail issues and the Port's arguments against vessel-related issues would impair the Council's ability to perform its primary statutory duty to evaluate the proposed site for the VEDT. Should the Council decide to recommend approval of the VEDT to the governor, it can also recommend conditions of approval be included in the site certification agreement. Once the Council has been able to consider all the relevant facts required to make its recommendation, it then will be the proper time for the Council to determine the extent of its authority as to any conditions relating to rail or vessel operations it deems necessary.

⁴ Brief of the City of Vancouver, page 6, lines 11-12.

1	OR	DER
2 3 4	The Port of Vancouver USA's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Preemption is DENIED .	
5 6 7	Tesoro-Savage Petroleum Terminal LLC's Mc 53, 66 And Portions of Issues 7, 12, 14, 18, 19 DENIED .	otion to Dismiss Issues 15, 20, 49, 50, 51, 52, 39, 45, 64, 67, 68 ("Rail Operation Issues") is
8	DATED and effective at Olympia, Washington	n this 6th day of June, 2016.
9	STATE OF WASHINGTON ENERGY FACILITY SITE EVALUATION COUNCIL	
	William N. Zyel	DA
10	William Lynch,	Dennis Moss,
11	EFSEC Chair	Utilities & Transportation Commission
12	J. January	Denon
13	Jaime Rossman, Department of Commerce	Dan Siemann, Department of Natural Resources
14	culle & Atyph	J. Ath
15 16	Cullen Stephenson, Department of Ecology	Joe Stohr, Department of Fish and Wildlife
17	Byen Inghas	Mayon A Sola
18 19	Bryan Snodgrass, City of Vancouver	Greg Shafer,
19	City of vancouver	Clark County
20 21		Man tu
22		Kenneth Stone, Department of Transportation
23	Cassandra Welle	
24	Cassandra Noble	
25	Administrative Law Judge	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I<u>, Kali Wraspir</u>, am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of Washington. I am over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. My business address is 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W., Olympia, Washington 98504.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on June 6, 2016 I served a copy of the ORDER DENYING TESORO SAVAGE, LLC AND PORT OF VANCOUVER DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS on the following parties:

<u>Party</u>	Method of Service
Kelly J. Flint	☐ US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail
Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal, LLC	Service
110 Columbia Boulevard, Suite 108 & 110	Scrvice
Vancouver, WA 98660	
an man cases	⊠ email
Email: kellyf@savageservices.com	
Phone: 801/944-6600	*
Applicant	*
Party	Method of Service
Matthew D Wells, Counsel	US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail
Tupper Mack Wells PLLC	
2025 First Avenue, Suite 1100	Service
Seattle WA, 98121	
Scattle W11, 70121	⊠ email
Email: wells@tmw-law.com	
Phone: 206-493-2300	ş
Counsel for BNSF Railway Company	
Party Party	Method of Service
Jay Derr, Counsel	☐ US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail
Van Ness Feldman, LLP	Service
719 Second Avenue, Suite 1150	
Seattle, WA 98104-1728	⊠ email
Email: jpd@vnf.com	*
Phone: 206/623-9372	
Dale N. Johnson	
Email: dnj@vnf.com	
Talas A Wisialias	
Tadas A. Kisielius	
Email: tak@vnf.com	~
Counsel for Tesoro Savage	
<u>Party</u>	Method of Service
Matthew R. Kernutt, Assistant Attorney General	US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail
Office of the Attorney General	Service
1125 Washington Street SE	5011100
PO Box 40100	M:1
Olympia, WA 98504-0100	⊠ email
Email: Mattkl@atg.wa.gov	
Phone: 360/586-0740	

Corneral for the Environment	
Counsel for the Environment Party	Method of Service
David F. Bartz, Jr. Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt, P.C. 1211 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204-3795	☐ US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail Service ☐ email
Email: dbartz@schwabe.com Phone: 503/905-1427	
Alicia L. ("Lisa") Lowe Email: <u>alowe@schwabe.com</u> Phone: 360/905-1427	
Connie Sue Martin Email: csmartin@schwabe.com Phone: 206/407-1556	
Attorneys for the Port of Vancouver	
Party	Method of Service
Taylor Hallvik, Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Christopher Horne, Chief Civil Deputy Clark County Board of Commissioners Civil Division	☐ US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail Service
PO Box 5000	mail email
Vancouver, WA 98666-5000	* .
Email: taylor.hallvik@clark.wa.gov Phone: 360/397-2478	
Counsel for Clark County Board of Commissioners	
E. Bronson Potter, Vancouver City Attorney	Method of Service
City of Vancouver	☐ US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail
	Service
PO Box 1995 Vancouver, WA 98668-1995	VC 296
PO Box 1995	Service
PO Box 1995 Vancouver, WA 98668-1995 Email: bronson.potter@cityofvancouver.us	Service
PO Box 1995 Vancouver, WA 98668-1995 Email: bronson.potter@cityofvancouver.us Phone: 360/487-8500 Susan Drummond, Counsel for the City of Vancouver Law Office of Susan Elizabeth Drummond 5400 Carillon Pt. Bldg 5000	Service
PO Box 1995 Vancouver, WA 98668-1995 Email: bronson.potter@cityofvancouver.us Phone: 360/487-8500 Susan Drummond, Counsel for the City of Vancouver Law Office of Susan Elizabeth Drummond 5400 Carillon Pt. Bldg 5000 Kirkland, WA 98033-7357 Email: susan@susandrummond.com	Service
PO Box 1995 Vancouver, WA 98668-1995 Email: bronson.potter@cityofvancouver.us Phone: 360/487-8500 Susan Drummond, Counsel for the City of Vancouver Law Office of Susan Elizabeth Drummond 5400 Carillon Pt. Bldg 5000 Kirkland, WA 98033-7357 Email: susan@susandrummond.com Phone: 206/682.0767 Karen L. Reed, Assistant City Attorney City of Vancouver PO Box 1995 Vancouver, WA 98668-1995 Email: Karen.reed@cityofvancouver.us	Service
PO Box 1995 Vancouver, WA 98668-1995 Email: bronson.potter@cityofvancouver.us Phone: 360/487-8500 Susan Drummond, Counsel for the City of Vancouver Law Office of Susan Elizabeth Drummond 5400 Carillon Pt. Bldg 5000 Kirkland, WA 98033-7357 Email: susan@susandrummond.com Phone: 206/682.0767 Karen L. Reed, Assistant City Attorney City of Vancouver PO Box 1995 Vancouver, WA 98668-1995	Service

<u>Party</u>	Method of Service
Robert W. Ferguson, Attorney General Terence A. Pruit, Assistant Attorney General Natural Resources Division 1125 Washington Street SE PO Box 40100 Olympia, WA 98504-0100	☑ US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail Service☑ email
Email: terryp@atg.wa.gov & RESOlyEF@atg.wa.gov Phone: 360/586-0642	
Counsel for Washington State Department of Natural Resources	
<u>Party</u>	Method of Service
Kristen L. Boyles *Earthjustice 705 Second Avenue, Suite 203 Seattle, WA 98104	☑ US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail Service☑ email
Email: <u>kboyles@earthjustice.org</u> Phone: 206/343-7340	
Janette K. Brimmer Email: jbrimmer@earthjustice.org	
Anna Sewell Email: asewell@earthjustice.org	¬,
Eudora Powell Email: epowell@earthjustice.org	
David Bricklin Bricklin & Newman, LLP 1424 Fourth Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, WA 98101	
Email: <u>bricklin@bnd-law.com</u> Phone: 206/264-8600	
Bryan Telegin Email: telegin@bnd-law.com	
Peggy Cahill Email: cahill@bnd-law.com	•
Anne Bricklin Email: miller@bnd-law.com	
*Counsel for Columbia Riverkeeper et al. Columbia Riverkeeper, Climate Solutions, ForestEthics, Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Fruit Valley Neighborhood Association, Sierra	
Club, Spokane Riverkeeper, and Washington Environmental Council	

Party	Method of Service
Linda R. Larson	
Marten Law, PLLC	US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail
1191 Second Avenue, Suite 2200	Service
Seattle, WA 98101	8
Seattle, WA 98101	⊠ email
Email: llarson@martenlaw.com	
Phone: 206/292-2600	4
Priorie: 200/292-2000	g v
Daniel Timmons	
Marten Law, PLLC	
1001 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 1500	v
Portland, OR 97217	
P 7 12	
Email: dtimmons@martenlaw.com	*
Phone: 503/243-2200	
Counsel for Columbia Waterfront LLC	
>	-
<u>Party</u>	Method of Service
Julie A. Carter	☐ US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail
Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission	Service
(CRITFC)	
700 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 1200	
Portland, OR 97213	⊠ email
	a i
Email: carj@critfc.org	
Phone: 503/238-0667	
Robert C. Lothrop	
Email: lotr@critfc.org	
Dilan. Ionachte.org	
Counsel for CRITFC	
Counsel for CMITC	, ,
Party	Method of Service
Cager Clabaugh,	
	☐ US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail
International Longshore Warehouse Union Local 4	Service
1205 Ingalls Road	
Vancouver, WA 98660	⊠ email
Email: cagerclabaugh@aol.com	
Phone: 360/903-7678	
Igned Cmith	, ,
Jared Smith	
Email: mithared@yahoo.com	,
Phone: 360/241-0314	
Representatives of International Longshore	, and an
Warehouse Union Local 4	
Party	Method of Service
City of Spokane	
Office of the Mayor	☐ US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail
7th Floor Municipal Building	Service
W. 808 Spokane Falls Blvd	
	⊠ email
Spokane, WA 99201	

y ·	
Nancy Isserlis, City Attorney Office of the City Attorney 5th Floor Municipal Building W. 808 Spokane Falls Blvd Spokane, WA 99201	•
Email: nisserlis@spokanecity.org Phone: 509/625-6225	
Michael J. Piccolo, Assistant City Attorney Email: mpiccolo@spokanecity.org	*
Counsel for City of Spokane Party	Method of Service
Brent H. Hall Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation 46411 Timine Way	☑ US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail Service
Pendleton, OR 97801	⊠ email
Email: Brenthall@ctuir.org Phone: 541/429-7407	
Attorney for Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation	te gr
Party	Method of Service
Joe Sexton Galanda Broadman PLLC 8606 35 th Ave NE, Suite L1	US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail Service
P.O. Box 15146 Seattle, WA 98115	⊠ email
Email: joe@galandabroadman.com Phone: 206/557-7509	•
Amber Penn-Roco Galanda Broadman, PLLC 8606 35 th Ave NE, Suite L1 P.O. Box 15146 Seattle, WA 98115	*
Email: amber@galandabroadman.com Phone: 206/557-7509	
Attorney for The Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation	
<u>Party</u>	Method of Service
Donald L. English City Attorney, City of Washougal 12204 SE Mill Plain, Suite 200 Vancouver, WA 98684	☐ US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail Service
Email: english@elmbsv.com	□ email
Scott Russon City Attorney, City of Washougal 12204 SE Mill Plain, Suite 200 Vancouver, WA 98684	
Email: russon@elmbsv.com Counsel City of Washougal	

Party	Method of Service
Brian Bonlender, Director Department of Commerce 1011 Plum Street SE PO Box 42525	US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail Service
Olympia, WA 98504-2525	email
Email: brian.bonlender@commerce.wa.gov	×
Phone: 360/725-4021	
<u>Party</u>	Method of Service
Maia D. Bellon, Director Department of Ecology 300 Desmond Drive PO Box 47600	☑ US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail Service☑ email
Olympia, WA 98504-7600	ES Cilian
Email: maia.bellon@ecy.wa.gov Phone: 360/902-1004	
Party	Method of Service
Jim Unsworth, Director Department of Fish and Wildlife 600 Capitol Way N.	US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail Service
Olympia, WA 98501	⊠ email
Email: Jim.Unsworth@dfw.wa.gov	
Phone: 360/902-2200	
<u>Party</u>	Method of Service
David Danner, Chairman Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW PO Box 47250	☑ US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail Service
Olympia, WA 98504-7250	⊠ email
Email: ddanner@utc.wa.gov	
Phone: 360/664-1208	
<u>Party</u>	Method of Service
Department of Transportation 310 Maple Park Avenue SE PO Box 47300 Olympia, WA 98504-7300	☑ US Mail Postage Prepaid via Consolidated Mail Service☐ email
Email:	

Hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the law of the state of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this 6th day of June, 2016, at Olympia, Washington.

Kali Wraspir, Secretary Supervisor