

Appendix H-5: Fumaria Solar Project Drainage Report

Tuusso Energy
Fumaria Solar Project:
DRAINAGE REPORT



Date: July 2017

Prepared by:
Encompass Engineering & Surveying
407 Swiftwater Blvd
Cle Elum, WA 98922

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	2
II.	EXISTING CONDITIONS	2
a.	Drainage Basins.....	3
b.	Downstream Analysis	3
c.	Soil Report.....	3
III.	PROPOSED CONDITIONS.....	3
a.	Solar Panel Array.....	4
b.	Drainage Basin	4
IV.	HYDROLOGIC MODELING- SANTA BARBARA URBAN HYDROGRAPH METHOD	4
a.	Precipitation.....	4
b.	Curve Number.....	4
c.	Time of Concentration	5
d.	Flow Calculations	5
V.	HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS.....	5
VI.	COMPLIANCE WITH SWMMEW CORE ELEMENTS.....	6
VII.	CONCLUSION	7

List of Figures

- Figure 1- Existing Conditions Exhibit
- Figure 2- Proposed Conditions Exhibit


Appendix

- Appendix A- NRCS Web Soil Survey
- Appendix B- SWMMEW Isopluvial Maps
- Appendix C- Curve Number Calculations
- Appendix D- HydroCAD Report: Existing Basin
- Appendix E- HydroCAD Report: Proposed Basin

I. INTRODUCTION

Per Kittitas County assessor records the Tuusso Energy: Fumaria Solar Project site is located within Tax Parcel No. 14033, at 2130 Clarke Road in Ellensburg, WA, in the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 9, Township 18 North, Range 18 East W.M. The project site is 35.24 acres and currently used as agricultural land to produce hay. See Vicinity Map below.

The purpose of this project is to convert the site to a photovoltaic solar project with minimal change to the existing topography and site features. The proposed site will consist of rows of modular trackers with solar panels, an all-weather access road, and inverter stations to convert power from the solar panels. The solar panels are attached to horizontal supports that run north-south, and the panels themselves rotate east to west, in order to maximize sun exposure. Access to the site is from the southwest corner of the site via a private road.



II. EXISTING CONDITIONS

The site is currently an open field used to make hay using flood irrigation methods. The overall topography of the site gently slopes to the south. The majority of surface water that does not infiltrate, flows to the south, with a small portion of the site on the western side, sheet flowing off

the site to the west. Runoff to the west is captured by an existing irrigation ditch that flows south along the western border of the site, before turning east and flowing along the southern portion of the site. Runoff to the south is captured in the southern portion of the ditch where it discharges to an existing detention pond just off the southeast corner of the property. Existing conditions can be seen in Figure 1.

a. Drainage Basins

For the purpose of this report, the site is considered to be a single drainage basin since all runoff is either infiltrated on-site or captured in the existing irrigation pond. This basin is represented by 2 sub-basins: Basin 1A and Basin 1B, which can be seen in Figure 1. Basin 1A makes up the majority of the site and flows generally to the south. Basin 1B makes up a small portion of the site that sheet flows off the site to the west and will remain undisturbed throughout the project with no appreciable impervious surface added. Runoff from Basins 1A and 1B meet in an existing irrigation ditch. The existing drainage basin contains no impervious surfaces, meaning the entire 35.24 acres is pervious.

b. Downstream Analysis

As noted above, all runoff from the site flows into the existing irrigation ditch at the southern end of the site. The ditch discharges into an existing irrigation pond immediately to the southeast of the project site. The ditch and pond are currently maintained by the landowner. The irrigation ditch is part of a larger irrigation network that serves the rural areas north of Ellensburg. The pond outlets via a culvert to the south, where it then splits into two ditches, one to south and one to the east. As these are irrigation facilities, the flow rates are currently controlled as needed. The irrigation ditches downstream of the project site are part of a larger irrigation system serving rural areas, north of Ellensburg. No issues have been brought up in relation to the existing irrigation infrastructure downstream of the project site.

c. Soil Report

An NRCS Web Soil Survey was performed for the site in order to obtain onsite soil types. The results of the report give descriptions of the soils found in the project area and the corresponding hydrologic soil groups. The results can be seen in APPENDIX A. The site is composed of Reeser-Reelow-Sketter complex with 2-5% slopes and Reelow-Reeser-Sketter complex with 2-10% slopes, both soils belonging to hydrologic soil group D.

III. PROPOSED CONDITIONS

The proposed development on this site consists of adding solar trackers, an access road, fencing, and associated electrical infrastructure. The new impervious surface will be a portion of the solar trackers (described below), the proposed all-weather access road (which may be compacted soil or gravel) that will run north/south through the center of the site, and the electrical infrastructure that is made up of five inverters and one utility disconnect with a project metering location. Each inverter as well as the utility disconnect, resides on its own concrete pad. The access roads were conservatively modeled as gravel roads.

a. Solar Panel Array

A series of modular trackers will be installed throughout the site. Each tracker is essentially a long horizontal support (of various lengths), held in place by evenly spaced, driven H-beams. The trackers are oriented north-south, with solar panels attached to the entire length of the tracker. The solar panels rotate and tilt east to west to maximize sun exposure. The panels will generate runoff within the site, however, due to the way the panels tilt and that they are not continuous structures, they are not considered impervious in the proposed conditions calculations. The panels do not reduce available ground surface for infiltration. The ground below the solar panels will have native plantings, and therefore it will continue to intercept and infiltrate runoff water from the panels. The only impervious area due to the solar panels is from the posts in the ground upon which the solar panels are attached. For impervious calculations, the posts are conservatively estimated to make up 5% of the total area of the solar tracker configuration.

b. Drainage Basin

Minimal grading and ground disturbance will take place as part of this project. The access road, concrete pads for the electrical infrastructure, and solar tracker posts are the only impervious surfaces proposed for the site. The portion of the solar panel array installation that actually disturbs the ground is very minimal as well. Because of this, existing topography and drainage patterns will remain relatively undisturbed, and the proposed drainage basin encompasses the same area as the existing drainage basin. Proposed conditions can be seen in Figure 2.

IV. HYDROLOGIC MODELING- SANTA BARBARA URBAN HYDROGRAPH METHOD

Hydrologic analysis for the proposed project is consistent with Title 12 of the Kittitas County Code and the 2004 *SWMM*. In order to properly analyze the impacts of the proposed development on the watershed, runoff modeling was done using the Santa Barbara Urban Hydrograph method (SBUH), SCS Type 1A 24-hour storm event for Region 2 per the 2004 *SWMM*. This was done to determine peak runoff during the 2-year, 10-year, 25-year and 100-year storm events. Calculations were performed utilizing HydroCAD version 10.00-18, which is accepted by the Department of Ecology as a proper simulation modeling program.

a. Precipitation

The precipitation information used for the pre-development and post-development run-off calculations is based on the isopluvial maps provided in the 2004 *SWMM* and can be seen in APPENDIX B. The inputs for this project site north of Ellensburg are seen below:

$$P_{2yr} = 1.0''$$

$$P_{10yr} = 1.2''$$

$$P_{25yr} = 1.6''$$

$$P_{100yr} = 2.0''$$

b. Curve Number

The SCS Curve Number (CN) is a function of the soil type and ground cover. It is used to determine the portion of the precipitation depth that will be conveyed as runoff. The curve numbers are pulled from *Technical Release 55 Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds*, and the curve numbers used can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1: Curve Numbers Used

DESCRIPTION	HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP			
	A	B	C	D
Meadow	30	58	71	78
Impervious areas	98	98	98	98
Gravel Roads	76	85	89	91

Using the soils report and the curve number table, a composite curve number was determined for the proposed and existing basins. A detailed curve number breakdown can be seen in APPENDIX C. Calculations can also be seen in APPENDIX D and E as part of the HydroCAD report.

c. Time of Concentration

Time of concentration is the time it takes for the runoff to get from the most hydrologically distant location to the point of collection for the basin. The flow path is broken up into three segments, with the hydrologic travel time calculated separate for each segment.

- Sheet flow- flow over plane surfaces which usually occurs at the headwaters of a catchment area. The maximum allowable length for sheet flow is 300-ft
- Shallow concentrated flow-flow in headwater areas where flow begins to concentrate in small rills or rough channels
- Channel flow- flow that is concentrated in defined channels

The time of concentration is the total of the travel times for each flow segment. Time of concentration calculations can be seen in APPENDIX D and E as part of the HydroCAD report.

d. Flow Calculations

HydroCAD uses all of the inputs described above in order to determine the peak flows for various storm events. All the inputs are combined to create an instantaneous hydrograph which is then routed through a modeled reservoir with a time delay equal to the time of concentration in order to generate the runoff hydrograph. The runoff hydrograph can be found in APPENDIX D and E as part of the HydroCAD report. The peak runoff values for the 2, 10, 25, and 100-year storms can be seen below in Table 2.

Table 2: Flow Computations

Time Span	Q (cfs)			
	2-yr	10-yr	25-yr	100-yr
Existing Basin	0.17	0.38	0.96	1.73
Proposed Basin	0.21	0.44	1.07	1.88

V. HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS

As seen in the calculated peak flow rates, the increased runoff due to proposed site development is minimal. The 2-yr peak flow is increased by 0.04 cfs and the 25-yr peak flow is increased by 0.11 cfs. Typically, *SWMMEW* requires developments to release runoff at or below one half of the existing 2-yr peak flow and at or below the existing 25-yr peak flow, as well as for that runoff to be treated.

Per Chapter 2.2.6 of the *SWMM* there are exemptions for new development when flow control is not required as long as certain conditions are met. Per chapter 2.6.6 exemption 1, “Any project able to disperse, without discharging to surface waters, the total 25-year runoff volume for the proposed development condition” is exempt from meeting the flow control requirements. The Fumaria project will use full dispersion as the main way to handle increased flows due to impervious areas. As outlined in *SWMM* Chapter 6.5, BMP F6.42, full dispersion allows up to 10% of the site that is impervious to be characterized as non-effective impervious area by dispersing runoff into the native vegetation area. On the Fumaria site, the impervious areas may conservatively make up to 4.9% of the site while the rest of the site maintains existing vegetation or plantings similar to existing vegetation. This is under the 10% threshold, making full dispersion a viable option.

Chapter 2.2.5 of the *SWMM* summarizes the requirements for treating storm water runoff to reduce pollutant loads and concentrations. Runoff treatment is required for all projects creating 5,000 square feet or more of pollutant-generating impervious surfaces (PGIS). The Fumaria site is not classified as a high use site and all of the proposed impervious surfaces are considered Non-Pollutant Generating Impervious Surfaces (NPGIS). Infrequently used maintenance access roads are classified as NPGIS, and thus are exempt from basic treatment requirements. The solar panels are detached impervious surfaces which the water flows off of and into natural vegetation below. The inverter pads are concrete pads, which the inverters and transformers sit on. The inverters contain no fluids. The transformers may be “dry”, meaning they contain no fluids, or they may contain fluids, that has not been finalized yet. If they do contain fluid, it would be Envirotemp or a similar biodegradable vegetable based coolant. Therefore, the inverter pads will be considered NPGIS as well, however if that classification is challenged, they make up no more than 3,600 square feet, which is below the 5,000 square feet threshold. The Fumaria site meets the exemption requirement, therefore no treatment measures will be necessary or put in place.

While analyzing the effects of increased storm water runoff, it is also important to note any other changes that will occur on the site due to the development. One thing of note on the Fumaria site is that it is currently cultivated using flood irrigation methods. In this method, an excess amount of water is applied to the site for irrigation, and the general assumption is that half of the applied water actually goes to the crop while the other half is lost to evaporation, runoff, infiltration or transpiration (*Alliance for Water Efficiency: Flood Irrigation Introduction*). With the construction of this project, the flood irrigation will be minimized, if not stopped completely. The net loss of surface water due to reducing flood irrigation will be greater than the minimal increases in stormwater runoff due to the construction associated with the solar panel farm. Therefore, the additional runoff of the peak 2-yr and 25-yr storms of 0.04 and 0.11 cfs respectively, is considered negligible when analyzing the site as a whole.

VI. COMPLIANCE WITH *SWMM* CORE ELEMENTS

All new development projects must comply with the 8 Core Elements outlined in Chapter 2 in the *SWMM* when applicable. Exemptions exist for each Core Element and vary depending on requirements that must be met. The Core Elements are listed below in relation to the proposed development of the Tuusso Energy: Fumaria Solar Project, and exemptions are noted when applicable.

1. Preparation of a Stormwater Site Plan:
 - This can be seen in Figure 2- Proposed Drainage Basin Map, and will be included in the civil plans.
2. Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention:
 - This will be included as part of the SWPPP submittal.
3. Source Control Pollution
 - The only potential fluid on the site is a biodegradable vegetable based coolant, which is not classified as a pollutant. Therefore, no point source pollutants are on the site.
4. Preservation of Natural Drainage Systems
 - Minimal grading will occur on site and natural drainage patterns will be maintained.
5. Runoff Treatment
 - The site is not a high use site and has less than 5,000 square feet of PGIS, making it exempt from runoff treatment.
6. Flow Control
 - Per Exemption 1 in chapter 2.6.6 of *SWMM*, the site will use full dispersion to control the 2 and 25-yr flows.
7. Operation and Maintenance
 - No on-site maintenance is required for full dispersion. Maintenance of the existing off-site drainage ditches will be performed by the current land owner.
8. Local Requirements
 - There are no local ordinances above and beyond what is outlined in *SWMM*.

VII. CONCLUSION

The Tuusso Energy: Fumaria Solar Project involves transforming 35.24 acres of an existing hay field, into a solar project. The project consists of adding an array of solar panels, an access road, and the associated electrical infrastructure. Existing topography and vegetation will be preserved to the maximum extent possible and native plantings will be made throughout the site where needed. From a stormwater and drainage standpoint, the biggest impacts of the project will be from converting 1.71 acres into impervious surfaces in the form of an all-weather access road, electrical infrastructure, and posts for the solar trackers. 1.71 acres is an overestimate of impervious area, as it maxes out the percentage of impervious to pervious surfaces based on the design of the solar tracker modules. All site and location factors were taken into account in order to perform the SBUH hydrologic modelling method. The calculations from the modelling showed that the runoff generated from the 2-yr storm increased from 0.17 cfs to 0.21 cfs, while the runoff generated from the 25-yr storm increased from 0.96 cfs to 1.07 cfs. This increased runoff can be handled by full dispersion throughout the site, due to maintaining a majority of the existing pervious area with minimal grading. The increased runoff is also considered negligible, due to the reduction of flood irrigation to the site which will accompany the project.

TUUSO ENERGY - FUMARIA SITE
 A PORTION OF THE SE 1/4 OF SECTION 9, T. 16 N., R. 18 E., W. 10 M.
 KITITITAS COUNTY, STATE OF WASHINGTON


Encompass
 ENGINEERING & CONSTRUCTION

165 Mt. Vernon Street, Suite 201 • Everett, WA 98201 • Phone: (425) 392-0200 • Fax: (425) 391-3035
 400 Southgate Blvd • Clallam, WA 98222 • Phone: (360) 429-7413 • Fax: (360) 429-7410


TUUSO ENERGY, LLC
 FUMARIA SITE

EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

DATE	1/27/18
BY	AS SHOWN
SCALE	1" = 100'
DESIGNED	TAL
DRAWN	TAL
CHECKED	GG/AMC
APPROVED	TAL
SHEET	FIG-1



TUUSO ENERGY - FUMARIA SITE
A PORTION OF THE SE $\frac{1}{4}$ OF SECTION 9, T. 18 N., R. 18 E., W.M.
KITITAS COUNTY, STATE OF WASHINGTON



TUSSO ENERGY, LLC
FUMARIA SITE
PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS



Encompass
ENGINEERING & SURVEYING

165 NE Juniper Street, Suite 201 ■ Issaquah, WA 98027 ■ Phone: (425) 392-0250 ■ Fax: (425) 391-3055
WESTERN WASHINGTON LUMBER


Eastern Washington Division
407 Southstar Blvd. ■ Cle Elum, WA 98922 ■ Phone: (509) 674-7413 ■ Fax: (509) 674-7419

JOB NO.	17016
DATE	JULY 2017
SCALE	AS SHOWN
DESIGNED	TML
DRAWN	TML
CHECKED	GS/MKK
APPROVED	TML
SHEET	FIG-2

Appendix A:

NRCS WEB SOIL SURVEY

Hydrologic Soil Group—Kittitas County Area, Washington (Fumaria Soil Report)



Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Map Scale: 1:4,590 if printed on A portrait (8.5" x 11") sheet.



Map projection: Web Mercator Corner coordinates: WGS84 Edge tics: UTM Zone 10N WGS84




**Natural Resources
Conservation Service**

Web Soil Survey
National Cooperative Soil Survey
H-5-12

6/5/2017
Page 1 of 4

MAP LEGEND



MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey URL:

Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Kittitas County Area, Washington
Survey Area Data: Version 9, Sep 9, 2016

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jul 25, 2010—Oct 17, 2010

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Hydrologic Soil Group

Hydrologic Soil Group— Summary by Map Unit — Kittitas County Area, Washington (WA637)				
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
822	Reeser-Reelow-Sketter complex, 2 to 5 percent slopes	D	37.0	95.0%
843	Reelow-Reeser-Sketter complex, 2 to 10 percent slopes	D	2.0	5.0%
844	Metmill very gravelly ashy loam, 0 to 5 percent slopes	C/D	0.0	0.0%
Totals for Area of Interest			39.0	100.0%

Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition


Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Appendix B:

*SWMM*EW ISOPLUVIAL MAPS

Eastern Washington Stormwater Manual



2-Year 24-Hour Isopluvials
Source: NOAA Atlas 2, Volume IX, 1973
Precipitation in inches

County(2003, 1:24,000)
City(2003, 1:24,000)
Latitude/Longitude(1/10 degree)
Isopluvial(1973, 1:2,000,000)
NOAA/NWS Station(1931-1998)



Scale 1:1,600,000



Water Quality Program




WASHINGTON STATE
DEPARTMENT OF
ECOLOGY

GIS Technical Services
02/25/04

Figure 4.3.3



Eastern Washington Stormwater Manual



10-Year 24-Hour Isopluvials
Source: NOAA Atlas 2, Volume IX, 1973
Precipitation in inches

County(2003, 1:24,000)
City(2003, 1:24,000)
Latitude/Longitude(1/10 degree)
Isopluvial(1973, 1:2,000,000)
NOAA/NWS Station(1931-1998)



Scale 1:1,600,000

Miles

0 16.5 33

Water Quality Program




WASHINGTON STATE
DEPARTMENT OF
ECOLOGY

GIS Technical Services
02/25/04

Figure 4.3.4



Eastern Washington Stormwater Manual



25-Year 24-Hour Isopleth
Source: NOAA Atlas 2, Volume IX, 1973
Precipitation in inches

- County(2003, 1:24,000)
- City(2003, 1:24,000)
- Latitude/Longitude(1/10 degree)
- Isopleth(1973, 1:2,000,000)
- NOAA/NWS Station(1931-1998)



Scale 1:1,600,000



Water Quality Program



WASHINGTON STATE
DEPARTMENT OF
ECOLOGY


GIS Technical Services

02/25/04

Figure 4.3.5



Eastern Washington Stormwater Manual



100-Year 24-Hour Isopluvials
Source: NOAA Atlas 2, Volume IX, 1973
Precipitation in inches

- County(2003, 1:24,000)
- City(2003, 1:24,000)
- Latitude/Longitude(1/10 degree)
- Isopluvial(1973, 1:2,000,000)
- NOAA/NWS Station(1931-1998)



Scale 1:1,600,000



Water Quality Program




WASHINGTON STATE
DEPARTMENT OF
ECOLOGY

GIS Technical Services

02/25/04

Figure 4.3.7



Appendix C:

CURVE NUMBER CALCULATIONS

Predeveloped Conditions							
Basin Name	Total Area (sf)	Total Area (ac)	Impervious Area (sf)	Impervious Area (ac)	HSG	CN	Composite Curve Number
Existing Basin	1535081.8	35.24	0	0.00	-	-	78
	1535081.81	35.24			D		78

Postdeveloped Conditions							
Basin Name	Total Area (sf)	Total Area (ac)	Impervious Area (sf)	Impervious Area (ac)	HSG	CN	Composite Curve Number
Proposed Basin	1535081.8	35.24	74414.25	1.71	D	93	79
	1460667.56	33.53			D		79

Impervious Area Break Down			
Lot or Tract	Area (sf)	classification	CN
Road	51480	Gravel	91
Inverters	3600	Impervious	98
Post	19334	Impervious	98
		Composite CN	93

Post Area

Solar Trackers	Length	Width	#	area (sf)
72 ft trackers	248	6.5	222	357864
52 ft trackers	188	6.5	12	14664
36 ft traclers	121	6.5	18	14157
Total				386685
assumed % impervious (posts)				5%
impervious area				19334.25

Appendix D:

HydroCAD REPORT:
EXISTING BASIN

17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

Printed 6/28/2017

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 1

Area Listing (selected nodes)

Area (acres)	CN	Description (subcatchment-numbers)
35.240	78	Meadow: HSG D (1S)

17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

Printed 6/28/2017

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 2

Ground Covers (selected nodes)

HSG-A (acres)	HSG-B (acres)	HSG-C (acres)	HSG-D (acres)	Other (acres)	Total (acres)	Ground Cover	Subcatchment Numbers
0.000	0.000	0.000	35.240	0.000	35.240	Meadow:	1S

17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Tuusso Solar: Fumaria Existing Basin
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 2 yr Rainfall=1.00"

Printed 6/28/2017

Page 3

Time span=5.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1341 points

Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv.

Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: Existing Fumaria Basin Runoff Area=35.240 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.06"
Flow Length=2,050' Tc=65.8 min CN=78/0 Runoff=0.17 cfs 0.171 af

17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Tuusso Solar: Fumaria Existing Basin

E-WA Long R2 24-hr 2 yr Rainfall=1.00"

Printed 6/28/2017

Page 4

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Existing Fumaria Basin

Runoff = 0.17 cfs @ 13.97 hrs, Volume= 0.171 af, Depth= 0.06"


Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 5.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 2 yr Rainfall=1.00"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
* 35.240	78	Meadow: HSG D
35.240	78	100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
38.3	300	0.0192	0.13		Sheet Flow, Range n= 0.130 P2= 1.00"
27.5	1,750	0.0229	1.06		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
65.8	2,050	Total			

Subcatchment 1S: Existing Fumaria Basin

Hydrograph



17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Tuusso Solar: Fumaria Existing Basin
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 10 yr Rainfall=1.20"

Printed 6/28/2017

Page 5

Time span=5.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1341 points

Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv.

Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: Existing Fumaria Basin Runoff Area=35.240 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.12"
Flow Length=2,050' Tc=65.8 min CN=78/0 Runoff=0.38 cfs 0.344 af

17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Tuusso Solar: Fumaria Existing Basin
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 10 yr Rainfall=1.20"

Printed 6/28/2017

Page 6

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Existing Fumaria Basin

Runoff = 0.38 cfs @ 13.05 hrs, Volume= 0.344 af, Depth= 0.12"


Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 5.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 10 yr Rainfall=1.20"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
* 35.240	78	Meadow: HSG D
35.240	78	100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
38.3	300	0.0192	0.13		Sheet Flow, Range n= 0.130 P2= 1.00"
27.5	1,750	0.0229	1.06		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
65.8	2,050	Total			

Subcatchment 1S: Existing Fumaria Basin

Hydrograph



17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Tuusso Solar: Fumaria Existing Basin
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 25 yr Rainfall=1.60"

Printed 6/28/2017

Page 7

Time span=5.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1341 points

Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv.

Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: Existing Fumaria Basin Runoff Area=35.240 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.28"
Flow Length=2,050' Tc=65.8 min CN=78/0 Runoff=0.96 cfs 0.817 af

17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Tuusso Solar: Fumaria Existing Basin
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 25 yr Rainfall=1.60"

Printed 6/28/2017

Page 8

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Existing Fumaria Basin

Runoff = 0.96 cfs @ 12.24 hrs, Volume= 0.817 af, Depth= 0.28"


Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 5.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 25 yr Rainfall=1.60"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
* 35.240	78	Meadow: HSG D
35.240	78	100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
38.3	300	0.0192	0.13		Sheet Flow, Range n= 0.130 P2= 1.00"
27.5	1,750	0.0229	1.06		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
65.8	2,050	Total			

Subcatchment 1S: Existing Fumaria Basin

Hydrograph



17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Tuusso Solar: Fumaria Existing Basin
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 100 yr Rainfall=2.00"

Printed 6/28/2017

Page 9

Time span=5.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1341 points

Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv.

Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: Existing Fumaria Basin Runoff Area=35.240 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.48"
Flow Length=2,050' Tc=65.8 min CN=78/0 Runoff=1.73 cfs 1.423 af

17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Tuusso Solar: Fumaria Existing Basin
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 100 yr Rainfall=2.00"

Printed 6/28/2017

Page 10

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Existing Fumaria Basin

Runoff = 1.73 cfs @ 11.82 hrs, Volume= 1.423 af, Depth= 0.48"


Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 5.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 100 yr Rainfall=2.00"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
* 35.240	78	Meadow: HSG D
35.240	78	100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
38.3	300	0.0192	0.13		Sheet Flow, Range n= 0.130 P2= 1.00"
27.5	1,750	0.0229	1.06		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
65.8	2,050	Total			

Subcatchment 1S: Existing Fumaria Basin

Hydrograph



Appendix E:

HydroCAD REPORT:
PROPOSED BASIN

17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

Printed 6/28/2017

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 1

Area Listing (selected nodes)

Area (acres)	CN	Description (subcatchment-numbers)
1.710	93	Impervious Areas (2S)
33.530	78	Meadow: HSG D (2S)

17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

Printed 6/28/2017

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 2

Ground Covers (selected nodes)

HSG-A (acres)	HSG-B (acres)	HSG-C (acres)	HSG-D (acres)	Other (acres)	Total (acres)	Ground Cover	Subcatchment Numbers
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.710	1.710	Impervious Areas	2S
0.000	0.000	0.000	33.530	0.000	33.530	Meadow:	2S

17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Tuusso Solar: Fumaria Proposed Basin
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 2 yr Rainfall=1.00"

Printed 6/28/2017

Page 3

Time span=5.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1341 points

Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv.

Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment2S: Proposed Fumaria Basin Runoff Area=35.240 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.07"

Flow Length=2,050' Tc=65.8 min CN=79/0 Runoff=0.21 cfs 0.206 af

17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Tuusso Solar: Fumaria Proposed Basin

E-WA Long R2 24-hr 2 yr Rainfall=1.00"

Printed 6/28/2017

Page 4

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: Proposed Fumaria Basin

Runoff = 0.21 cfs @ 13.59 hrs, Volume= 0.206 af, Depth= 0.07"


Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 5.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 2 yr Rainfall=1.00"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
* 1.710	93	Impervious Areas
* 33.530	78	Meadow: HSG D
35.240	79	Weighted Average
35.240	79	100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
38.3	300	0.0192	0.13		Sheet Flow, Range n= 0.130 P2= 1.00"
27.5	1,750	0.0229	1.06		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
65.8	2,050	Total			

Subcatchment 2S: Proposed Fumaria Basin

Hydrograph



17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Tuusso Solar: Fumaria Proposed Basin
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 10 yr Rainfall=1.20"

Printed 6/28/2017

Page 5

Time span=5.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1341 points

Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv.

Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment2S: Proposed Fumaria Basin Runoff Area=35.240 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.13"
Flow Length=2,050' Tc=65.8 min CN=79/0 Runoff=0.44 cfs 0.394 af

17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Tuusso Solar: Fumaria Proposed Basin

E-WA Long R2 24-hr 10 yr Rainfall=1.20"

Printed 6/28/2017

Page 6

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: Proposed Fumaria Basin

Runoff = 0.44 cfs @ 12.85 hrs, Volume= 0.394 af, Depth= 0.13"


Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 5.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 10 yr Rainfall=1.20"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
* 1.710	93	Impervious Areas
* 33.530	78	Meadow: HSG D
35.240	79	Weighted Average
35.240	79	100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
38.3	300	0.0192	0.13		Sheet Flow, Range n= 0.130 P2= 1.00"
27.5	1,750	0.0229	1.06		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
65.8	2,050	Total			

Subcatchment 2S: Proposed Fumaria Basin

Hydrograph



17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Tuusso Solar: Fumaria Proposed Basin
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 25 yr Rainfall=1.60"

Printed 6/28/2017

Page 7

Time span=5.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1341 points

Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv.

Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment2S: Proposed Fumaria Basin Runoff Area=35.240 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.31"
Flow Length=2,050' Tc=65.8 min CN=79/0 Runoff=1.07 cfs 0.899 af

17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Tuusso Solar: Fumaria Proposed Basin

E-WA Long R2 24-hr 25 yr Rainfall=1.60"

Printed 6/28/2017

Page 8

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: Proposed Fumaria Basin

Runoff = 1.07 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.899 af, Depth= 0.31"


Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 5.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 25 yr Rainfall=1.60"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
* 1.710	93	Impervious Areas
* 33.530	78	Meadow: HSG D
35.240	79	Weighted Average
35.240	79	100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
38.3	300	0.0192	0.13		Sheet Flow, Range n= 0.130 P2= 1.00"
27.5	1,750	0.0229	1.06		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
65.8	2,050	Total			

Subcatchment 2S: Proposed Fumaria Basin

Hydrograph



17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Tuusso Solar: Fumaria Proposed Basin
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 100 yr Rainfall=2.00"

Printed 6/28/2017

Page 9

Time span=5.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 1341 points

Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv.

Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment2S: Proposed Fumaria Basin Runoff Area=35.240 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.52"
Flow Length=2,050' Tc=65.8 min CN=79/0 Runoff=1.88 cfs 1.534 af

17016 hydroCAD

Prepared by Encompass Engineering and Surveying

HydroCAD® 10.00-17 s/n 09336 © 2016 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Tuusso Solar: Fumaria Proposed Basin
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 100 yr Rainfall=2.00"

Printed 6/28/2017

Page 10

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: Proposed Fumaria Basin

Runoff = 1.88 cfs @ 11.72 hrs, Volume= 1.534 af, Depth= 0.52"

Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 5.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
E-WA Long R2 24-hr 100 yr Rainfall=2.00"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
* 1.710	93	Impervious Areas
* 33.530	78	Meadow: HSG D
35.240	79	Weighted Average
35.240	79	100.00% Pervious Area

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
38.3	300	0.0192	0.13		Sheet Flow, Range n= 0.130 P2= 1.00"
27.5	1,750	0.0229	1.06		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
65.8	2,050	Total			

Subcatchment 2S: Proposed Fumaria Basin

Hydrograph

