



# Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council

## AGENDA

**MONTHLY MEETING**  
Tuesday August 18, 2020  
1:30 PM

**CONFERENCE CALL ONLY**  
Conference number: (360) 407-3810 ID: 214817

- 1. Call to Order ..... Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair
- 2. Roll Call ..... Tammy Mastro, EFSEC Staff
- 3. Proposed Agenda ..... Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair
- 4. Minutes **Meeting Minutes**..... Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair
  - June 16, 2020
- 5. Projects
  - a. **Kittitas Valley Wind Project**
    - Operational Updates..... Eric Melbardis, EDP Renewables
  - b. **Wild Horse Wind Power Project**
    - Operational Updates..... Jennifer Diaz, Puget Sound Energy
  - c. **Chehalis Generation Facility**
    - Operational Updates..... Mark Miller, Chehalis Generation
  - d. **Desert Claim**
    - Project Updates..... Amy Moon, EFSEC Staff
  - e. **Columbia Solar Project**
    - Project Updates..... Ami Kidder, EFSEC Staff
  - f. **Grays Harbor Energy Center**
    - Operational Updates..... Chris Sherin, Grays Harbor Energy
  - g. **WNP – 1/4**
    - Non-Operational Updates..... Kip Whitehead, Energy Northwest
  - h. **Columbia Generating Station**
    - Operational Updates..... Kip Whitehead, Energy Northwest
- 6. Other
  - a. **EFSEC Council**
    - 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter Cost Allocation..... Sonia Bumpus, EFSEC Staff
    - Air Rule Update..... Ami Kidder, EFSEC Staff
- 7. Adjourn..... Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair

**Note: "FINAL ACTION"** means a collective positive or negative decision, or an actual vote by a majority of the members of a governing body when sitting as a body or entity, upon a motion, proposal, resolution, order, or ordinance. RCW 42.30.020

**Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting**  
**Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council**  
**June 16, 2020**



**206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989**  
1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101  
[www.buellrealtime.com](http://www.buellrealtime.com)  
email: [info@buellrealtime.com](mailto:info@buellrealtime.com)



Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/16/2020

Page 1

---

WASHINGTON STATE  
ENERGY FACILITY SITE EVALUATION COUNCIL  
Lacey, Washington  
Tuesday, June 16, 2020  
1:30 p.m.

---

TELEPHONIC MONTHLY COUNCIL MEETING  
Verbatim Transcript of Proceedings

REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358  
Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC  
1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
(206) 287-9066 | Seattle  
(360) 534-9066 | Olympia  
(800) 846-6989 | National  
  
www.buellrealtime.com

Page 2

1           A P P E A R A N C E S  
2 Councilmembers:  
3 KATHLEEN DREW, Chair  
4 KATE KELLY, Department of Commerce  
5 ROBERT DENGEL, Department of Ecology  
6 MIKE LIVINGSTON, Department of Fish and Wildlife  
7 DAN SIEMANN, Department of Natural Resources  
8 STACEY BREWSTER, Utilities and Transportation Commission  
9  
10 Assistant Attorney General:  
11 JON THOMPSON  
12  
13 EFSEC Staff:  
14  
15 SONIA BUMPUS  
16 AMI KIDDER  
17 AMY MOON  
18 TAMMY MASTRO  
19 JOAN AITKEN  
20 KYLE OVERTON  
21  
22 Also Present:  
23 JENNIFER DIAZ, Puget Sound Energy  
24 ERIC MELBARDIS, Kittitas Valley  
25 CHRIS SHERIN, Grays Harbor Energy  
KARA WARNER, Golder Associates  
KIP WHITEHEAD, Energy Northwest  
JEREMY SMITH, Chehalis Power  
MARK MILLER, PacifiCorp  
TIM MCMAHAN, Stoel Rives  
BILL SHERMAN, The Environment

Page 3

1           LACEY, WASHINGTON; JUNE 16, 2020  
2           1:30 P.M.  
3           --oOo--  
4           P R O C E E D I N G S  
5  
6           CHAIR DREW: Good afternoon. This is  
7 Kathleen Drew, Chair of the Washington State Energy  
8 Facility Site Evaluation Council, and I'm calling our  
9 meeting of June 16th to order.  
10          Ms. Mastro, will you please call the roll?  
11          MS. MASTRO: Department of Commerce?  
12          MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly, present.  
13          MS. MASTRO: Department of Ecology?  
14          MR. DENGEL: Rob Dengel, present.  
15          MS. MASTRO: Fish and Wildlife?  
16          MR. LIVINGSTON: Mike Livingston, present.  
17          MS. MASTRO: Department of Natural  
18 Resources?  
19          MR. SIEMANN: Dan Siemann, present.  
20          MS. MASTRO: Utilities and Transportation  
21 Commission?  
22          MS. BREWSTER: Stacey Brewster, present.  
23          CHAIR DREW: Next I'll ask for -- oh, go  
24 ahead. Sorry.  
25          MS. MASTRO: Chair, you're present?

Page 4

1           CHAIR DREW: Yes.  
2           MS. MASTRO: There is a quorum of the EFSEC  
3 Council.  
4           CHAIR DREW: Thank you.  
5           Next I will ask for the EFSEC Staff to  
6 introduce themselves.  
7           MS. BUMPUS: This is Sonia Bumpus, EFSEC  
8 manager.  
9           MS. KIDDER: This is Ami Kidder, EFSEC  
10 siting and compliance manager.  
11          MR. OVERTON: This is Kyle Overton, EFSEC  
12 site specialist.  
13          MS. MOON: This is Amy Moon, EFSEC site  
14 specialist.  
15          CHAIR DREW: Thank you.  
16          Is there anyone else on the call who would  
17 like to introduce themselves at this point?  
18          MR. SMITH: This is Jeremy Smith for  
19 Chehalis Power.  
20          MS. DIAZ: Jennifer Diaz for Puget Sound  
21 Energy.  
22          MR. MCMAHAN: Tim McMahan, Stoel Rives.  
23          MR. SHERMAN: Bill Sherman from the Attorney  
24 General's Office as counsel for The Environment.  
25          MR. SHERIN: Chris Sherin, Grays Harbor

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/16/2020

Page 5	Page 7
<p>1 Energy Center.                  2 MR. THOMPSON: This is Jon Tompson --                  3 MR. MELBARDIS: This is Eric Melbardis,                  4 Energy Northwest.                  5 MR. THOMPSON: Sorry. This is Jon Thompson                  6 with the Attorney General's Office as EFSEC advisor.                  7 MR. WHITEHEAD: Kip Whitehead, Energy                  8 Northwest.                  9 MS. WARNER: This is Kara Warner --                  10 MR. MELBARDIS: Eric Melbardis --                  11 MS. WARNER: -- with Golder Associates.                  12 MR. MILLER: Mark Miller with the PacifiCorp                  13 Chehalis Generating Facility.                  14 CHAIR DREW: Anyone else?                  15 Okay. With that, let's move on to the                  16 proposed agenda. You have the agenda in front of you.                  17 Is there a motion to approve the agenda?                  18 Ms. Brewster?                  19 MS. BREWSTER: Chair Drew, I'll move that we                  20 approve the proposed agenda for today's meeting.                  21 CHAIR DREW: Is there a second?                  22 MR. DENGEL: Second.                  23 CHAIR DREW: And that was?                  24 MR. DENGEL: Rob Dengel.                  25 CHAIR DREW: Mr. Dengel, thank you.</p>	<p>1 EFSEC Council, and Staff. For the record, this is Eric                  2 Melbardis with EDP Renewables for the Kittitas Valley                  3 Wind Power Project. During the period, there was                  4 nothing nonroutine to report. However, as of June 8th                  5 of this month, per Governor Inslee's phase two orders                  6 for Kittitas County, we have begun merging remote                  7 employees back into the office, still maintaining                  8 separation and all the rest of the guidelines.                  9 CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions?                  10 Thank you.                  11 Okay. Let's move on to our next agenda                  12 item, Wild Horse Wind Facility, Ms. Diaz?                  13 MS. DIAZ: Yes. Thank you, Chair Drew,                  14 Councilmembers. For the record, this is Jennifer Diaz                  15 with Puget Sound Energy at the Wild Horse Wind Facility.                  16 Only have one nonroutine item to report for the month of                  17 May. We re- -- reopened the site for public access and                  18 recreation on May 5th. All recreation permits are                  19 available online only. The renewable energy center, or                  20 the visitor center, remain closed to the public during                  21 the month of May. And that's all I have.                  22 CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions?                  23 Okay. Thank you.                  24 Our next agenda item is Chehalis Generation                  25 Facility, and we have Mr. Jeremy Smith. Yes?</p>
Page 6	Page 8
<p>1 Are there any additions or corrections? If                  2 not, all those in favor of approving and adopting the                  3 agenda for today, please say "aye."                  4 COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.                  5 CHAIR DREW: Opposed? The agenda is                  6 adopted.                  7 Moving on to the meeting minutes. Is there                  8 a motion to approve the meeting minutes from                  9 May 19th, 2020?                  10 MS. BREWSTER: Chair Drew, this is Stacey                  11 Brewster. I move that the Council approve the minutes                  12 from the May 19th Council meeting.                  13 CHAIR DREW: Is there a second?                  14 MR. SIEMANN: This is Dan Siemann, I'll                  15 second it.                  16 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.                  17 Are there any comments on the draft minutes?                  18 Hearing none, all those in favor of approving the                  19 minutes from May 19th, 2020, please say "aye."                  20 COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.                  21 CHAIR DREW: Opposed? The minutes are                  22 approved. Thank you.                  23 Now moving on to our facility updates.                  24 First is the Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project.                  25 MR. MELBARDIS: Good afternoon, Chair Drew,</p>	<p>1 MR. SMITH: Apologies. Good afternoon,                  2 Chair Drew, Council, and Staff. This is Jeremy Smith,                  3 the environmental analyst for Chehalis Generation                  4 Facility. I have no abnormal reports for the month of                  5 May.                  6 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.                  7 MR. SMITH: Questions?                  8 CHAIR DREW: Any questions?                  9 Okay. Thank you.                  10 Okay. Now we have Grays Harbor Energy                  11 Center, Mr. Sherin.                  12 MR. SHERIN: Good afternoon, Chair Drew and                  13 Councilmembers. For the May report, there is -- I                  14 don't -- I have no nonroutine items to report.                  15 CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions?                  16 Hearing none, let's move to the next item on our agenda,                  17 which is the Title V update, Mr. Overton.                  18 MS. MOON: Sorry, this is Amy Moon. Can I                  19 interject? Could we go back to Desert Claim and                  20 Columbia Solar?                  21 CHAIR DREW: Oh, did I miss that? I'm                  22 sorry.                  23 MS. MOON: Yeah.                  24 CHAIR DREW: I was looking at the documents                  25 as they came up rather than the agenda. We can do that.</p>

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/16/2020

Page 9

1 So sorry about that, Kyle. We will come  
 2 back to you.  
 3 Desert Claim, Ms. Moon.  
 4 MS. MOON: Thank you, Chair Drew. Good  
 5 afternoon, Council Chair Drew and Councilmembers. This  
 6 is Amy Moon providing an update for the Desert Claim  
 7 project. EFSEC Staff continues to coordinate with  
 8 Desert Claim; however, at this time, there are no other  
 9 project updates.  
 10 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you.  
 11 Are there any questions?  
 12 Okay. Moving on to Columbia Solar Project,  
 13 Ms. Kidder?  
 14 MS. KIDDER: Thank you. Good afternoon,  
 15 Chair Drew and Councilmembers. For the record, my name  
 16 is Ami Kidder. EFSEC Staff are continuing to coordinate  
 17 with the certificate holder as they refine their site  
 18 design plans. As design plans become more concrete,  
 19 Staff expects submission of site-specific --  
 20 site-specific facility plans to follow shortly. Staff  
 21 will continue to keep the Council updated as we  
 22 progress. Are there any questions?  
 23 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Kidder.  
 24 Now we will move on to the Title V update  
 25 with Mr. Overton.

Page 10

1 MR. OVERTON: Yes, thank you. This is Kyle  
 2 Overton, the EFSEC site specialist for the Grays Harbor  
 3 facility. Monday, June 8th, the Council received the  
 4 proposed final drafts for the Grays Harbor Title V Air  
 5 Operating Permit and technical support document, which  
 6 is available in your packets. These documents were  
 7 developed with the input from EFSEC Staff, ORCAA, the  
 8 EPA, and the facility. During this process -- process,  
 9 opportunity for comment was provided to members of the  
 10 public and other interested parties.  
 11 We received one comment on the draft permit,  
 12 which was determined to be outside of the scope of the  
 13 permit itself. The proposed final draft of the permit  
 14 and supporting documents have been updated to include  
 15 the permit number and end dates as necessary, but are  
 16 otherwise unchanged from the drafts previously reviewed  
 17 and approved by the Council for public comment.  
 18 At this time, EFSEC Staff would like to  
 19 request that the Council vote to approve the permit and  
 20 supports documents for issuance. Should the Council  
 21 choose to do so, the permit will become effective  
 22 tomorrow, June 17th, 2020. Any questions?  
 23 MR. DENGEL: This is Rob Dengel with the  
 24 Department of Ecology.  
 25 CHAIR DREW: Yes, Mr. Dengel?

Page 11

1 MR. DENGEL: Yeah, so I had a question  
 2 regarding the -- basically the triggering event for the  
 3 AOP, because it looks like they were operating since  
 4 2008. And I was just wondering, was there something  
 5 that happened between now and then that -- did they  
 6 increase their emissions from that point or were they  
 7 always in the status of developing a permit?  
 8 MR. OVERTON: Yeah, this has been a very  
 9 long process. Part of the issue earlier was getting  
 10 some of the state and federal requirements in line for  
 11 their PSD, their potential for certificate  
 12 deterioration, I believe, permit, and that had to be  
 13 resolved before we could issue the AOP. So once that  
 14 was taken care of --  
 15 (Brief interruption.)  
 16 MR. OVERTON: So there was always the  
 17 requirement, it just was a long process in getting that  
 18 finished.  
 19 Did I answer your question?  
 20 MR. DENGEL: Okay. That -- that's what I  
 21 need to know. Thank you.  
 22 MR. OVERTON: Mm-hmm.  
 23 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Any other  
 24 questions?  
 25 Mr. Dengel, do you want to make a motion to

Page 12

1 approve the Title V Permit?  
 2 MR. DENGEL: Yes, so a motion to approve the  
 3 Title V Permit for Grays Harbor Energy Center as  
 4 written.  
 5 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.  
 6 Is there a second?  
 7 MR. SIEMANN: This is Dan Siemann, I'll  
 8 second that.  
 9 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Are there any further  
 10 discussions on this permit?  
 11 I think that what we'll do is call the roll  
 12 since I think that's a better way to vote in this  
 13 situation.  
 14 So, Ms. Mastro, will you call the roll,  
 15 please?  
 16 MS. MASTRO: Department of Commerce?  
 17 MS. KELLY: I vote to approve.  
 18 MS. MASTRO: Department of Ecology?  
 19 MR. DENGEL: Vote to approve.  
 20 MS. MASTRO: Fish and Wildlife?  
 21 MR. LIVINGSTON: Aye.  
 22 MS. MASTRO: Natural Resources?  
 23 MR. SIEMANN: Aye.  
 24 MS. MASTRO: Utilities and Transportation  
 25 Commission?

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/16/2020

Page 13

1 MS. BREWSTER: Aye.  
 2 MS. MASTRO: Chair Drew, your vote?  
 3 CHAIR DREW: Aye.  
 4 MS. MASTRO: It's unanimous.  
 5 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.  
 6 The Title V Permit for Grays Harbor Energy  
 7 Center is approved. Okay.  
 8 MR. OVERTON: Thank you.  
 9 CHAIR DREW: You're welcome.  
 10 The next item on the agenda is update on  
 11 WNP-1/4 and then Columbia Generating Station,  
 12 Mr. Whitehead.  
 13 MR. WHITEHEAD: Good afternoon, this is Kip  
 14 Whitehead from Energy Northwest. I'll be reporting on  
 15 WNP-1/4 and the Columbia Generating Station. There are  
 16 no nonroutine operating conditions that we'd like to  
 17 report for the May reporting period. Do -- do you have  
 18 any questions?  
 19 CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions?  
 20 Okay. Thank you.  
 21 Now we will move on to Resolution 347,  
 22 Ms. Moon.  
 23 MS. MOON: Thank you, Chair Drew. I -- I  
 24 hope that everyone can hear me and that this holds out.  
 25 Good afternoon, Chair Drew and Councilmembers. For the

Page 14

1 record, I am Amy Moon, a member of the EFSEC Staff. I  
 2 want to introduce to you a proposed resolution,  
 3 Resolution 347, authorizing fish collection for the  
 4 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program at the  
 5 Columbia Generating Station.  
 6 At the May 2020 Council meeting, EFSEC Staff  
 7 presented the history on the annual fish collection at  
 8 the Columbia Generating Station that is a -- that is  
 9 required under the Radiological Environmental Monitoring  
 10 Program, which is known as REMP. The REMP is a  
 11 requirement of the Site Certification Agreement in which  
 12 annual fish monitoring is conducted to evaluate the  
 13 gamisotopes found in Columbia River resident fish  
 14 species at Station 30, which is River Mile 351 to 354 in  
 15 Benton and Franklin Counties.  
 16 Station 30 is located near Outfall 001 where  
 17 the authorized National Pollutant Discharge Elimination,  
 18 otherwise known as the NPDES Permit, wastewater is  
 19 discharged from the Columbia Generating Station to the  
 20 Columbia River.  
 21 Resolution 157 originally authorized fish  
 22 collection in 1979 at CGS as well as at other facilities  
 23 that were never constructed. The new proposed  
 24 resolution, Resolution 347 that you have in your June  
 25 Council packet, extends Resolution 157 and provides the

Page 15

1 current fish collection conditions for CGS. The  
 2 proposed Resolution 347 is specific to the Columbia  
 3 Generating Station and updates the conditions of fish  
 4 collection.  
 5 Resolution 347 was prepared in consultation  
 6 with Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife and is  
 7 consistent with current Fish and Wildlife scientific  
 8 collection permits. Resolution 347 will serve in lieu  
 9 of an annual fish and wildlife permit.  
 10 The proposed Resolution 347 sets forth the  
 11 fish sampling conditions including collection and  
 12 handling methods, fish species and numbers, and annual  
 13 reporting. Resident fish including smallmouth bass,  
 14 walleye, yellow perch, common carp, channel catfish,  
 15 brown bullhead, yellow bullhead, and the largescale  
 16 sucker collection is authorized -- and large scale  
 17 sucker, I'm sorry, the fish collection is authorized  
 18 under the proposed Resolution 347 within the Columbia  
 19 River. Collection of sensitive species, Steelhead and  
 20 Chinook salmon, will be at the Columbia River Ringold  
 21 Fish Hatchery near Station 30 and at the Snake River  
 22 Lyons Ferry Fish Hatchery.  
 23 Preparation of the draft resolution included  
 24 a review of the National Marine Fishery Service, or  
 25 NMFS, March 10th, 2017, Endangered Species Act, Section

Page 16

1 7A2, biological opinion, and the Magnuson-Stevens  
 2 Fishery Conservation and Management Act essential fish  
 3 habitat response for renewing the Nuclear Regulatory  
 4 Commission operating license or the Columbia Generating  
 5 Station.  
 6 The biological opinion examined effects on  
 7 threatened and endangered species as a result of the CGS  
 8 operation including fish collection for the REMP, intake  
 9 of cooling water from the Columbia River, and effluent  
 10 discharge monitoring. The biological opinion determined  
 11 relicensing the CGS, quote, is not likely to jeopardize  
 12 the continued existence of Upper Columbia River spring  
 13 run Chinook salmon and Upper Columbia River Steelhead,  
 14 and is not likely to result in a destruction or adverse  
 15 modification of the designated critical habitat, end  
 16 quote.  
 17 The fish collection method including the  
 18 proposed Resolution 347 follow the biological opinion  
 19 limits. The maximum number of nonlethal take or salvage  
 20 of Chinook salmon is two and ten for Steelhead. Energy  
 21 Northwest has successfully captured all the necessary  
 22 resident fish in the Columbia River in the past by  
 23 angling, and electrofishing is not planned. However, if  
 24 electrofishing is required, the proposed Resolution 347  
 25 provides conditions for electrofishing to minimize

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/16/2020

Page 17

1 impacts to Chinook salmon and Steelhead that are in  
 2 alignment with the biological opinion.  
 3 The collection of sensitive species, i.e.  
 4 Chinook salmon and Steelhead, will be from two  
 5 hatcheries, as stated earlier, thus minimizing impacts  
 6 to Endangered Species Act listed species.  
 7 In response to a question posed by the EFSEC  
 8 Council at the May 2020 Council meeting regarding tribal  
 9 consultation, NMFS notified potentially affected tribes  
 10 during preparation of the biological opinion. The  
 11 Nasbert Tribe and the Confederated Tribes and Bands of  
 12 the Yakima Nation were notified. NMFS discussed their  
 13 draft findings with the Naz Perce Tribe and the Umatilla  
 14 Tribe. Neither requested further discussions or  
 15 government-to-government consultation.  
 16 Does the Council have any questions at this  
 17 time?  
 18 MR. LIVINGSTON: Chair Drew, I -- I do have  
 19 a comment and a recommendation. This is Mike Livingston  
 20 with Fish and Wildlife. Hello?  
 21 CHAIR DREW: Am I back on now? Can you hear  
 22 me?  
 23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, Chair Drew, we  
 24 can hear.  
 25 CHAIR DREW: Okay. So I had turned my mic

Page 18

1 off so that we could give whatever broadband width we  
 2 needed to Ms. Moon.  
 3 So yes, Mr. Livingston, what I'd like to do  
 4 is to have us bring a motion up to approve the  
 5 resolution and then have a conversation about the  
 6 concern that -- that you have raised to our Staff.  
 7 So, Ms. Aitken, would you please put the  
 8 page 3 of the resolution?  
 9 MS. AITKEN: Yes, sorry, I -- think we're --  
 10 CHAIR DREW: Between page 3 and page 4 as we  
 11 talk about that.  
 12 And then, Mr. Livingston, if you'd like to  
 13 put a motion forward and we'll get a second and then  
 14 we'll talk about your recommendation.  
 15 MR. LIVINGSTON: Okay. So, Chair, I move  
 16 that the Council approve the proposed Resolution 347 on  
 17 the condition that EFSEC Staff amend the resolution to  
 18 remove Condition No. 4 and edit the following condition  
 19 numbers to reflect the deletion.  
 20 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you.  
 21 Is there a second?  
 22 MS. BREWSTER: This is Stacey Brewster, I'll  
 23 second.  
 24 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.  
 25 And now we'll have a discussion before we

Page 19

1 vote so everybody can hear what the issue is, but I  
 2 thought it was better to get that up.  
 3 So Mr. Livingston is recommending -- so,  
 4 Ms. Aitken, if you can go to page 4 there. Next page.  
 5 There we go. The deletion of the fourth item in the  
 6 resolution.  
 7 Mr. Livingston, would you like to speak to  
 8 your motion?  
 9 MR. LIVINGSTON: Yes, thanks, Chair. So  
 10 during review of the resolution, I noticed on page 4,  
 11 Condition No. 4, that the migration timing for Upper  
 12 Columbia River Steelhead is -- is not accurate. I  
 13 confirmed that with our district fish file just in the  
 14 area that the actual period runs late June through mid  
 15 October. So we wanted to make sure that we have the  
 16 right window.  
 17 But then also during review, we noticed on  
 18 page 3, Condition No. 2, that the resolution provides  
 19 Columbia Generating Station ability to nonlethally  
 20 handle or salvage Steelhead and spring Chinook. So it's  
 21 my opinion that Condition No. 4 is not needed and can be  
 22 completed from the resolution.  
 23 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.  
 24 Are there questions or comments from other  
 25 Councilmembers?

Page 20

1 MS. BREWSTER: This is Stacey Brewster. So  
 2 I'm wondering, rather than amending No. 4 with the  
 3 appropriate date, you're recommending withdrawing it  
 4 entirely because of the safe handling listed in No. 2;  
 5 is that correct?  
 6 MR. LIVINGSTON: Yes, that's correct. Yeah.  
 7 CHAIR DREW: Any other questions, comments?  
 8 MR. SIEMANN: This is Dan Siemann from DNR,  
 9 and I guess I -- I'm leaning towards keeping it in,  
 10 No. 4 in, but perhaps removing the dates. And my  
 11 thinking here is one, it's an important statement to  
 12 make; but number two, that due to climate change, the  
 13 dates will continue to change and -- in terms of the  
 14 run. And so -- but I think that we could just specify  
 15 the first part without the dates and -- and be  
 16 successful.  
 17 MR. LIVINGSTON: Chair Drew, this is -- I'd  
 18 like to respond to that. Just part of the reason I made  
 19 the recommendation to remove it was it wasn't clear to  
 20 me when the sampling was going to occur. And -- and so  
 21 I was trying to provide maximum flexibility for Columbia  
 22 Generating Station staff and then also the fact that  
 23 the -- this is nonlethal take or salvage, so there  
 24 wouldn't be a long term impact on the population. I do  
 25 see what Councilman Siemann's suggesting could

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/16/2020

Page 21

1 potentially work, I just don't know what the -- the work  
 2 windows are for -- for staff and when they would be out  
 3 there doing the sampling.  
 4 CHAIR DREW: I guess as I look at -- if we  
 5 want to go back and look at the second No. 2 under -- in  
 6 the resolution, the collection and handling of fish may  
 7 include the threatened Upper Columbia River and the  
 8 endangered UCR spring run Chinook salmon, and the  
 9 maximum number of nonlethal take or salvage is limited  
 10 to two Chinook and ten Steelhead. I'm concerned that if  
 11 we keep the language in 4 without a window, there may  
 12 not be a window to conduct the testing and what we -- I  
 13 think the priority is that we need to have the testing  
 14 done to make sure that the species are appropriately  
 15 tested due to the potential harm from the activities of  
 16 Columbia Generating Station.  
 17 Other thoughts?  
 18 MR. DENGEL: Okay. I -- I think I've got a  
 19 stupid question here, so I'm going to apologize. This  
 20 is Rob Dengel with Ecology. I'm looking at this, and  
 21 I -- and so the -- the item No. 4, so I know there's  
 22 debate on when that window occurs. You have spring run  
 23 population, I mean, they're salmon, they -- they spawn  
 24 and they die. I believe that whole cycle is migratory,  
 25 so when would they biologically grab the -- the salmon

Page 22

1 if they're not within the migratory window? I mean,  
 2 would it be before they're heading upstream? I mean, I  
 3 guess it would make sense from a power generation  
 4 standpoint. I'm missing something here, so if anybody  
 5 could help clue me in on that, I would -- I would deeply  
 6 appreciate it.  
 7 MR. LIVINGSTON: Chair Drew, can -- can I  
 8 respond to that?  
 9 CHAIR DREW: Please do, yes.  
 10 MR. LIVINGSTON: Yeah, I -- and I think --  
 11 Council Dengel, I think you put your finger on another  
 12 thing that for me was not consistent between this one  
 13 and No. 2, was the fact that there is a goal to actually  
 14 sample Steelhead and Chinook, and so you would be  
 15 sampling during their migration period. The period is  
 16 long enough that it would be hard to narrow it down to  
 17 them just being able to collect from November through --  
 18 to April. And so -- and those winter time periods can  
 19 be difficult and then you may not be able to get your  
 20 target species.  
 21 So I think that No. 4 for a couple reasons  
 22 is -- is not needed, and one of them is what you put  
 23 your finger on.  
 24 CHAIR DREW: If the Council doesn't mind,  
 25 I'll ask either Ms. Bumpus or Ms. Moon to talk about the

Page 23

1 history of this development of this resolution, and I  
 2 think they may have also spoken with you,  
 3 Mr. Livingston, to make this -- to respond to your  
 4 conditions for this recommendation.  
 5 So, Ms. Bumpus, do you want to give the  
 6 Council some background on this?  
 7 MS. BUMPUS: Well, I think before I -- I add  
 8 anything in at this point, I'm going to defer to Amy  
 9 Moon. Amy Moon has worked very closely with the  
 10 Department of Fish and Wildlife and has reviewed the  
 11 federal documents and a lot of the historical documents  
 12 related to the old Resolution 157. So I'm going to give  
 13 Amy Moon an opportunity to -- to add what she can to  
 14 help the Council with this decision.  
 15 Amy?  
 16 MS. MOON: Thank you, Sonia, and thank you,  
 17 Chair Drew. So it is true that Chinook and Steelhead  
 18 are pretty much present year-round. There's a yearly  
 19 migration or yearly migrant time that the federal  
 20 government identified, there's an adult migrant time,  
 21 and then there's a spawning and varying time. And --  
 22 and when you combine all of those, they extend the  
 23 entire year.  
 24 So I agree with what Chair -- or what  
 25 Councilmember Livingston is saying, that it's confusing

Page 24

1 to have item 4 when item 2 talks about that the handling  
 2 of both the Chinook and the Steelhead may occur and then  
 3 there's a -- a nonlethal take or salvage number with  
 4 that. Because when you -- when you're looking for  
 5 recovery of sport fish, fish that people may be eating,  
 6 that is generally collected during the sport fish  
 7 season, and it would be much more successful at that,  
 8 which then means that -- that -- that we are within some  
 9 of the -- the migrant window, but -- but there's  
 10 conditions around that on how you would have to -- how  
 11 Energy Northwest would have to handle those sensitive  
 12 species, and then there's reporting requirements on  
 13 that.  
 14 And so these requirements are not only  
 15 impressed upon Energy Northwest and Columbia Generating  
 16 Station by the federal government, but also within this  
 17 resolution, even without the item No. 4. Is there  
 18 anything else I can answer?  
 19 CHAIR DREW: Mr. Siemann, I'd -- I'd like to  
 20 go back to you and see if this resolves the questions  
 21 and concerns that you have.  
 22 MR. SIEMANN: I guess the question I have  
 23 now is what was the original reason for putting No. 4  
 24 in, what is the genesis of that, and what is being  
 25 missed by -- by deleting it or what is being lost by

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/16/2020

Page 25	Page 27
<p>1 deleting it? Yeah, so I'd be curious from -- from                  2 Council Staff and in -- in terms of their sense of that.                  3 CHAIR DREW: Ms. Moon?                  4 MS. MOON: Yeah, that's a great question,                  5 Member Siemann. As far as -- I'm going to take                  6 responsibility for this a hundred percent is item 4 is                  7 in here in an errant fashion and it -- it should be                  8 removed or I agree with Mr. Livingston's questioning of                  9 it. It -- it doesn't add value to the fish collection                  10 ability, it doesn't add value to Resolution 347, and --                  11 and we discovered this error through our review, our                  12 multiple review by Fish and Wildlife, Energy Northwest,                  13 and EFSEC, and unfortunately, though, this mistake                  14 wasn't found until the resolution was prepared and sent                  15 to Council.                  16 MS. BUMPUS: And this is Sonia Bumpus. I                  17 just want to add, Staff have talked about this                  18 internally once the question was raised about No. 4,                  19 and -- and ultimately, you know, we -- we realized that                  20 we had not itemized all of the applicable fish windows                  21 for the different life stages for these species. And as                  22 Amy Moon said earlier, if you were to apply and itemize                  23 all of the -- all of the windows for these species                  24 throughout their life stages, you would essentially be                  25 left with really no -- no window of opportunity for the</p>	<p>1 MS. BUMPUS: Sorry, Chair Drew. Pardon the                  2 interruption. And I'd just add that, you know, in doing                  3 that and removing 4, we're -- we're still protecting, so                  4 we're not sacrificing the protection there either.                  5 CHAIR DREW: Any other comments, questions                  6 from Councilmembers?                  7 MS. KELLY: Madame Chair, this is Kate Kelly                  8 with Commerce.                  9 CHAIR DREW: Yes?                  10 MS. KELLY: The deeper dive into this                  11 document has just provoked a question in my mind, and                  12 I -- the -- the numbers that are listed in what is                  13 currently No. 7, maximum take, are -- those are annual                  14 numbers I assume. And so -- so my question is, is that                  15 specified someplace else and is it a calendar year we're                  16 talking about, January to December?                  17 CHAIR DREW: Ms. Moon?                  18 MS. MOON: That is a great question, and I'm                  19 scrolling through the resolution to see if we specify --                  20 we specify annual reporting, but I -- I think that                  21 Councilmember Kelly has -- has touched on something that                  22 may not be recovered in this resolution.                  23 MS. BUMPUS: Chair Drew, I'd -- I would add                  24 at this point that we -- so we don't have the -- the                  25 clear answer to that question at this time, but we</p>
Page 26	Page 28
<p>1 sampling.                  2 So I -- I did want to just add that that was                  3 another reason where we -- we thought that -- or I think                  4 that Councilmember Livingston's recommendation is -- is                  5 a good one because the -- this -- this item of No. 4                  6 does not include all of the windows that would be                  7 applicable. But again, if you were to apply all those,                  8 if I understand it correctly, and, Ms. Moon, please                  9 interject if I'm incorrect, but that you would be                  10 leaving Energy Northwest with really no opportunity to                  11 do the sampling or rather a very narrow window where                  12 they may not be successful in doing the sampling.                  13 MS. MOON: Yeah, thank you, Sonia. This is                  14 Amy Moon again. You're correct on that. And these --                  15 these life stage windows, the migrant times of spawning                  16 and varying are what Energy Northwest has to look at                  17 what the federal government put in documentation for                  18 their relicensing with that biological opinion. And --                  19 and like Mr. Siemann said, I think it was Mr. Siemann,                  20 where this time might change over the years, and if we                  21 take out item 4, we will not have to continue to update                  22 the resolution for that shift.                  23 CHAIR DREW: Any other questions --                  24 MS. BUMPUS: And --                  25 CHAIR DREW: Oh, go ahead.</p>	<p>1 certainly can check on that and add the information, add                  2 that detail to the resolution.                  3 CHAIR DREW: So I'm going to ask another                  4 question, because if this were not -- if -- if this were                  5 not to impact the collections in this season, I would                  6 say let's put this aside and bring it back to the                  7 Council next month when we can clear up these issues.                  8 However, I guess my next question is, does this                  9 negatively impact the ability to do the sampling this                  10 year if we put it off for another month?                  11 MS. BUMPUS: Chair Drew, my understanding is                  12 that yes, this -- this would be very important for the                  13 facility to have before the end of this month before                  14 July for their scheduled sampling.                  15 CHAIR DREW: So we have two options. I                  16 guess I'm looking for direction from the Council on                  17 this. One option is that we can provide -- the Council                  18 can provide the Staff the direction to address these two                  19 issues, to delete 4 and to address what time period                  20 No. 7 relates to. And then once those are correct, I                  21 could then finalize and sign it. Or the other option is                  22 for us to have the document prepared and sent back out                  23 to you and to have a special meeting to approve it. So                  24 I'm asking which is the preference of the Council?                  25 MR. LIVINGSTON: Chair, this is Council</p>

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/16/2020

Page 29

1 Livingston, I think -- I think we could probably amend a  
 2 motion that I made to include adding the annual time  
 3 frame to No. 7, and hopefully we could vote on it at  
 4 that point.  
 5 CHAIR DREW: Okay.  
 6 MR. LIVINGSTON: That -- that's my -- that  
 7 would be my suggestion.  
 8 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.  
 9 Other comments?  
 10 MS. KELLY: Madame Chair, this is Kate  
 11 Kelly. I -- that would address my concern as well.  
 12 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Any other comments?  
 13 MS. MOON: Chair Drew, this is Amy Moon. I  
 14 just wanted to clarify that that window, the -- the  
 15 annual permitting time period is July 1st through June  
 16 30th of the next year.  
 17 CHAIR DREW: And -- and that is found the  
 18 annual permit would -- from what source?  
 19 MS. MOON: That was from Energy Northwest's  
 20 application and from the scientific collection permit  
 21 that was -- that was prepared by Fish and Wildlife. So  
 22 if EFSEC didn't have the authority to issue a permit in  
 23 lieu of, a July 1st through June 30th collection permit  
 24 would have -- would have been issued by Fish and  
 25 Wildlife on an annual basis.

Page 30

1 CHAIR DREW: And since what we do is in lieu  
 2 of other agency permits with the information provided by  
 3 our Fish and Wildlife contract, then that is the date  
 4 that you would put into this document; is that correct?  
 5 MS. MOON: That is correct.  
 6 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you.  
 7 So we have a motion on the floor that adopts  
 8 the -- approves the resolution eliminating the No. 4  
 9 paragraph and adding the time period to No. 7 to be  
 10 between -- annually between July 1st and June 30th. Is  
 11 that -- that's what I hear the motion is on the floor.  
 12 Is there a second to that?  
 13 MR. LIVINGSTON: This is Council Livingston,  
 14 I will second that. Can I second my own motion?  
 15 CHAIR DREW: No, you would need someone --  
 16 let's find somebody else.  
 17 MS. KELLY: This is Councilmember Kelly.  
 18 I'll second that motion.  
 19 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Then are other -- are  
 20 there additional comments from Councilmembers before we  
 21 vote?  
 22 Okay. Ms. Mastro, will you call the roll?  
 23 MS. MASTRO: Department of Commerce?  
 24 MS. KELLY: Aye.  
 25 MS. MASTRO: Department of Ecology?

Page 31

1 MR. DENGEL: Aye.  
 2 MS. MASTRO: Department of Fish and  
 3 Wildlife?  
 4 MR. LIVINGSTON: Aye.  
 5 MS. MASTRO: Department of Natural  
 6 Resources?  
 7 MR. SIEMANN: Aye.  
 8 MS. MASTRO: Utilities and Transportation  
 9 Commission?  
 10 MS. BREWSTER: Aye.  
 11 MS. MASTRO: Chair Drew, your vote?  
 12 CHAIR DREW: Aye.  
 13 MS. MASTRO: There -- it is a unanimous vote  
 14 to approve.  
 15 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. The resolution is  
 16 adopted.  
 17 I want to say thank you to the  
 18 Councilmembers, all of you with very thoughtful review  
 19 and help to make this a better product, and I really  
 20 appreciate your eyes and thoughts on it. So I'm very,  
 21 very appreciative of that as well of all the -- the work  
 22 that the Staff has done on it as well. So thank you.  
 23 And we will make those corrections before I  
 24 sign the resolution.  
 25 Okay. Let's go back to our agenda. And if

Page 32

1 there is nothing else for us to discuss, which it seems  
 2 we are at the end of our agenda, our meeting is  
 3 adjourned. Thank you all.  
 4 (Adjourned at 2:15 p.m.)  
 5  
 6  
 7  
 8  
 9  
 10  
 11  
 12  
 13  
 14  
 15  
 16  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF WASHINGTON  
COUNTY OF THURSTON

I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

  
Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358



# Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project

## Monthly Operations Report

June 2020

### Project Status Update

#### **Production Summary:**

Power generated: 36,744 MWh  
Wind speed: 10 m/s  
Capacity Factor: 50.7%

#### **Safety:**

No incidents

#### **Compliance:**

Project is in compliance

#### **Sound:**

No complaints

#### **Shadow Flicker:**

No complaints

#### **Environmental:**

No incidents

# Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project

## Monthly Operations Report

July 2020

### Project Status Update

#### **Production Summary:**

Power generated: 43,759 MWh  
Wind speed: 10 m/s  
Capacity Factor: 58.3%

#### **Safety:**

No incidents

#### **Compliance:**

Project is in compliance

#### **Sound:**

No complaints

#### **Shadow Flicker:**

No complaints

#### **Environmental:**

No incidents

## EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

**Facility Name:** Wild Horse Wind Facility  
**Operator:** Puget Sound Energy  
**Report Date:** July 7, 2020  
**Report Period:** June 2020  
**Site Contact:** Jennifer Diaz  
**SCA Status:** Operational

---

### **Operations & Maintenance**

June generation totaled 71,650 MWh for an average capacity factor of 36.51%.

### **Environmental Compliance**

Due to ongoing public health concerns surrounding the Coronavirus pandemic, the 2020 annual Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) update was provided to TAC members via email in lieu of an in-person meeting.

### **Safety Compliance**

No lost-time accidents or safety injuries/illnesses.

### **Current or Upcoming Projects**

Nothing to report.

### **Other**

The Renewable Energy Center (visitor center) remained closed to the public during the month of June due to the Coronavirus pandemic. Access to project buildings is restricted to only essential employees and contractors, to ensure safe and continued operation of the facility.

# Wild Horse Wind Facility Technical Advisory Committee 2020 Update

---



Completing eagle surveys with drone

## **Sage-Grouse Nesting & Brood-Rearing Habitat Improvements**

The 2019 sage-grouse habitat monitoring report is attached for your review (Attachment 1). PSE developed this 4<sup>th</sup> annual monitoring report with the data collected by Beck Botanical Services, the third party contractor that completed field work starting in 2018. Monitoring of the sage-grouse nesting and brood-rearing habitat area will continue through 2020 in accordance with the Sage-Grouse Nesting & Brood-Rearing Habitat Restoration and Management Plan. After the conclusion of the 2020 monitoring, we will evaluate the effectiveness of the management actions, with consideration of the best available science and up-to-date information on sage-grouse populations in Washington State.

## **Hunting**

No changes to the hunting program are anticipated for the 2020 season. Permits for the upcoming hunting season will be available to reserve soon on WDFW's Hunt by Reservation website at [https://privatelands.wdfw.wa.gov/private\\_land/](https://privatelands.wdfw.wa.gov/private_land/).

## **Grazing Management**

The 2019 Grazing Monitoring Report prepared by Tip Hudson is attached for your review (Attachment 2). This report includes monitoring results from the 2019 utilization measurements and observations in the Wild Horse paddocks. If you have any questions about this report please contact Tip Hudson at [HUDSONT@WSU.EDU](mailto:HUDSONT@WSU.EDU).

Also attached is the final published Rancher-to-Rancher Case Study about the Stingley cow-calf operation titled "*Grazing Management That Achieves Multiple-Use Goals: Russ Stingley*". This case study provides an excellent overview and history of the Coordinated Resource Management (CRM) plan and the collaborative approach to achieve cattle production and wildlife habitat goals.

## **Eagle Conservation Plan and Permit**

As reported to the TAC on 12/13/19, the United States Fish & Wildlife Service (Service) issued a 5 Year Eagle Incidental Take Permit (ETP) for Wild Horse on 11/05/19. This is the first ETP issued by the Service for a wind project in Washington State. The permit conditions are consistent with the Eagle Conservation Plan (ECP) and the Service's goal of maintaining stable or increasing breeding populations at both the eagle management unit and local population scales. The conditions PSE must comply with include the following:

- **Avoidance and Minimization Measures** (consistent with the Site Certification Agreement)
- **Compensatory Mitigation** – PSE will modify power poles consistent with APLIC Suggested Practices for Reducing Avian Electrocutions, to be completed by January 31, 2021. Power poles were selected using a risk assessment method approved by the Service, and the number, location, and retrofitting methods have been determined in consultation with the Service. The total number of poles were determined using the Service's Resource Equivalency Analysis model for the number of golden eagle fatalities estimated to occur during the permit tenure, with consideration of the pole type (equipment/non-equipment, retrofit type (cover-up/reframing), and retrofit longevity

(10 years/30 years, respectively). Upon completion, power pole retrofits will be inspected by a qualified individual to ensure modifications were completed correctly.

- **Fatality Monitoring**

- Incidental monitoring during site operations throughout the permit tenure (consistent with the Wildlife Incident Reporting and Handling System)
- Formal search and scan/drone monitoring in years 1-3 of the permit tenure at all turbines on a quarterly basis. Methods may be modified in consultation with the Service to increase searcher efficiency. Methods will be determined based on turbine-specific conditions to achieve highest detectability.

- **Adaptive Management**

- Based on estimated take during the permit tenure, establishes thresholds to ensure that the actual number of eagle fatalities is within the permitted number. If a particular threshold is reached, action may be implemented to reduce the risk of additional take.

### **Next TAC Meeting**

The next TAC meeting will likely be scheduled in spring or early summer of 2021.

### **Questions and Comments**

If you have any questions regarding this annual update please contact Jennifer Diaz at 509-964-7813 or [jennifer.diaz@pse.com](mailto:jennifer.diaz@pse.com).

## EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

**Facility Name:** Wild Horse Wind Facility  
**Operator:** Puget Sound Energy  
**Report Date:** August 8, 2020  
**Report Period:** July 2020  
**Site Contact:** Jennifer Diaz  
**SCA Status:** Operational

---

### **Operations & Maintenance**

July generation totaled 65,725 MWh for an average capacity factor of 32.41%.

### **Environmental Compliance**

Nothing to report.

### **Safety Compliance**

No lost-time accidents or safety injuries/illnesses.

### **Current or Upcoming Projects**

Nothing to report.

### **Other**

Nothing to report.

## EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

Facility Name: Chehalis Generation Facility  
Operator: PacifiCorp  
Report Date: July 10, 2020  
Reporting Period: June 2020  
Site Contact: Mark A. Miller  
Facility SCA Status: Operational

### Operations & Maintenance

-Relevant energy generation information, such as wind speed, number of windy or sunny days, gas line supply updates, etc.

- 83,765 MW-hrs. generated in June for a year-to-date 1,151,444 MW-hrs. and a capacity factor of 51%.

---

**The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:**

### Environmental Compliance

-Permit status if any changes.

- No changes

-Update on progress or completion of any mitigation measures identified.

- No issues or updates

-Any EFSEC-related inspections that occurred.

- None

-Any EFSEC-related complaints or violations that occurred.

- None

-Brief list of reports submitted to EFSEC during the monthly reporting period.

- None

### Safety Compliance

-Safety training or improvements that relate to SCA conditions.

- Zero injuries this reporting period and a total of 1767 days without a Lost Time Accident.

### Current or Upcoming Projects

-Planned site improvements.

- No planned changes

-Upcoming permit renewals.

- No upcoming renewals

-Additional mitigation improvements or milestones.

- No issues or updates



### Other

-Current events of note (e.g., Covid response updates, seasonal concerns due to inclement weather, etc.).

- Nothing to report

-Personnel changes as they may relate to EFSEC facility contacts (e.g., introducing a new staff member who may provide facility updates to the Council).

- No changes this reporting period

-Public outreach of interest (e.g., schools, public, facility outreach).

- Facility personnel completed 40 hours of volunteer work for the City of Chehalis Parks and Recreation Department. Chehalis staff assembled new benches, performed hedge trimming, and brush removal at the updated Penny Playground at Recreation Park.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark A. Miller".

Mark A. Miller--P75451  
Manager, Gas Plant  
Chehalis Generation Facility

## EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

Facility Name: Chehalis Generation Facility  
Operator: PacifiCorp  
Report Date: August 7, 2020  
Reporting Period: July 2020  
Site Contact: Mark A. Miller  
Facility SCA Status: Operational

### Operations & Maintenance

- Relevant energy generation information, such as wind speed, number of windy or sunny days, gas line supply updates, etc.
    - 180,466 MW-hrs. generated in June for a year-to-date 1,300,647 MW-hrs. and a capacity factor of 51.6%.
- 

The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:

### Environmental Compliance

- Permit status if any changes.
  - No changes.
- Update on progress or completion of any mitigation measures identified.
  - No issues or updates.
- Any EFSEC-related inspections that occurred.
  - None.
- Any EFSEC-related complaints or violations that occurred.
  - None.
- Brief list of reports submitted to EFSEC during the monthly reporting period.
  - The facility submitted the Quarterly Discharge Monitoring and water usage reports. There were no permit deviations.

### Safety Compliance

- Safety training or improvements that relate to SCA conditions.
  - Zero injuries this reporting period and a total of 1798 days without a Lost Time Accident.

### Current or Upcoming Projects

- Planned site improvements.
  - No planned changes.
- Upcoming permit renewals.
  - No upcoming renewals.
- Additional mitigation improvements or milestones.
  - No issues or updates.



**Other**

-Current events of note (e.g., Covid response updates, seasonal concerns due to inclement weather, etc.).

- Nothing to report.

-Personnel changes as they may relate to EFSEC facility contacts (e.g., introducing a new staff member who may provide facility updates to the Council).

- The facility hired a new operations person. The facility has no open positions and is fully staffed with 18 personnel.

-Public outreach of interest (e.g., schools, public, facility outreach).

- Nothing to report.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark A. Miller".

Mark A. Miller--P75451  
Manager, Gas Plant  
Chehalis Generation Facility

# Desert Claim Wind Power Project

## Project update

[Place holder]

# Columbia Solar Project

## Project update

[Place holder]

## EFSEC Monthly Operational Report Grays Harbor Energy Center

June 2020

### Safety and Training

- There were no accidents or injuries during the month and the plant staff has achieved 4198days without a lost time incident.
- Continued executing COVID-19 contingency measures.

### Environmental & Compliance

- There were no emissions, outfall or storm water deviations, during the month.
- All routine reporting was completed for the month.

### Operations & Maintenance

- Grays Harbor Energy Center (GHEC) operated 1days during the month.
- GHEC generated 3560MWh during the month and 873,147MWh YTD.
- The plant capacity factor was 0.8% for the month and 32.2% YTD.

### Noise and/or Odor

- None.

### Site Visits

- None.

### Other

- None.

## EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting

Facility Name: Grays Harbor Energy Center  
Operator: Grays Harbor Energy LLC  
Report Date: August 10, 2020  
Reporting Period: July 2020  
Site Contact: Chris Sherin  
Facility SCA Status: Operational

### **Operations & Maintenance (only applicable for operating facilities)**

- GHEC generated 194,192MWh during the month and 1,067,339MWh YTD.

---

**The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:**

### **Environmental Compliance**

-There were no emissions, outfall or storm water deviations, during the month.  
-Quarterly Emission Data Reports and Monthly Discharge Monitoring Reports were submitted to staff.

### **Safety Compliance**

-The sites annual corporate safety audit was conducted.

### **Current or Upcoming Projects**

-None.

### **Other**

-Ongoing COVID-19 mitigation efforts at the site.

-

**Energy Northwest**  
**July 2020 EFSEC Council Meeting**  
**Operations Reporting Period for June 2020**

**Washington Nuclear Project 1 and 4 (WNP -1/4)**

No updates to report

**Columbia Generating Station (CGS)**

No Updates to Report

**Response to EFSEC Council Questions**

How is Energy Northwest responding to the Covid-19 pandemic?

- Energy Northwest's initial response to the pandemic included the removal of all non-essential employees from the various worksites and implementation of a work from home strategy.
- Only essential personnel have been reporting to the facilities.
- As our county moves into Phase 2, Energy Northwest is working to transition non-essential employees back to the facilities in a reduced capacity with a continued focus on working from home.

Confirmation that the facility is still in operation

- Energy Northwest facilities remain in operation.
- At the request of the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) the Columbia Generating Station (CGS) has reduced its power output on several occasions over the past few weeks.

Are there any updates on planned upgrades or projects at either facility (like the surface water treatment facility)?

- Energy Northwest recently signed a new lease agreement with the Department of Energy.
- The new lease agreement requires the Industrial Development Complex (IDC) located at WNP 1/4 to no longer use groundwater as its water source by July 2022.
- The IDC is planning to use surface water from the Columbia River as its water source and will be installing a new water filtration system at the site.

**Energy Northwest**  
**August 2020 EFSEC Council Meeting**  
**Operations Reporting Period for July 2020**

**Washington Nuclear Project 1 and 4 (WNP -1/4)**

No updates to report

**Columbia Generating Station (CGS)**

No Updates to Report

**Response to EFSEC Council Questions**

How is Energy Northwest responding to the Covid-19 pandemic?

- Energy Northwest's initial response to the pandemic included the removal of all non-essential employees from the various worksites and implementation of a work from home strategy.
- Only essential personnel have been reporting to the facilities.
- Benton-Franklin County is currently under modified Phase 1. Energy Northwest is working to transition non-essential employees back to the facilities in a reduced capacity with a continued focus on working from home.

Confirmation that the facility is still in operation

- Energy Northwest facilities remain in operation.
- CGS Net Electrical Generation for July 2020: 761,925 MW-Hrs

Are there any updates on planned upgrades or projects at either facility (like the surface water treatment facility)?

- Energy Northwest recently signed a new lease agreement with the Department of Energy.
- The new lease agreement requires the Industrial Development Complex (IDC) located at WNP 1/4 to no longer use groundwater as its water source by July 2022.
- The IDC is planning to use surface water from the Columbia River as its water source and will be installing a new water filtration system at the site.

# Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council

## Non Direct Cost Allocation for 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter FY 2021

July 1, 2020 – September 30, 2020

The EFSEC Cost Allocation Plan (Plan) was approved by the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council in September 2004. The Plan directed review of the past quarter's percentage of EFSEC technical staff's average FTE's, charged to EFSEC projects. This along with anticipated work for the quarter is used as the basis for determining the non-direct cost percentage charge, for each EFSEC project.

Using the procedures for developing cost allocation, and allowance for new projects, the following percentages shall be used to allocate EFSEC's non direct costs for the 1<sup>st</sup> quarter of FY 2021

<b>Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project</b>	<b>10%</b>
<b>Wild Horse Wind Power Project</b>	<b>10%</b>
<b>Columbia Generating Station</b>	<b>27%</b>
<b>Columbia Solar</b>	<b>11%</b>
<b>WNP-1</b>	<b>3%</b>
<b>Whistling Ridge Energy Project</b>	<b>3%</b>
<b>Grays Harbor 1&amp;2</b>	<b>13%</b>
<b>Chehalis Generation Project</b>	<b>12%</b>
<b>Desert Claim Wind Power Project</b>	<b>8%</b>
<b>Grays Harbor Energy 3&amp;4</b>	<b>3%</b>



Sonia E. Bumpus, EFSEC Manager

Date: 7/7/2020



# EXPEDITED RULE MAKING

## CR-105 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.353)

**Agency:** Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC)

**Title of rule and other identifying information:** (describe subject) This rulemaking would revise adoption-by-reference Chapter 463-78-005 WAC - General and Operating Permit Regulations for Air Pollution Sources

**Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:** This proposal would revise the adoption-by-reference to provide continuity with the current version(s) of Department of Ecology updated air rules.

**Reasons supporting proposal:** EFSEC is updating its adoption of Chapters as listed below, Adoption of the WAC Air Rules will incorporate the updates made by Department of Ecology air rules, reflected below.  
Chapter 173-460 Updated 11/11/2019

**Statutory authority for adoption:** RCW 80.50.040(1), RCW 34.05

**Statute being implemented:**

**Is rule necessary because of a:**

Federal Law?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Federal Court Decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
State Court Decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

If yes, CITATION:

**Name of proponent:** (person or organization) Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council

Private  
 Public  
 Governmental

**Name of agency personnel responsible for:**

	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting:	Tammy Mastro Commerce Specialist	P.O. Box 43172 Olympia Washington 98504-3172	(360)664-1359
Implementation:	Sonia Bumpus EFSEC Manager	P.O. Box 43172 Olympia Washington 98504-3172	(360)664-1363
Enforcement:	Sonia Bumpus EFSEC Manager	P.O. Box 43172 Olympia Washington 98504-3172	(360)664-1363

**Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:**

**Expedited Adoption - Which of the following criteria was used by the agency to file this notice:**

- Relates only to internal governmental operations that are not subject to violation by a person;
- Adopts or incorporates by reference without material change federal statutes or regulations, Washington state statutes, rules of other Washington state agencies, shoreline master programs other than those programs governing shorelines of statewide significance, or, as referenced by Washington state law, national consensus codes that generally establish industry standards, if the material adopted or incorporated regulates the same subject matter and conduct as the adopting or incorporating rule;
- Corrects typographical errors, make address or name changes, or clarify language of a rule without changing its effect;
- Content is explicitly and specifically dictated by statute;
- Have been the subject of negotiated rule making, pilot rule making, or some other process that involved substantial participation by interested parties before the development of the proposed rule; or
- Is being amended after a review under RCW 34.05.328.

**Expedited Repeal - Which of the following criteria was used by the agency to file notice:**

- The statute on which the rule is based has been repealed and has not been replaced by another statute providing statutory authority for the rule;
- The statute on which the rule is based has been declared unconstitutional by a court with jurisdiction, there is a final judgment, and no statute has been enacted to replace the unconstitutional statute;
- The rule is no longer necessary because of changed circumstances; or
- Other rules of the agency or of another agency govern the same activity as the rule, making the rule redundant.

**Explanation of the reason the agency believes the expedited rule-making process is appropriate pursuant to RCW 34.05.353(4):**

**NOTICE**

**THIS RULE IS BEING PROPOSED UNDER AN EXPEDITED RULE-MAKING PROCESS THAT WILL ELIMINATE THE NEED FOR THE AGENCY TO HOLD PUBLIC HEARINGS, PREPARE A SMALL BUSINESS ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT, OR PROVIDE RESPONSES TO THE CRITERIA FOR A SIGNIFICANT LEGISLATIVE RULE. IF YOU OBJECT TO THIS USE OF THE EXPEDITED RULE-MAKING PROCESS, YOU MUST EXPRESS YOUR OBJECTIONS IN WRITING AND THEY MUST BE SENT TO**

Name: Sonia Bumpus, EFSEC Manager  
Agency: Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council  
Address: P.O. Box 43172, Olympia, WA 98504-3172  
Phone: 360-664-1363  
Fax:  
Email: EFSEC@utc.wa.gov  
Other:

**AND RECEIVED BY** (date) \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Date:</b> May 19, 2020	<b>Signature:</b> 
<b>Name:</b> Kathleen Drew	
<b>Title:</b> EFSEC Chair	