



# Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council

## AGENDA

**MONTHLY MEETING**  
Tuesday February 15, 2022  
1:30 PM

**CONFERENCE CALL ONLY**  
Conference number: (253) 372-2181 ID: 662593855#

- 1. Call to Order ..... Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair
- 2. Roll Call ..... Joan Owens, EFSEC Staff
- 3. Proposed Agenda ..... Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair
- 4. Minutes **Meeting Minutes** ..... Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair
  - January 18, 2022 Monthly Meeting Minutes
- 5. Projects
  - a. Kittitas Valley Wind Project
    - Operational Updates ..... Eric Melbardis, EDP Renewables
  - b. Wild Horse Wind Power Project
    - Operational Updates ..... Jennifer Galbraith, Puget Sound Energy
  - c. Chehalis Generation Facility
    - Operational Updates ..... Stefano Schnitger, Chehalis Generation
  - d. Grays Harbor Energy Center
    - Operational Updates ..... Chris Sherin, Grays Harbor Energy
  - e. Columbia Generating Station
    - Operational Updates ..... Marshall Schmitt, Energy Northwest
  - f. WNP – 1/4
    - Non-Operational Updates ..... Marshall Schmitt, Energy Northwest
  - g. Columbia Solar
    - Project Updates ..... Owen Hurd, Tuusso Energy
  - h. Desert Claim
    - Project Updates ..... Amy Moon, EFSEC Staff
  - i. Horse Heaven Wind Farm
    - SEPA update ..... Amy Moon, EFSEC Staff
  - j. Goose Prairie Solar
    - Project Updates ..... Kyle Overton, EFSEC Staff
    - SCA transfer update ..... Ami Hafkemeyer, EFSEC Staff
  - k. Badger Mountain
    - Project Updates ..... Sean Chisholm, EFSEC Staff
- 6. Adjourn ..... Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair

**Note: "FINAL ACTION"** means a collective positive or negative decision, or an actual vote by a majority of the members of a governing body when sitting as a body or entity, upon a motion, proposal, resolution, order, or ordinance. RCW 42.30.020

**Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting**  
**Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council**  
**January 18, 2022**



**206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989**  
1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101  
[www.buellrealtime.com](http://www.buellrealtime.com)  
email: [info@buellrealtime.com](mailto:info@buellrealtime.com)



Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 1/18/2022

Page 1

WASHINGTON STATE  
ENERGY FACILITY SITE EVALUATION COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, JANUARY 18, 2022  
1:31 P.M.

Virtual Special Meeting  
Verbatim Transcript of Proceedings

(All participants appearing via videoconference.)

DATE TAKEN: JANUARY 18, 2022  
REPORTED BY: CARISA KITSELMAN, RPR, CCR 2018

Page 2

1 APPEARANCES  
2 Councilmembers:  
3 KATHLEEN DREW, Chair  
4 ELI LEVITT, Department of Ecology  
5 KATE KELLY, Department of Commerce  
6 STACEY BREWSTER, Utilities and Transportation Commission  
7 LENNY YOUNG, Department of Natural Resources  
8 MIKE LIVINGSTON, Department of Fish & Wildlife  
9 Local Government and Optional State Agencies:  
10 BILL SAURIOL, Goose Prairie  
11 DEREK SANDISON, Horse Heaven  
12 ED BROST, Benton County

13 Assistant Attorney General:  
14 JON THOMPSON  
15 Administrative Law Judge:  
16 ADAM TOREM  
17 LAURA BRADLEY  
18 Council Staff:  
19 SONIA BUMPUS  
20 AMI HAFKEMEYER  
21 AMY MOON  
22 JOE WOOD  
23 SEAN CHISHOLM  
24 PATTY BETTS  
25 LINDSAY REMFREY  
ERIC MELBARDIS, Kittitas Valley Wind  
JENNIFER GALBRAITH, Wild Horse Wind Power Project  
CHRIS SHERIN, Grays Harbor Energy Center  
STEFANO SCHNITGER, Chehalis Generation Facility  
MARY RAMOS & BRAD BARFUSS, Columbia Generating Station  
OWEN HURD, TUUSSO Energy  
BILL SHERMAN, Counsel for The Environment  
MEGAN SALLOMI, Counsel for The Environment

Roxy Borgia, TWW  
Tiana Rowland, Douglas County  
Jordyn Giulio, Douglas County

Page 3

1 January 18, 2022  
2 1:31 P.M.  
3 -oOo-

P R O C E E D I N G

4  
5 CHAIR DREW: Good afternoon. This is Kathleen  
6 Drew, chair of the energy facility site evaluation  
7 counsel bringing our council meeting for today to order.  
8 Ms. Owens, will you call the roll?  
9 MS. OWENS: Department of Commerce?  
10 MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly, present.  
11 MS. OWENS: Department of Ecology?  
12 MR. LEVITT: Eli Levitt, present.  
13 MS. OWENS: Department of Fish and Wildlife?  
14 MR. LIVINGSTON: Mike Livingston, present.  
15 MS. OWENS: Department of Natural Resources?  
16 MR. YOUNG: Lenny Young, present.  
17 MS. OWENS: Utilities and Transportation  
18 Commission?  
19 MS. BREWSTER: Stacey Brewster, present.  
20 MS. OWENS: Local government and optional  
21 state agencies for the Goose Prairie Project, Department  
22 of Transportation?  
23 MR. SAURIOL: Bill Sauriol, present.  
24 MS. OWENS: For the Horse Heaven Project,  
25 Department of Agriculture?

Page 4

1 MR. SANDISON: Derek Sandison, present.  
2 MS. OWENS: Benton County?  
3 The assistant attorney general?  
4 MR. THOMPSON: This is John Thompson, present.  
5 MS. OWENS: Administrative Law Judge Johnette  
6 Sullivan? Adam Torem?  
7 MR. TOREM: This is Judge Torem. I'm on.  
8 MS. OWENS: Laura Bradley?  
9 MS. BRADLEY: This is Judge Bradley. I'm  
10 present.  
11 CHAIR DREW: Ms. Owens, can you pause for a  
12 minute, please?  
13 MS. OWENS: Yes.  
14 CHAIR DREW: It seems that our court reporter  
15 cannot -- is not getting the audio.  
16 MS. OWENS: Yes. I can hear you. Is that  
17 Carisa?  
18 CHAIR DREW: We will pause for a moment so  
19 that we can see if we can make sure our court reporter is  
20 able to hear the meeting.  
21 MS. OWENS: Carisa, can you hear me now?  
22 And I do have a recording that I can share with  
23 her after the meeting. Okay. It looks like she has  
24 logged off and will come back.  
25 Carisa, can you hear us now? Carisa, are you

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 1/18/2022

Page 5

1 there? I saw your video for a moment.  
 2 CHAIR DREW: Well, let us continue and we will  
 3 get the audio to her. Hopefully she can figure out her  
 4 technical issues. I just see -- and also it may help,  
 5 Carisa, if you call in over the phone line.  
 6 MS. OWENS: Oh, she says she can hear now.  
 7 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Resuming. And I did hear  
 8 you call Mr. Brost. And I see he had joined in the chat.  
 9 So I believe he's here.  
 10 MS. OWENS: Yes. Benton County, Ed Brost, are  
 11 you here? Shall I continue with --  
 12 MR. BROST: Can you hear me? I am here.  
 13 CHAIR DREW: Yes.  
 14 MR. BROST: This is Ed Brost. I'm sorry.  
 15 MS. OWENS: Thank you.  
 16 Okay. Continuing with council staff. Sonia  
 17 Bumpus?  
 18 MS. BUMPUS: Sonia Bumpus is here.  
 19 MS. OWENS: Ami Hafkemeyer?  
 20 MS. HAFKEMEYER: Present.  
 21 MS. OWENS: Amy Moon?  
 22 MS. MOON: Amy Moon, present.  
 23 MS. OWENS: Kyle Overton?  
 24 Joe Wood.  
 25 MR. WOOD: Joe Wood, present.

Page 6

1 MS. OWENS: Sean Chisholm?  
 2 MR. CHISHOLM: Sean Chisholm, present.  
 3 MR. OWENS: Patty Betts?  
 4 MS. BETTS: Patty Betts, present.  
 5 MS. OWENS: Lindsay Remfrey?  
 6 MS. REMFREY: Lindsay Remfrey, present.  
 7 MS. OWENS: Stew Henderson.  
 8 MS. HENDERSON: Stewart Henderson, present.  
 9 MS. OWENS: Andrea Grantham?  
 10 MS. GRANTHAM: Andrea Grantham, present.  
 11 MS. OWENS: And our court reporter?  
 12 THE REPORTER: Carisa Kitselman, present.  
 13 MS. OWENS: Thank you.  
 14 For operational updates, Kittitas Valley Wind?  
 15 MR. MELBARDIS: Eric Melbardis, present.  
 16 MS. OWENS: Wild Horse Wind Power Project?  
 17 MS. GALBRAITH: Jennifer Galbraith, present.  
 18 MS. OWENS: Grays Harbor Energy Center?  
 19 MR. SHERIN: Chris Sherin, present.  
 20 MS. OWENS: Chehalis Generation Facility?  
 21 MR. SCHNITGER: Stefano Schnitger, present.  
 22 MS. OWENS: Columbia Generating Station?  
 23 MR. BARFUSS: Brad Barfuss, present.  
 24 MS. OWENS: Columbia Solar?  
 25 MR. HURD: Owen Hurd, present.

Page 7

1 MS. OWENS: For counsel for the environment,  
 2 Bill Sherman?  
 3 MR. SHERMAN: Bill Sherman, counsel for the  
 4 environment on Horse Heaven and Goose Prairie, present.  
 5 MS. OWENS: Thank you. And is Megan Sallomi  
 6 with you?  
 7 MS. SALLOMI: Yes. I'm also present. This is  
 8 Megan Sallomi for the Badger Mountain Project.  
 9 MS. OWENS: Great. Thank you.  
 10 Chair, there is a quorum for the regular  
 11 counsel. The Goose Prairie and Horse Heaven counsel.  
 12 You are on mute.  
 13 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.  
 14 A couple of notes for the council and members of  
 15 public as we begin today.  
 16 First of all, I wanted to welcome Eli Levitt  
 17 from the Department of Ecology who is replacing Rob  
 18 Dengel. Eli is Ecology's information management and  
 19 communications section manager for hazardous waste and  
 20 toxics reduction program. And has also worked in water  
 21 quality and climate policy with the Department of  
 22 Ecology. And we welcome you as one of our members here  
 23 today. Thank you for being here.  
 24 MR. LEVITT: Thank you, Chair Drew.  
 25 CHAIR DREW: You're welcome to say anything

Page 8

1 else by introduction, if you wish. But...  
 2 MR. LEVITT: I guess I'll just briefly say  
 3 I've been at the Department of Ecology for about 13 and a  
 4 half years. As the Chair mentioned, I work for the  
 5 climate policy group for three years. And then water  
 6 quality for about six or seven. And now hazardous waste  
 7 and toxics reduction for about three years. I'm happy to  
 8 be here. And Rob Dengel could not make it today. He  
 9 sends his regrets. So thank you.  
 10 CHAIR DREW: Thank you and welcome.  
 11 I would also like to say that we are working  
 12 with the bad -- the Douglas County commissioners for a  
 13 member of the council. And, as I understand, they were  
 14 looking at changing their initial appointee and  
 15 Ms. Giulio, who is the -- oh, here. Let me make sure I  
 16 get her name. Jordyn Giulio, the County's risk manager,  
 17 will be participating but we haven't yet received an  
 18 appointment letter. What I would say, Ms. Giulio, is if  
 19 as we go towards the Badger Mountain report, and if you  
 20 would like to participate during the discussion but not  
 21 vote, I would appreciate that. And then once we get your  
 22 appointment letter from the County, we will have you as  
 23 an official member.  
 24 Are you on the line?  
 25 MS. GIULIO: I am present, yes.

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 1/18/2022

Page 9	Page 11
<p>1 CHAIR DREW: Okay. So feel free to                  2 participate at the council member discussion during the                  3 Badger Mountain discussion, if you would like.                  4 MS. GIULIO: Thank you.                  5 CHAIR DREW: Yes.                  6 Okay. Now moving on to the agenda in front of                  7 us.                  8 Is there a motion to approve the -- excuse me --                  9 adopt the proposed agenda?                  10 MR. LIVINGSTON: This is Mike Livingston.                  11 I'll make a move -- movement to adopt the agenda as                  12 proposed.                  13 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Second?                  14 MR. YOUNG: Lenny Young, second.                  15 CHAIR DREW: Questions? Discussions?                  16 All those in favor signify by saying "aye."                  17 COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.                  18 CHAIR DREW: Opposed? The motion carries.                  19 Thank you.                  20 We have three sets of minutes before us today                  21 for approval.                  22 First, we have the November 16, 2021, monthly                  23 minute meetings.                  24 Is there a motion to approve the November 16th                  25 monthly council meeting minutes?</p>	<p>1 administrative law judge named as Adam Torem, which is                  2 incorrect. It should be Laura Bradley.                  3 And on page 45, line 16, we have the name                  4 Galbraith and it should be Grantham.                  5 So with those two corrections, is -- are there                  6 any other corrections for either the public meeting or                  7 the land use consistency hearing?                  8 MS. BREWSTER: This is Stacey Brewster.                  9 I did notice one on page 13 of lines 13 and 14,                  10 refer to solar PD. I believe that should be PV,                  11 photovoltaic.                  12 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you.                  13 With those changes, all those in favor of the                  14 minutes as amended, please say "aye."                  15 COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.                  16 CHAIR DREW: Those opposed? The minutes are                  17 approved. Thank you all.                  18 We'll now move to the agenda of the facility                  19 updates.                  20 Starting with Kittitas Valley Wind Power                  21 Project, Mr. Melbardis.                  22 MR. MELBARDIS: Good afternoon, Chair Drew.                  23 EFSEC staff, Councilmembers.                  24 For the record, this is Eric Melbardis with EDP                  25 Renewables for the Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project.</p>
Page 10	Page 12
<p>1 MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly, motion to approve                  2 minutes from November 16, 2021.                  3 MS. BREWSTER: Stacey Brewster, second.                  4 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.                  5 Are there any comments or corrections on the                  6 monthly meeting minutes from November 16th? Hearing                  7 none.                  8 All those in favor of approving the minutes,                  9 please say "aye."                  10 COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.                  11 CHAIR DREW: Opposed?                  12 The meeting -- the meeting minutes are approved.                  13 Moving on to the November 17th Badger Mountain                  14 informational meeting minutes.                  15 Is there a motion to approve those minutes?                  16 MS. BREWSTER: This is Stacey Brewster. I'll                  17 move that we approve the minutes from the November 17th                  18 Badger Mountain informational meeting as well as the land                  19 use hearing minutes.                  20 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Second?                  21 MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly, second.                  22 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.                  23 I do have a couple of quick corrections in the                  24 informational meeting minutes.                  25 First of all, on page 2 actually, we have the</p>	<p>1 There was nothing nonroutine to report for                  2 either period.                  3 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.                  4 Wild Horse Wind Facility, Ms. Galbraith?                  5 MS. GALBRAITH: Yes. Thank you, Chair Drew,                  6 Councilmembers, and Staff. This is Jennifer Galbraith                  7 with Puget Sound Energy for the Wild Horse Wind facility.                  8 And I also have nothing nonroutine to report for the                  9 month of November and December. Wait a minute. There we                  10 go. There's December.                  11 CHAIR DREW: Oh, thank you. Yes. Okay.                  12 Thank you.                  13 MS. GALBRAITH: Thank you.                  14 CHAIR DREW: For Chehalis Generating                  15 station -- generating facility. We have Mr. Schnitger.                  16 Before we call on you, is Mr. Miller actually                  17 attending this meeting?                  18 MR. SCHNITGER: No. Mr. Miller will not be                  19 attending the meeting today.                  20 CHAIR DREW: Well, we would like you to share                  21 with him -- and we'll put into the minutes -- how much we                  22 appreciate his management and his relationship to EFSEC                  23 over a number of years. And I actually don't know how                  24 many years he has been with the facility.                  25 But I know all of us here at EFSEC wish him well</p>

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 1/18/2022

Page 13

1 in his retirement and we will miss his participation in  
 2 our meetings and such a good partner as he has been. And  
 3 certainly look forward to working with you in the same  
 4 manner.  
 5 So please go ahead with your report.  
 6 MR. SCHNITGER: I'll pass that on to him.  
 7 This is the reporting period for November. I  
 8 also sent out a reporting -- report for December.  
 9 And for the record, this is Stefano Schnitger  
 10 with the Chehalis Generation Facility.  
 11 And, Chair Drew, did you want me to report on  
 12 November or December?  
 13 CHAIR DREW: Both would be good, thank you.  
 14 Since we didn't have our December meeting.  
 15 MR. SCHNITGER: Okay. So for November,  
 16 there's not a whole lot unusual to report. The  
 17 Washington State Fire Marshal, he did conduct his site  
 18 inspection on November 4th. There were a few identified  
 19 deficiencies from that inspection. And if we end up  
 20 going to the December report, included in the December  
 21 report, we provided the fire marshal with a resolution  
 22 plan for those deficiencies. And he has accepted that  
 23 plan and sent his approval to EFSEC.  
 24 Continuing on here for December, zero injuries  
 25 for the recording period. The plant is now at 2,254 days

Page 14

1 without a lost time accident.  
 2 Our Title V air operating permit renewal has  
 3 been completed. The new permit will expire on  
 4 December 29, 2026. Thank you to everybody who helped  
 5 support that renewal effort.  
 6 And as Chair Drew mentioned, Mark Miller, the  
 7 plant manager, he has submitted his retirement notice.  
 8 And his last day is officially this Friday, January 21st.  
 9 That's all for Chehalis.  
 10 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. And, again, please  
 11 give him our congratulations and our appreciation for the  
 12 partnership he's had with us over the years.  
 13 MR. SCHNITGER: Will do.  
 14 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Moving on to Grays Harbor  
 15 Energy, Mr. Sherin.  
 16 MR. SHERIN: Good afternoon, Chair Drew.  
 17 Councilmembers, EFSEC staff. This is Chris Sherin, plant  
 18 manager from Grays Harbor Energy Center.  
 19 So over the reporting period of November, we did  
 20 submit startup and shutdown permit deviation to EFSEC.  
 21 We're working to rectify that. It's essentially -- to  
 22 sum it up, it's a result of the AOP upgrade and a change  
 23 in the controls. So we're working with O&M to -- we've  
 24 identified some -- the worst places to sit as far as  
 25 combustion during our startup process. And we're --

Page 15

1 we've identified some better options in our holds. So  
 2 we're working through that. And the last couple startups  
 3 have been successful but that's a pending issue.  
 4 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. And you'll --  
 5 MR. SHERIN: Also --  
 6 CHAIR DREW: -- continue to keep us updated on  
 7 that, of course.  
 8 MR. SHERIN: Yes, we will, Chair Drew.  
 9 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Go ahead.  
 10 MR. SHERIN: We still owe you some more  
 11 feedback in the future.  
 12 CHAIR DREW: Okay.  
 13 MR. SHERIN: Also in November the state fire  
 14 marshal's office inspection was conducted. And we -- in  
 15 response to that, we submitted a plan to correct a few  
 16 minor violations.  
 17 And then the only nonroutine item I have for the  
 18 month of December is to follow up with that. That the  
 19 office of the state fire marshal reinspection report was  
 20 received. And noted that all the prior items that we  
 21 were deficient on have been corrected in December.  
 22 Are there any questions?  
 23 CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions? Thank  
 24 you.  
 25 Columbia Generating Station and Washington

Page 16

1 Nuclear Project 1 and 4 (WNP-1/4).  
 2 I heard -- who is -- who is on the line to  
 3 report on those facilities for us?  
 4 MR. BARFUSS: Yes. Good afternoon. Chair  
 5 Drew, EFSEC council and staff. This is Brad Barfuss.  
 6 I'm the environmental and regulatory programs manager for  
 7 Energy Northwest.  
 8 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.  
 9 MR. BARFUSS: And I have an update for  
 10 Columbia Generating Station.  
 11 On December 6, 2021, Columbia Generating Station  
 12 disconnected from the northwest grid for a planned  
 13 maintenance outage. And workers installed balance  
 14 weights on each end of a 34-foot, 133-ton low-pressure  
 15 turbine that was replaced as part of Columbia's life  
 16 cycle maintenance during the station's spring refueling  
 17 outage.  
 18 And workers successfully completed this. And in  
 19 addition, maintenance tasks were also completed during  
 20 the week to ensure Columbia continues to produce  
 21 reliable, carbon-free power for the region.  
 22 And on December 13th, 2021, Columbia Generating  
 23 Station reconnected to the grid.  
 24 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Are there --  
 25 MR. BARFUSS: And --

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 1/18/2022

Page 17

1 CHAIR DREW: Go ahead.  
 2 MR. BARFUSS: And I have no updates for  
 3 Washington Nuclear Project 1 and 4.  
 4 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.  
 5 Are there any questions? Okay. Moving on to  
 6 Columbia Solar Projects, Mr. Hurd.  
 7 MR. HURD: Okay. Good afternoon, Chair Drew,  
 8 Councilmembers, and EFSEC staff. This is Owen Hurd from  
 9 TUUSSO Energy reporting on the Columbia Solar Projects.  
 10 The update on construction is for Penstemon. We  
 11 achieved mechanical completion in late December. And so  
 12 now we're completing final testing before commercial  
 13 operation will happen. PSE is also working on some final  
 14 issues on communications with their inner connection  
 15 hardware.  
 16 On Camas, we're still awaiting for the final  
 17 delivery of modules that have been stuck in various ports  
 18 and customs office and are now being kind of reshipped.  
 19 Racking is complete in terms of the installation of --  
 20 the installation of all of the racking is complete so  
 21 we're just waiting on modules, and mechanical completion  
 22 is expected probably sometime in March.  
 23 Urtica is also awaiting delivery of modules that  
 24 have been held up by shipping delays. But we're also  
 25 working on pile driving and racking installation. So I

Page 18

1 would expect mechanical completion to trail Camas by  
 2 probably another month. So put us into April.  
 3 Environmental compliance, inspections have been  
 4 ongoing. But we had to skip last week due to weather.  
 5 Safety compliance, daily safety tailgate  
 6 meetings continue to happen.  
 7 On the kind of other items, we closed the  
 8 transaction with Greenbacker early in December. As  
 9 described in our public information meeting, TUUSSO will  
 10 remain involved in its current capacity until three  
 11 projects are completed. So not much will change from a  
 12 day-to-day perspective.  
 13 The current site restoration financial assurance  
 14 will remain in place until it's replaced by Greenbacker  
 15 which should be soon. And we'll be scheduling our  
 16 initial tag meeting some time this next month.  
 17 Lastly just on weather, we got several feet of  
 18 snow in the past few weeks which -- most of which is  
 19 still on the ground. This will have the biggest impact  
 20 on Urtica where we're still driving piles and doing  
 21 on-site work.  
 22 So we're currently working through the timing  
 23 implications. But I'll keep you posted once we have a  
 24 better idea of what this looks like.  
 25 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you.

Page 19

1 Congratulations on the work with Greenbacker.  
 2 And also on the -- on the progress on the construction.  
 3 Tough time of year and tough weather situation  
 4 definitely.  
 5 When do you think that Penstemon will begin  
 6 commercial operation?  
 7 MR. HURD: I -- I would expect it to be in the  
 8 next couple weeks. There were just a handful of things  
 9 to kind of tie up. So we're working with PSE on kind of  
 10 the sequencing of whether or not to -- we got permission  
 11 to operate from Puget Sound Energy, although they are  
 12 still working on these final communications items. So  
 13 there's been some discussion about whether we should just  
 14 let them complete their work and then -- and then turn it  
 15 on. Or if we want to complete our kind of final testing,  
 16 turn it on and then turn it back off while they wrap up  
 17 their communication stuff. So I -- the next few weeks.  
 18 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Great. Thank you.  
 19 MR. HURD: Yeah. Thank you.  
 20 CHAIR DREW: Are there any other questions  
 21 from Councilmembers?  
 22 Okay. Desert Claim Wind Power Project,  
 23 Ms. Moon?  
 24 MS. MOON: Good afternoon, Council, Chair  
 25 Drew, and members of the council.

Page 20

1 For the record, this is Amy Moon providing the  
 2 Desert Claim update.  
 3 EFSEC staff continued to coordinate with Desert  
 4 Claim. However, currently there are no project updates.  
 5 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.  
 6 And while I have you here, report on Horse  
 7 Heaven Wind power -- Wind Project, please.  
 8 MS. MOON: Okay. For the record, this is Amy  
 9 Moon providing an update on the Horse Heaven Wind  
 10 Project.  
 11 EFSEC staff continue to work on the preparation  
 12 of the draft environmental impact statement, otherwise  
 13 known as a DEIS. We were working on that in both  
 14 November and December as well as today.  
 15 The work has included review of our contractor  
 16 holders work on drafting the DEIS, as well as continued  
 17 coordination regarding wildlife and habitat mitigation  
 18 with the Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife and the  
 19 Horse Heaven applicant.  
 20 The discussions have included impact analysis as  
 21 well as opportunities for impact avoidance and  
 22 minimization of impacts that will support the applicant  
 23 in developing an updated mitigation plan.  
 24 EFSEC received responses to data requests  
 25 regarding habitat, noise, service water, vegetation, and

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 1/18/2022

Page 21

1 wildlife. And these data requests were to the applicant.  
 2 The information received from the data request is being  
 3 used to inform the DEIS preparation as well as the  
 4 habitat and wildlife mitigation discussions.  
 5 Additional noise baseline measurements near  
 6 residential areas were included in a data request. And  
 7 the applicant have been actively pursuing the additional  
 8 measurements.  
 9 Does the Council have any questions?  
 10 CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions from  
 11 Councilmembers? Okay. Thank you.  
 12 MS. MOON: Okay. And then we've also received  
 13 a letter from the applicant regarding an extension of  
 14 their application review period. Ami Hafkemeyer has more  
 15 information on that.  
 16 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Ms. Hafkemeyer.  
 17 MS. HAFKEMEYER: Thank you, Ms. Moon. Good  
 18 afternoon, Chair Drew and Council. For the record, this  
 19 is Ami Hafkemeyer.  
 20 On January 10, 2022, EFSEC Staff received a  
 21 letter from Scout Clean Energy, the applicant, requesting  
 22 that the review of the application for site  
 23 certification, or ASE, be extended ten months to  
 24 December 8, 2022. This letter is available in the  
 25 council packet for your review.

Page 22

1 EFSEC statute states in the Revised Code of  
 2 Washington, or RCW 80.50.100, that the council shall  
 3 report to the governor its recommendations as to the  
 4 approval or rejection of an application for certification  
 5 within 12 months of receipt of the council -- by the  
 6 council of such an application or such later time as is  
 7 mutually agreed by the council and the applicant.  
 8 EFSEC received the application for the Horse  
 9 Heaven Wind Farm on February 8, 2021. And the 12-month  
 10 time frame would conclude -- would conclude on  
 11 February 7, 2022, unless an extension is agreed upon by  
 12 the council and the applicant.  
 13 As mentioned in the update from Ms. Moon, the  
 14 work for the EIS is heavily underway. And staff are also  
 15 continuing to coordinate with the administrative law  
 16 judge, Judge Torem, to schedule the adjudicative  
 17 proceedings. Staff and the applicant have coordinated on  
 18 what we feel is an appropriate time frame for such an  
 19 extension and recommend that the council approve the  
 20 request.  
 21 Are there any questions from Councilmembers?  
 22 CHAIR DREW: Are there questions from  
 23 Councilmembers?  
 24 MR. BROST: This is the Ed Brost. What is the  
 25 extension request, for how long? Did you say ten --

Page 23

1 MS. HAFKEMEYER: It would extend the review  
 2 period for an additional ten months to December 8, 2022.  
 3 MR. BROST: Thank you.  
 4 CHAIR DREW: Any other questions?  
 5 Is there a motion to approve the extension  
 6 request for ten months?  
 7 MR. SANDISON: So move. This is Derek  
 8 Sandison, so move.  
 9 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Second?  
 10 MR. LIVINGSTON: Mike Livingston, I'll second  
 11 that.  
 12 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.  
 13 Is there any discussion?  
 14 I think we know that there's a lot of work that  
 15 is going on that's important for our review. And we need  
 16 to be able to support this extension in order to get that  
 17 information to the council for our decision-making  
 18 process.  
 19 All those in favor, please say "aye."  
 20 COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.  
 21 CHAIR DREW: Opposed? Motion carries. Thank  
 22 you.  
 23 Goose Prairie Solar Project, Ms. Hafkemeyer?  
 24 MS. HAFKEMEYER: Thank you, Chair Drew.  
 25 Again, for the record, this is Ami Hafkemeyer. A brief

Page 24

1 update on the Goose Prairie Solar Project.  
 2 On December 20, 2021, the governor agreed with  
 3 the EFSEC Council's recommendation and signed into  
 4 approval the site certification agreement that was  
 5 provided to his office. Staff are currently working to  
 6 get that SCA finalized with all the appropriate  
 7 signatures and look forward to beginning work with the  
 8 certificate holder on preconstruction activity.  
 9 Are there any questions?  
 10 CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions?  
 11 Okay. Thank you. We'll look forward to an  
 12 update and the start of report as we go through this  
 13 process towards construction.  
 14 Badger Mountain Solar Energy Project,  
 15 Mr. Chisholm?  
 16 MR. CHISHOLM: Good afternoon, Council,  
 17 Chair Drew, and Councilmembers. For the record, this is  
 18 Seam Chisholm providing an update for Badger Mountain  
 19 Solar Energy Project.  
 20 EFSEC staff continues to process the Badger  
 21 Mountain Solar Project application for site  
 22 certification, or ASC that was received from Aurora Solar  
 23 LLC October 7, 2021.  
 24 We're working with the project proponent  
 25 Avangrid Renewables. Public information meeting --

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 1/18/2022

Page 25

1 public information -- informational meeting and land use  
 2 hearing was held November 17, 2021. The public  
 3 informational meeting provides information to the public  
 4 about the proposed project and energy facilities site,  
 5 information on the council review process, and an  
 6 opportunity for the public to make comments on the  
 7 project. EFSEC received 17 comments during the public  
 8 informational meeting. EFSEC staff is currently working  
 9 with contractors and state agencies reviewing the ASC as  
 10 well as evaluating the project compared to Douglas County  
 11 land use criteria.  
 12 We are currently reaching out to WDFW, or Fish &  
 13 Wildlife, DNR, department of -- or also known as  
 14 Department of National Resources, Colville Confederated  
 15 tribes, Spokane tribes, and Yakama Nation on their  
 16 interest dealing with this project.  
 17 Does the Council have any questions so far?  
 18 CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions from the  
 19 Council?  
 20 Go ahead, Mr. Chisholm.  
 21 MR. CHISHOLM: Yeah. The land use hearing was  
 22 held November 17, 2021, after the public information --  
 23 informational meeting and public comment section.  
 24 EFSEC received two comments during the land use  
 25 hearing. Ami Hafkemeyer has some more information on

Page 26

1 that.  
 2 CHAIR DREW: Ms. Hafkemeyer?  
 3 MS. HAFKEMEYER: Thank you, Mr. Chisholm.  
 4 Thank you, Chair Drew.  
 5 For the record, again, this is Ami Hafkemeyer.  
 6 As Mr. Chisholm mentioned, the land use hearing  
 7 for Badger Mountain was conducted in the evening on  
 8 November 17th. And included in your packet is a legal  
 9 briefing prepared by John Thompson with coordination from  
 10 the administrative law judge, Judge Bradley.  
 11 In this briefing Mr. Thompson reviews the  
 12 information received during the hearing and recommends  
 13 that the council determined the land use not be in  
 14 compliance and set the matter for an adjudicative  
 15 proceeding in accordance with RCW 80.50.090.  
 16 Are there any questions?  
 17 CHAIR DREW: Are -- Mr. Thompson, would you  
 18 like to make further comments?  
 19 MR. THOMPSON: Certainly, Chair Drew. Would  
 20 you like me to just kind of provide a brief summary of  
 21 the memorandum?  
 22 CHAIR DREW: That would be great. Certainly  
 23 for all of the people who have joined us in addition to  
 24 the Councilmembers who haven't had the opportunity to  
 25 review it at this point. That would be terrific.

Page 27

1 MR. THOMPSON: Sure. Okay. Just relatively  
 2 briefly.  
 3 As you know, the EFSEC statute, specifically  
 4 80.50.090, requires that when there's a new application  
 5 for site certification, that the council will conduct a  
 6 public hearing to determine whether or not the proposed  
 7 site is consistent and in compliance with city, county,  
 8 or regional land use plans or zoning ordinances. That  
 9 has to be done within 60 days of the filing of the  
 10 application.  
 11 As Mr. Chisholm said, that -- that hearing was  
 12 held on November 17th.  
 13 You heard from the applicant on the topic of  
 14 land use consistency, the county didn't -- didn't appear.  
 15 But -- but the -- you know, the details of the county  
 16 zoning regulations were in evidence.  
 17 I should mention another reason why land use  
 18 consistency comes up is because applicants have the  
 19 option of selecting -- or requesting expedited  
 20 processing, which means dispensing with the adjudication,  
 21 essentially. If -- if the impacts of a project are not  
 22 going to be significant or can be mitigated to -- to a  
 23 nonsignificant level, and if the project is consistent  
 24 and in compliance with local land use regulations.  
 25 In this case, the applicant Aurora Solar has not

Page 28

1 requested expedited processing citing interim controls  
 2 adopted by Douglas County that -- with which the proposed  
 3 site for the project is inconsistent.  
 4 So the -- the application -- Aurora Solar, the  
 5 application was filed October 7, 2021. A couple --  
 6 two-plus months prior to that on July 20th, 2021, Douglas  
 7 County had adopted an ordinance that was titled Interim  
 8 Controls for Placement and Permitting of Alternative  
 9 Energy specific to wind and solar energy farms.  
 10 And in relevant part, that basically -- there's  
 11 a recounting of what the -- kind of the thinking behind  
 12 that on Douglas County's part. But the important part is  
 13 that the county amended its zoning code to include a  
 14 requirement of seven-mile buffers from various geographic  
 15 features within which a solar facility such as this  
 16 cannot be constructed.  
 17 So those were -- seven miles from the urban  
 18 growth area boundary, city or town limits boundary,  
 19 municipal airport boundary. Specifically Pangborn  
 20 airport boundary and Pangborn airport outer overlay zone  
 21 boundary. And also seven miles from habitat associated  
 22 with sensitive candidate threatened or endangered plants  
 23 or wildlife.  
 24 The applicant concedes that it's proposed  
 25 location is -- does fall within -- essentially each of

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 1/18/2022

Page 29

1 those seven-mile buffers. And so would not be consistent  
 2 with that zoning provision.  
 3 So -- and consequently they have not requested  
 4 expedited processing.  
 5 So in the past, the council has found that where  
 6 there's just a moratorium in place on a particular type  
 7 of energy facility but that the -- that the code section,  
 8 you know, otherwise permits it or that -- in that case  
 9 that there wouldn't be a -- there could be a finding of  
 10 consistency, I should say. That's not what the case is  
 11 here because Douglas County, in fact, amended its zoning  
 12 code and added these specific prohibitions that I was  
 13 just describing.  
 14 So it's my conclusion and recommendation to you  
 15 that the council determine that the proposed site is not  
 16 in compliance with local zoning provisions.  
 17 And in that case, the -- the council's  
 18 procedural rules provide that based on that finding, that  
 19 the council will set the matter for adjudication as to  
 20 whether the council should recommend that the local --  
 21 the inconsistent local zoning or land use regulations be  
 22 preempted. And that adjudication can be set separately  
 23 or together with the -- with the adjudication that's  
 24 otherwise -- the general adjudication that's otherwise  
 25 required.

Page 30

1 So in order to, you know, ensure that the  
 2 council is able to timely have that adjudication and --  
 3 and complete its review within the statutory time period,  
 4 my recommendation to you is that you make a -- you direct  
 5 the administrative law judge to prepare an order for your  
 6 review at the next council meeting, or at a future  
 7 council meeting, determining land use inconsistency  
 8 and -- and directing that the matter be set for -- for  
 9 adjudication as to possible preemption. So --  
 10 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. In doing so, we're  
 11 not setting a date at this point, correct?  
 12 MR. THOMPSON: Right. You needn't do that.  
 13 I'm just suggesting that the -- yeah, that the scheduling  
 14 be left to either a prehearing conference or some later  
 15 determination.  
 16 CHAIR DREW: Okay.  
 17 MR. THOMPSON: Just simply that you decide to  
 18 move forward to adjudicate that question.  
 19 CHAIR DREW: And just for a little bit further  
 20 discussion. The -- and the discussion around potential  
 21 preemption.  
 22 In the past is it correct that the council has  
 23 looked at -- generally looked at a specific ordinance or  
 24 a couple of specific ordinances for preemption and not --  
 25 it's not preemption of all local laws; is that correct?

Page 31

1 MR. THOMPSON: Right. It would be preemption  
 2 of the -- the provisions that are inconsistent with the  
 3 proposed site, essentially.  
 4 And then there's also -- this is also described  
 5 in the -- in the council's rules that in the event that  
 6 the council does make that recommendation to preempt,  
 7 that it would include conditions to serve the purposes of  
 8 the local -- the preempted local ordinances.  
 9 So -- so, yeah, right. You -- you would just be  
 10 looking to -- whether to recommend, you know, the citing  
 11 of the facility notwithstanding the inconsistent  
 12 provisions. But still trying to -- to include conditions  
 13 that would serve the purposes, if that makes sense.  
 14 CHAIR DREW: And that would be all discussed  
 15 in the adjudication.  
 16 I wanted to clarify that. Because I think it's  
 17 -- when people hear the term, some people do take it to  
 18 be not considering any of the local laws. When, in fact,  
 19 if there is an inconsistency we may or may not -- I'm not  
 20 prejudging this matter. I just wanted to have a  
 21 discussion publicly to clarify. That it would be very --  
 22 it would be specific in nature and there would be work  
 23 to -- to try to actually comply in as many ways as  
 24 possible with local laws and ordinances. And that's all  
 25 dependent on future decisions. This is hypothetical. I

Page 32

1 also want to make that clear.  
 2 But I also -- since none of us on the council  
 3 have actually participated in -- in this process to date,  
 4 I think it's -- it's relevant to have discussion as we  
 5 move forward and to have that discussion publicly for the  
 6 understanding of -- of everyone who is interested in the  
 7 project.  
 8 Are there other questions from Councilmembers?  
 9 And I also would say Ms. Giulio, that if you  
 10 would like to ask a question, it would be appropriate as  
 11 well.  
 12 Councilmembers?  
 13 MS. BREWSTER: This is Stacey Brewster. More  
 14 of a comment. I just want to thank you and John Thompson  
 15 for the thorough memo. The information was very helpful,  
 16 and I do appreciate it.  
 17 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.  
 18 So the suggested motion has been sent to  
 19 Councilmembers. Is there somebody who would like to  
 20 offer that -- offer that or another motion today?  
 21 MS. KELLY: Madam Chair, this is Kate Kelly.  
 22 I -- I, too, want to thank John Thompson.  
 23 And with that, I move that the council direct  
 24 Laura Bradley, EFSEC administrative law judge for the  
 25 Badger Mountain solar application, to draft an order

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 1/18/2022

Page 33

1 determining that the site is not in compliance with local  
 2 zoning ordinances in setting the matter of whether to  
 3 recommend preemption by the state for adjudication.  
 4 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.  
 5 Is there a second?  
 6 MS. BREWSTER: Stacey Brewster, second.  
 7 CHAIR DREW: Thanks. Discussion?  
 8 Okay. All those in favor, signify by saying  
 9 "aye."  
 10 COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.  
 11 CHAIR DREW: Opposed?  
 12 The motion is adopted. Thank you.  
 13 So we will look forward to a draft order coming  
 14 to us for review and for consideration some time within  
 15 the next month or so.  
 16 And we would also look to wait to determine a  
 17 time for the adjudication until we have the SEPA  
 18 determination for the project.  
 19 So we will be able to decide whether the  
 20 adjudication on land use goes forward or if it's also in  
 21 context with the environmental review.  
 22 Okay. Thank you. Moving on to the cost  
 23 allocation report.  
 24 Ms. Hafkemeyer, is that you today?  
 25 MS. HAFKEMEYER: I believe so. I know I heard

Page 34

1 Ms. Bumpus on the phone earlier. But I -- I believe I  
 2 was going to step in for the cost allocation.  
 3 CHAIR DREW: Yes. She has been under the  
 4 weather. So thank you.  
 5 MS. BUMPUS: Thank you, Ami.  
 6 MS. HAFKEMEYER: Any time. So presented here  
 7 we have the non-direct cost allocation for the third  
 8 quarter of fiscal year 2022. The cost allocation is the  
 9 allocation of non-direct costs incurred by EFSEC to be  
 10 allocated to the various facilities based on workload and  
 11 projected upcoming work.  
 12 So if there are no questions, I'll get started  
 13 on the list.  
 14 Kittitas wind -- Kittitas Valley Wind Power  
 15 Project, 5 percent; Wild Horse Wind Power Project, 5  
 16 percent; Columbia Generating Station, 25 percent;  
 17 Columbia Solar, 11 percent; WNP-1, 3 percent; Whistling  
 18 Ridge Energy Project, 3 percent; Grays Harbor 1 & 2, 9  
 19 percent; Chehalis Generation Project, 9 percent; Desert  
 20 Claim Wind Power Project, 3 percent; Goose Prairie Solar  
 21 Project, 7 percent; Horse Heaven Wind Farm Project,  
 22 12 percent; and Badger Mountain Solar Project, 8 percent.  
 23 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.  
 24 We have one more item for the good of the order.  
 25 And at the beginning of the council meeting you heard a

Page 35

1 new name on EFSEC staff. And I would like to introduce  
 2 the council to Lindsay Remfrey. And I would ask  
 3 Ms. Hafkemeyer to do the introduction.  
 4 MS. HAFKEMEYER: Thank you, Chair Drew.  
 5 EFSEC welcomes Lindsay Remfrey to our team. She  
 6 is our new commerce specialist. Lindsay joins us with  
 7 extensive project management experience from a long  
 8 history with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the  
 9 U.S. Air Force. And we are very excited to have her with  
 10 us. She will be handling our contracting work and  
 11 invoices and our records management.  
 12 CHAIR DREW: Lindsay, if you would like to  
 13 show -- say a hello. We don't require anything else.  
 14 But just so people can see who you are, that would be  
 15 terrific. Ms. Remfrey, I should say.  
 16 MS. REMFREY: Hello.  
 17 CHAIR DREW: We're happy to have you on board.  
 18 Welcome.  
 19 MS. REMFREY: Thank you.  
 20 CHAIR DREW: With that, thank you, everybody.  
 21 Our meeting is adjourned for Tuesday, January 18th.  
 22 (Virtual meeting adjourned at  
 23 2:23 p.m.)  
 24  
 25

Page 36

1 C E R T I F I C A T E  
 2  
 3 STATE OF WASHINGTON  
 4 COUNTY OF KITSAP  
 5  
 6 I, Carisa Kitselman, a Certified Court Reporter  
 7 in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify  
 8 that the foregoing transcript of the virtual meeting on  
 9 JANUARY 18, 2022, is true and accurate to the best of my  
 10 knowledge, skill and ability.  
 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand  
 12 and seal this 2nd day of February, 2022.  
 13  
 14  
 15   
 16 CARISA KITSELMAN, RPR, CCR #2018  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25



## EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update Format

Facility Name: Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project  
Operator: EDP Renewables  
Report Date: February 2, 2022  
Reporting Period: January 2022  
Site Contact: Eric Melbardis, Sr Operations Manager  
Facility SCA Status: Operational

### **Operations & Maintenance (only applicable for operating facilities)**

- Power generated: 7247 MWh
  - Wind speed: 1.9 m/s
  - Capacity Factor: 9.6%
- 

### **Environmental Compliance**

- No incidents

### **Safety Compliance**

- Nothing to report

### **Current or Upcoming Projects**

- Nothing to report

### **Other**

- No sound complaints
- No shadow flicker complaints

## EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

**Facility Name:** Wild Horse Wind Facility  
**Operator:** Puget Sound Energy  
**Report Date:** February 7, 2022  
**Report Period:** January 2022  
**Site Contact:** Jennifer Galbraith  
**SCA Status:** Operational

---

### **Operations & Maintenance**

January generation totaled 36,465 MWh for an average capacity factor of 17.98%.

### **Environmental Compliance**

Nothing to report.

### **Safety Compliance**

Nothing to report.

### **Current or Upcoming Projects**

Nothing to report.

### **Other**

Nothing to report.

## EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

Facility Name: Chehalis Generation Facility  
Operator: PacifiCorp  
Report Date: February 9, 2022  
Reporting Period: January 2022  
Site Contact: Stefano Schnitger, Operations Manager  
Facility SCA Status: Operational

### Operations & Maintenance

-Relevant energy generation information, such as wind speed, number of windy or sunny days, gas line supply updates, etc.

- 123,336 net MW-hrs generated in January for a capacity factor of 32.65%.
- 

**The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:**

### Environmental Compliance

-Permit status if any changes.

- No changes.

-Update on progress or completion of any mitigation measures identified.

- No issues or updates.

-Any EFSEC-related inspections that occurred.

- Nothing to report.

-Any EFSEC-related complaints or violations that occurred.

- No issues or updates.

-Brief list of reports submitted to EFSEC during the monthly reporting period.

- Nothing to report.

### Safety Compliance

-Safety training or improvements that relate to SCA conditions.

- Zero injuries this reporting period for a total of 2,376 days without a Lost Time Accident.

### Current or Upcoming Projects

-Planned site improvements.

- No planned changes.



-Upcoming permit renewals.

- Nothing to report.

-Additional mitigation improvements or milestones.

- Nothing to report.

**Other**

-Current events of note (e.g., Covid response updates, seasonal concerns due to inclement weather, etc.).

- Nothing to report.

-Personnel changes as they may relate to EFSEC facility contacts (e.g., introducing a new staff member who may provide facility updates to the Council).

- Mark Miller, Plant Manager, retired on January 21<sup>st</sup>.

-Public outreach of interest (e.g., schools, public, facility outreach).

- Nothing to report.

Respectfully,

*Stefano Schnitger*

Stefano Schnitger  
Operations Manager  
Chehalis Generation Facility

**EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update**

Facility Name: Grays Harbor Energy Center

Operator: Grays Harbor Energy LLC

Report Date: February 15, 2022

Reporting Period: January 2022

Site Contact: Chris Sherin

Facility SCA Status: Operational

**Operations & Maintenance**

-GHEC generated 326,926MWh during the month and 326,926MWh YTD.

---

**The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:**

**Environmental Compliance**

-There were no emission, outfall, or storm water deviations, during the month.

-Routine monthly, quarterly, and annual reporting to EFSEC

- Routine monthly, quarterly, and annual reporting to EFSEC
- Monthly Outfall Discharge Monitor Report (DMR).
- Quarterly Stormwater Discharge Monitor Report (DMR).
- Quarterly Emissions Data Reports (EDR).

-GHEC Winter Source Compliance Testing results were received and submitted. All emissions were analyzed to be within permitted limits. However, GHEC requested EFSEC/ORCAA that the results for Sulfuric Acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) be considered invalid and allow re-test based on the reasoning the measured values for H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and resulting H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub> ratios are much higher than what would be expected based on gas turbine OEM literature and past tests. Re-testing will allow us to establish new H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub> ratios. We also requested to continue using of the H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub> ratios from the 2016 source tests until additional testing can be completed. Re-testing is scheduled February 8-11<sup>th</sup>.

- Compliance with GHEC PSD and the AOP is dependent on the unit-specific ratio of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to SO<sub>2</sub>. Based on past test results and literature from the gas turbine OEM, it is expected that this ratio would be <1. However, the results in the source test report equate to ratios of 33.3 for CGT1 and 10.7 for CGT2. Using these ratios to calculate compliance with the 2.17lb H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/hr rolling annual average limit results in CGT1 exceeding the limit by over 100lb H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/hr and CGT2 exceeding the limit by over 30lb H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/hr (based on recent plant operations data).

-GHEC submitted a follow up correspondence regarding high CO emissions during past startups reported in November. We believe we have identified the root cause and the solution is modifying our operating procedures to achieve higher CO Catalyst temperature earlier in the startup and increasing our initial load hold. The combination will increase combustion

temperature, which will result in more complete combustion of the natural gas and decrease the amount of time before the CO catalyst is heated to an ideal performance temperature for reducing CO emissions. We are currently verifying the changes will effectively mitigate high CO under all operating conditions during startups.

**Safety Compliance**

-None.

**Current or Upcoming Projects**

-None.

**Other**

-None.

## EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – January 2022

Facility Name: **Columbia Generating Station and Washington Nuclear Project 1 and 4 (WNP-1/4)**

Operator: **Energy Northwest**

Report Date: **February 10, 2022**

Reporting Period: **January 2022**

Site Contact: **Mary Ramos**

Facility SCA Status: (Pre-construction/Construction/Operational/Decommission): **Operational**

CGS Net Electrical Generation January 2022: **867,584 MW-Hrs**

---

### **Environmental Compliance**

Energy Northwest (EN) is continuing to address comments received from EFSEC and Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) regarding the annual Columbia Generating Station (CGS) Air Emissions Source Registration (Registration). EN has requested an extension for the Registration submittal. On 2/8/22, EN met with Ecology and discussed its progress. At the meeting, Ecology stated EN's progress and ongoing discussions with Ecology would be sufficient to demonstrate good faith compliance efforts by EN.

EN is preparing an amended Diesel Generator Run Time Report to update information for the last reporting period. The report is required under EFSEC Order No. 873. EN discovered the run time meter for Diesel Generator 3 at CGS was malfunctioning and replaced it. The new run time meter is working as intended, and EN will continue to monitor it.

### **Current or Upcoming Projects**

N/A

### **Other**

N/A

## EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting Facility Update

Facility Name: Columbia Solar Projects (Penstemon, Camas and Urtica)

Operator: Tuusso Energy, LLC

Report Date: February 11, 2022

Reporting Period: 30-days ending Feb 11, 2022

Site Contact: Owen Hurd

Facility SCA Status: Construction

### Construction Status

- Penstemon
    - Working through final testing procedures prior to Substantial Completion
    - PSE is resolving communications issues on the interconnection
  - Camas
    - Most of the remaining modules have been delivered; still waiting on one container
    - Module installation to resume next week
    - Mechanical Completion expected in March
  - Urtica
    - Remaining modules to be delivered late-Feb
    - Pile remediation work still underway
    - Mechanical Completion pushed out to late-April
- 

### Environmental Compliance

- Golder and NW Code inspections ongoing

### Safety Compliance

- Daily safety tailgate meetings in progress
- Borrego safety auditing and monitoring occurring daily

### Other

- Working through specific plant mixes for mitigation areas
- TAC meeting scheduled for next Friday

# Desert Claim Wind Power Project

February 2022 project update

[Place holder]

# Horse Heaven Wind Project

February 2022 project update

[Place holder]

# Goose Prairie Solar Project

February 2022 project update

[Place holder]

# Badger Mountain Solar Energy Project

February 2022 project update

[Place holder]