

Docket EF-131590

Tesoro Savage CBR
Public Comment
#351

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Karen Greenwood Moskiman <greenwoods jazz@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 13, 2014 1:33 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01
Categories: Teal

Mar 13, 2014

Mr. Stephen Posner
P.O. Box 43172
Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Greenwood Moskiman
2010 Radcliffe Ct
Martinez, CA 94553-5338
(925) 229-4369

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Tony Misner <tonylmisner@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, March 14, 2014 11:39 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01
Categories: Teal

Mar 15, 2014

Mr. Stephen Posner
P.O. Box 43172
Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tony Misner
PO Box 553
Andover, NJ 07821-0553

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Carolina Restrepo <carolina101@bellsouth.net>
Sent: Monday, March 17, 2014 2:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

Categories: Teal

Mar 17, 2014

Mr. Stephen Posner
P.O. Box 43172
Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Miss Carolina Restrepo
7843 Lake Blvd
Spanish Fort, AL 36527-7038

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of nick mentis <wolfhaven@gmail.comk>
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2014 12:57 AM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01
Categories: Teal

Mar 19, 2014

Mr. Stephen Posner
P.O. Box 43172
Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nick Mentis
7814 Wilson Ave
Baltimore, MD 21234-5854

From: Michael <michael.t.olson@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2014 4:48 AM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: STOP Tesoro and Savage's Proposal

Dear Council,

Please STOP the Tesoro-Savage proposal. The environmental, health and safety of Vancouver will be in EXTREME DANGER. It will have a significant adverse impact on the environment" that warrants a full environmental-impact study. It is ludicrous to consider siting an Oil Terminal in Downtown Vancouver and along the river. PLEASE LEARN from others environmental and safety catastrophes.


Sincerely,
Michael T. Olson

Oil spill cleanup impedes major Texas ship channel

By MICHAEL GRACZYK, Associated Press

Published: March 23, 2014, 4:59 PM

[Share on facebook](#)[Share on twitter](#)[Share on email](#)[Share on print](#)[More Sharing Services](#)³



A vessel surrounded by a sheen on the water near the Port of Galveston on Sunday, March 23, 2014, in Galveston, Texas. Dozens of ships are involved in clean-up efforts to remove oil that spilled into Galveston Bay after a ship and barge collided near the Texas City dike on Saturday afternoon. (AP Photo/ Houston Chronicle, Smiley N. Pool) (Smiley N. Pool/AP)

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Victoria Pawlak
<popcornhead22@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 27, 2014 9:06 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01
Categories: Teal

Mar 27, 2014

Mr. Stephen Posner
P.O. Box 43172
Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

We must protect our planet and all its inhabitants. Every being is an integral part of our environment and the health of the nature is most important. We must not cut costs, programs, or add or cut legislation when it comes to the welfare of living beings. We must go forth with integrity, moral actions, humane responsibility, compassion, and empathy. We are all connected. What affects one, affects all.

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Ms. Victoria Pawlak
174 Manhattan Ave
Teaneck, NJ 07666-6110

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Meghan Smith <msmeghanrocks@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 29, 2014 4:48 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01
Categories: Teal

Mar 29, 2014

Mr. Stephen Posner
P.O. Box 43172
Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Ms. Meghan Smith
12195 Charles Pl NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-1392

From: mclark@ecova.com
Sent: Tuesday, April 01, 2014 9:55 AM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear Stephen Posner

I am a resident of Vancouver, Washington and am writing in opposition to the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal.

As a resident, I believe the safety and environmental reviews are extremely important and will help show that the project is too risky. As such, I would request that the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis focus on long-term potential impacts and risks to the surrounding environment and economy.

The scope of the EIS must include to impacts from potential accidents that could and will very likely result from:

- Risks caused by earthquakes. The proposed site location is in the highest risk category per the WA DNR report at http://www.dnr.wa.gov/Publications/ger_gm42_eq_haz_urban_vancouver_24k.pdf
- Spills that will adversely impact the regional economy, environment, wildlife, and fishing industries and recreation.
- Negative impact of the facility on local transportation and livability
- Risk to water quality and fish and wildlife resources. See example of potential impacts on fish at <http://columbiariverkeeper.org/blog/oil-salmon-dont-mix/>
- Safety record is not proven per Port of Portland research: "Since 2008, there have been approximately 10 derailments. There have also been several recent events, particularly involving the transport of light Bakken crude oil in rail cars, which represent considerable cause for concern triggering a close examination of the transportation network. However, we note with interest the recent affirmative steps by the major North American railroads to begin addressing some of these issues, including lowering train speed, a call for safer train cars, increases in track inspections and increases in emergency response training."
<http://www.vbjusa.com/news/top-stories/9922-port-of-portland-says-no-to-crude-oil-for-now>

I am concerned that that the SEPA EIS will be too narrowly focused and just look at the short-term \monetary gains instead of long-term consequences and increased risks of spills do due to loading of oil at a new facility.

Please do not put the economy and environment of Vancouver, Clark County and the state of Washington at risk by allowing such an unproved company site their facility at the Port of Vancouver. If the project is not safe enough for Portland, it's not safe enough for Vancouver.

Regards,
Matt Clark
Vancouver, WA

Sincerely,
Matt Clark

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patrick King
<ptkrdd@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 06, 2014 11:53 AM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01
Categories: Teal

Apr 6, 2014

Mr. Stephen Posner
P.O. Box 43172
Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- 1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities. Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.
- 2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- 3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- 4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.
- 5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Mr. Patrick King
1145 NW 82nd St
Oklahoma City, OK 73114-1812
(405) 837-6946

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Kathleen Smaluk-Nix <ksmaluknix@bellsouth.net>
Sent: Tuesday, April 15, 2014 9:48 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01
Categories: Teal

Apr 15, 2014

Mr. Stephen Posner
P.O. Box 43172
Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathleen Smaluk-Nix
4151 Southern Pkwy
Louisville, KY 40214-1647

Docket EF-131590

RECEIVED

DEC 30 2013

ENERGY FACILITY SITE
EVALUATION COUNCIL

ORIGINAL

DENY the Pipeline-on-Wheels

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington
EFSEC,

Tesoro's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day means an additional 12 trains will slice through our communities in Eastern Washington, Idaho, and Montana. Shipping crude oil by rail threatens our health and environment. Please carefully consider:

- risk of spills in WA and beyond
- impacts to health of those who live along the rail corridors
- impacts on climate change

I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro's application.

Sincerely,

Coral Williams

USA 33

Stephen Posner
Energy Facility Siting
Evaluation Council
PO Box 43172
1300 S Evergreen Pk Dr SW
Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Greg Wahl
John R. ...

DEC 30 2013

Docket EF-131590

Dec. 18, 2013

ENERGY FACILITY SITE
EVALUATION COUNCIL

Tesoro Savage CBR
Public Comment
#362

To Whom It May Concern,

This note is being written on behalf of Anita Thomas who is blind. She submitted a letter via mail postmarked today and wishes to make one correction to the letter written regarding the Tesoro / Savage project.

She erroneously listed 1973 as the year of a terrorist attack at the oil terminal in Trieste, Italy. The date was August 1972.

Thank you for noting this correction.

Maud Ward
on behalf of Anita Thomas,
Vancouver, WA



**Petition to Governor Jay Inslee:
Reject the Vancouver Bakken Oil Terminal**
WA must Lead in Clean Energy Innovation, not be a Dealer in Dirty Energy

Short version (longer version online at <https://www.change.org/petitions/governor-jay-inslee-reject-the-vancouver-bakken-oil-terminal>): In July, days after the Quebec derailment and oil train fire, the Port of Vancouver Commissioners voted to approve a controversial 380,000 barrel per day oil terminal to serve trains of fracked North Dakota shale oil that would travel through downtown Vancouver; millions of gallons of oil would be stored on the banks of the already-struggling Columbia River. There is no political or economic logic to building massive and dangerous new fossil fuel export infrastructure precisely when the world needs to shift to clean energy or face catastrophic climate change. Governor Inslee won the election in large part because of his reputation on climate issues, and in this matter he has sole discretion.

In April, Inslee said "Washington is in a wonderful, unique position to work with business and industry leaders to develop clean energy policies and investments that will create thousands of jobs and preserve Washington's outstanding quality of life."

We agree. Governor Inslee, stand by your words. Show the nation that we will not sell out the health and reputation of one of the nation's most beautiful, resilient, and innovative regions for the Faustian bargain of a decade's worth of Port lease payments and a handful of jobs. **Reject the Vancouver Oil Terminal—we can find a better way forward.**

First Name	Last Name	Email address	Zip code
Kathy	Hall	kathyhall9012@gmail.com	98332
Isa	Werny	ironlake1@earthlink.net	98115
Paul	Wirsing	pwirsing@aca.org	98118
Sheila	Brown	sheilafree@comcast.net	98070
Kristina	Turner	kristina@cronevoices.com	98070
Kelly	Fine	kellykinneyfine@gmail.com	98008
Mandy	Malone	mandymalone11@gmail.com	98391
Alison	Eckels	alisoneckels@msn.com	98103
Morgan	Ray	MORGANISM.96@gmail.com	98391
Tom	ECKELS	TECKELS@HATMUN.COM	98103
Jessica	Dixon	DARDJESS@MSN.COM	98103

Petition to Governor Inslee: Reject the Vancouver Oil Terminal

Names/emails collected on this petition will be entered into the 350 Seattle mailing list, from which you can unsubscribe, if you wish, with a single click at the bottom of the first unwelcome message you get...but please don't: we need you!

First Name	Last Name	Email address	Zip code
Jeanie	Murphy	murphyjeanie@hotmail.com	98125
Joan	RAYMOND	RAYMOND_Boucher@comcast.net	98036
Andrew	Eckels	Eckelsa@students.wvu.edu	98226
Cathy	Billey	cbilley@stun.wic.edu	98226
Rachel	Locklin	rlocklin14@yahoo.com	98226
Colleen	Berg	rexberg@msn.com	98226
Laura	McTigue	LSM413a@yahoo.com	07940
Deirdre	Gabbay	deirdre@gabbay.org	98119
Marian	Hayes	scattlesmog@comcast.net	98112
Kathy	Huntsman	jazzmom513@comcast.net	98199
Brett	Haman	bhannan17@gmail.com	98027
E. John	McLeod	ejohnmcleod@gmail.com	98119
Cj	GAINER	redwing-9@hotmail.com	98103
Laurel	Felber	laurel.felber@yahoo.com	98225
FRED	CAMPBELL	CAMPFD@GMAIL.COM	98103
Libby	Hazen	libbaho@yahoo.com	98225
DENIS	MARTYNOWYCH	denismarty@gmail.com	98106
Margaret	Bergmann-Ness	margaret.mbn@gmail.com	98103
Stella	Leigh	StellaH1917@comcast.net	98127
Joy	Hughes	joy@solargardens.org	98245
Jim	Gay	jimgay@ix.netcom.com	98125
Susan	Platt	svsplatt@gmail.com	98127
LISA	MARUS	sucram2219@hotmail.com	98111
Tim	McGuire	timmcguire@gmail.com	98116
Amy	McGuire	amyworm010567@gmail.com	98116
Rebecca	Stinson	riverheart@earthlink.net	98221

Petition to Governor Inslee: Reject the Vancouver Oil Terminal

Names/emails collected on this petition will be entered into the 350 Seattle mailing list, from which you can unsubscribe, if you wish, with a single click at the bottom of the first unwelcome message you get...but please don't: we need you!

First Name	Last Name	Email address	Zip code
Priscilla	Pierce	cillpierce@gmail.com	98115
Sarah	Cooke	cooke52@comcast.net	98125
Mari	DeGloria	blegloria@hotmail.com	98103
LARA	McHATTIE	LARA.McHATTIE@comcast.net	98226
JAMES	McNEIL	j.mcneil12@yahoo.com	98387
David	Trujillo	trujilda@eckerd.edu	98115
MaryEllen	Maccio	memaccio@gmail.com	98071
David	Schumer	daveschumer@aol.com	98071
OST	ALL	oostoye@gmail.com	33917
Jan	Woolhuff	jan@outhavimgun.net	98221
JUDITH	FOUTS	judyfouts@hotmail.com	98221
Michael	Gosney	gosneym@students.wvu.edu	98225
Sarra	Tekola	sarratekola@yahoo.com	98107
Mary	Paterson	marypaterson@gmail.com	98125
Mary	Greene	bluestarhi3@yahoo.com	98115
Steve	Bragalone	stevebragalone@yahoo.com	98087
Margie Boe	BONE	bone.weshermann@gmail.com	98105
MAIA	SYFERS	msyfers@comcast.net	98070
Dennis	Lambert	den19@netzero.net	98070
Deborah	Woolley	scattledwoolley@gmail.com	98107
Andrea	Lindsay	andreamlindsay90@gmail.com	98105
SCOTT	ZIMMERMAN	SWZIMMER@GMAIL.COM	98206
Jack	Smith	jack cric1917@gmail.com	98125
Sarah	FISKNESS	sarahnautie@gmail.com	98105
CARL	SPOTZ	CSPOTZRIN@gmail.com	98203
JON	MORADA	jonmorada@care2.com	98148

Petition to Governor Inslee: Reject the ~~Vancouver~~ Vancouver Oil Terminal

Names/emails collected on this petition will be entered into the 350 Seattle mailing list, from which you can unsubscribe, if you wish, with a single click at the bottom of the first unwelcome message you get...but please don't: we need you!



**Petition to Governor Jay Inslee:
 Reject the Vancouver Bakken Oil Terminal
 WA must Lead in Clean Energy Innovation, not be a Dealer in Dirty Energy**

Short version (longer version online at <https://www.change.org/petitions/governor-jay-inslee-reject-the-vancouver-bakken-oil-terminal>): In July, days after the Quebec derailment and oil train fire, the Port of Vancouver Commissioners voted to approve a controversial 380,000 barrel per day oil terminal to serve trains of fracked North Dakota shale oil that would travel through downtown Vancouver; millions of gallons of oil would be stored on the banks of the already-struggling Columbia River. There is no political or economic logic to building massive and dangerous new fossil fuel export infrastructure precisely when the world needs to shift to clean energy or face catastrophic climate change. Governor Inslee won the election in large part because of his reputation on climate issues, and in this matter he has sole discretion.

In April, Inslee said "Washington is in a wonderful, unique position to work with business and industry leaders to develop clean energy policies and investments that will create thousands of jobs and preserve Washington's outstanding quality of life."

We agree. Governor Inslee, stand by your words. Show the nation that we will not sell out the health and reputation of one of the nation's most beautiful, resilient, and innovative regions for the Faustian bargain of a decade's worth of Port lease payments and a handful of jobs. **Reject the Vancouver Oil Terminal—we can find a better way forward.**

First Name	Last Name	Email address	Zip code
Haze	Rollis	hrollis@yahoo.com	98221
Emily	Henkel	e-henkel@live.com	98447
Katie	Gisebert	gisebertm@plu.edu	98447
Kimberly	Wogahn	wogahnks@plu.edu	98994
Kate	Pritchard	pritchkm@plu.edu	98444
Kathy	Rodenberg	rodenberg98119@yahoo.com	98119
Leonard	Rodenberg	rodenberg98119@yahoo.com	98119
Eric	Swenson	ericdoit@aol.com	98125
Jan	Ellis	janellis16@hotmail.com	98332
Sharon	DeWees	sharandeweess@hotmail.com	98332
DANE	GILBERT	dane.gilbert@hotmail	98058

Petition to Governor Inslee: Reject the Vancouver Oil Terminal

Names/emails collected on this petition will be entered into the 350 Seattle mailing list, from which you can unsubscribe, if you wish, with a single click at the bottom of the first unwelcome message you get...but please don't: we need you!

First Name	Last Name	Email address	Zip code
Mark	Schiller	schiller.seattle@gmail.com	98103
DORLI	RAINEY	dorli.rainey@gmail.com	98119
JOHN	VOGT	vogt.john@hotmail.com	98146
Eleanor	Watts	ell.watts@gmail.com	98144
Garret	Munger	garret.peter@comcast.net	98115
Jack	SMITH	erie1917@gmail.com	98125
Carol	Anderson	cartravels@yahoo.com	98136
May	Ulibarri	jcalibarr@hotmail.com	98117
John	Ulibarri	JCULIBARRI@hotmail.com	98117
Stan	Sorscher	sorscher@comcast.net	98105
Sylvia	Haven	mame1029@gmail.com	98125
Jim	Snell	jdsnell1@comcast.net	98122
Maurice	Bresnahan	moss.bresnahan@gmail.com	98110
Rhea	Becker	806 18th St Seattle	
Malinda	Bailey	malinda.bailey@verizon.com	98102
Bridgett	Simon	jbopinspace@gmail.com	98107
Ann	Kerr	anniekerr@mac.com	98110
Anne	Chafae	anne@senrei.com	98117
Carita	Polin	carita.polin@gmail.com	98103
Katherine	Johnson	katie.johnson@comcast.net	98103
Andrew	Scheffee	Andrew.Schul@gmail	98107
Larissa	De Haas	lolodehaas@gmail	98107
Carlynn	McCarty	cmccarty@uw.edu	98115
Charles	Reinsch	creinsch@comcast.net	98199
Deborah	Twinnell	deborah.twinnell@gmail.com	98106

Petition to Governor Inslee: Reject the Vancouver Oil Terminal

Names/emails collected on this petition will be entered into the 350 Seattle mailing list, from which you can unsubscribe, if you wish, with a single click at the bottom of the first unwelcome message you get...but please don't: we need you!



**Petition to Governor Jay Inslee:
Reject the Vancouver Bakken Oil Terminal**
WA must Lead in Clean Energy Innovation, not be a Dealer in Dirty Energy

Short version (longer version online at <https://www.change.org/petitions/governor-jay-inslee-reject-the-vancouver-bakken-oil-terminal>): In July, days after the Quebec derailment and oil train fire, the Port of Vancouver Commissioners voted to approve a controversial 380,000 barrel per day oil terminal to serve trains of fracked North Dakota shale oil that would travel through downtown Vancouver; millions of gallons of oil would be stored on the banks of the already-struggling Columbia River. There is no political or economic logic to building massive and dangerous new fossil fuel export infrastructure precisely when the world needs to shift to clean energy or face catastrophic climate change. Governor Inslee won the election in large part because of his reputation on climate issues, and in this matter he has sole discretion.

In April, Inslee said "Washington is in a wonderful, unique position to work with business and industry leaders to develop clean energy policies and investments that will create thousands of jobs and preserve Washington's outstanding quality of life."

We agree. Governor Inslee, stand by your words. Show the nation that we will not sell out the health and reputation of one of the nation's most beautiful, resilient, and innovative regions for the Faustian bargain of a decade's worth of Port lease payments and a handful of jobs. **Reject the Vancouver Oil Terminal—we can find a better way forward.**

First Name	Last Name	Email address	Zip code
Roger	Hockett	rwhocket@gmail.com	98059
Barbara	Kaykas	BKaykas4@gmail.com	98117
Jordan	Rehm	jordan_rehm@yale.edu	98117
Michael	Meacham	mikemeacham@gmail.com	98684
David	Kendall	daiddrunz@aol.com	98026
GAIL	LAWSON		98199
BRUCE	ROGERS	BRUCEBURNSROGERS31@GMAIL	98310
MARCI	BURKEL		98110
VALERIE	SAMMONS		98117
LINDA	MELVIN		98107
ROUND	HOLLOWAY	HORSE RIDE@COMCAST.NET	98122

Petition to Governor Inslee: Reject the Vancouver Oil Terminal

Names/emails collected on this petition will be entered into the 350 Seattle mailing list, from which you can unsubscribe, if you wish, with a single click at the bottom of the first unwelcome message you get...but please don't: we need you!

First Name	Last Name	Email address	Zip code
BILLIN	MAQUINNEY	1777775@gmail.com	98116
NICK	DAVENPORT	NICKPORT@COX-NET	98275
ROBERT	NICHOLS	robarnic@icloud.com	98245
SUZANNE	VIDAL	pacificreality2@gmail.com	98245
Zach	Holker	zach.holker@gmail.com	98104
Sean	Cecil	speevil@gmail.com	98136
R. Court	Olsen	court.olsen@yahoo.com	98008
Scott	Grenbon	daniery4ever.80@gmail.com	98115
Michael	Duder	mjduder@gmail.com	98146
Susan	Nordykesmith		98199
Stephen	Smith	ssmith@velkeli.com	98199
David	Sovay	DWS369@gmail.com	98226
Zoss	HENRIKSON	LHENRIKSON@DRIZZLE.COM	98126
Konna	Loerch	anatum@telcomplus.net	98247
Monique	Vescia	moniquivescia@aol.com	98119
Mtari	Sambura	kaisambura@gmail.com	98261
Clanissa	Mansfield	clarissajanae@yahoo.com	98229
Doug	Panek	haggis02@msn.com	98221
Michael	Zukowatz	Zukowatz Zukmickman@yahoo.com	98227
only	Justin	cupolston@gmail.com	98112

Petition to Governor Inslee: Reject the Vancouver Oil Terminal

Names/emails collected on this petition will be entered into the 350 Seattle mailing list, from which you can unsubscribe, if you wish, with a single click at the bottom of the first unwelcome message you get...but please don't: we need you!



**Petition to Governor Jay Inslee:
Reject the Vancouver Bakken Oil Terminal
WA must Lead in Clean Energy Innovation, not be a Dealer in Dirty
Energy**

Michelle + Klaus

Short version (longer version online at <https://www.change.org/petitions/governor-jay-inslee-reject-the-vancouver-bakken-oil-terminal>): In July, days after the Quebec derailment and oil train fire, the Port of Vancouver Commissioners voted to approve a controversial 380,000 barrel per day oil terminal to serve trains of fracked North Dakota shale oil that would travel through downtown Vancouver; millions of gallons of oil would be stored on the banks of the already-struggling Columbia River. There is no political or economic logic to building massive and dangerous new fossil fuel export infrastructure precisely when the world needs to shift to clean energy or face catastrophic climate change. Governor Inslee won the election in large part because of his reputation on climate issues, and in this matter he has sole discretion.

In April, Inslee said "Washington is in a wonderful, unique position to work with business and industry leaders to develop clean energy policies and investments that will create thousands of jobs and preserve Washington's outstanding quality of life."

We agree. Governor Inslee, stand by your words. Show the nation that we will not sell out the health and reputation of one of the nation's most beautiful, resilient, and innovative regions for the Faustian bargain of a decade's worth of Port lease payments and a handful of jobs. **Reject the Vancouver Oil Terminal—we can find a better way forward.**

First Name	Last Name	Email address	Zip code
David	Howes	davidhowes@gmail.com	98166
Michelle	Savelle	michellesavelle@earthlink.net	98116
Klaus	Kliebetanz	klietbetanz@earthlink.net	98116
Doug	ADAMS	douggonzalez@yahoo.com	98116
Anita	Bryant	feathy@hotmail.com	98105
Tad	Anderson	tadand99@gmail.com	98117
Jan	James	janjames2010@hotmail.com	98118
Jan	Dutky	jdutky@gmail.com	98312
Bruce	Bergman	bergman-bruce@yahoo.com	98136
KAREN	RICHTER	KARICHTER100@GMAIL.COM	98146
Robert	Tarrell	bobtarrell@whale-mail.com	98136

Petition to Governor Inslee: Reject the Vancouver Oil Terminal

Names/emails collected on this petition will be entered into the 350 Seattle mailing list, from which you can unsubscribe, if you wish, with a single click at the bottom of the first unwelcome message you get...but please don't: we need you!

Inslee

First Name	Last Name	Email address	Zip code
Joan	Miller	jemsKink@yahoo.com	98126
Bonny	McWethy	bonnymcwethy@gmail.com	98092
Sandra	Eichbaum	Sandraeichbaum@gmail.com	98112
Thomas	Eichbaum		
Mareah	Vestan	mareah@comcast.net	98136
Stephen	GINS	stevieguns@comcast.net	98028
Dennis	Cole	dennis@windward.info	98178
JEFF	BLAIR	jbandkp@gmail.com	98177
Sonja	Price	sonja.price@gmail.com	98109
Richard	Blakney	rbbiakne@comcast.net	98119
D. Elizabeth LINDA	Blakney	rbbiakne@comcast.net	98119
L. Driver	DRIVER	lindaadriver@yahoo.com	98112
Glen	Baron	quailcottage4@msn.com	98074
Tania	Scutt	tania@scutt.org	98033
Ellie	Locher	ellocher@gmail.com	98110
HT	Tressler	tressler@comcast.net	98166
Benjamin	Conway	benkrammeconway@gmail.com	98103
Chris	Kaimmer	ckaimmer@gmail.com	98117
Kathy	Hazen	Kathyhazen@hotmail.com	98148
Jon	Reinsch	jreinsch@earthlink.net	98119
Van	Calvez	Vcalvez@gmail.com	98112
Bill	Farmer		98117

Petition to Governor Inslee: Reject the Vancouver Oil Terminal

Names/emails collected on this petition will be entered into the 350 Seattle mailing list, from which you can unsubscribe, if you wish, with a single click at the bottom of the first unwelcome message you get...but please don't: we need you!



**Petition to Governor Jay Inslee:
Reject the Vancouver Bakken Oil Terminal
WA must Lead in Clean Energy Innovation, not be a Dealer in Dirty
Energy**

Short version (longer version online at <https://www.change.org/petitions/governor-jay-inslee-reject-the-vancouver-bakken-oil-terminal>): In July, days after the Quebec derailment and oil train fire, the Port of Vancouver Commissioners voted to approve a controversial 380,000 barrel per day oil terminal to serve trains of fracked North Dakota shale oil that would travel through downtown Vancouver; millions of gallons of oil would be stored on the banks of the already-struggling Columbia River. There is no political or economic logic to building massive and dangerous new fossil fuel export infrastructure precisely when the world needs to shift to clean energy or face catastrophic climate change. Governor Inslee won the election in large part because of his reputation on climate issues, and in this matter he has sole discretion.

In April, Inslee said "Washington is in a wonderful, unique position to work with business and industry leaders to develop clean energy policies and investments that will create thousands of jobs and preserve Washington's outstanding quality of life."

We agree. Governor Inslee, stand by your words. Show the nation that we will not sell out the health and reputation of one of the nation's most beautiful, resilient, and innovative regions for the Faustian bargain of a decade's worth of Port lease payments and a handful of jobs. **Reject the Vancouver Oil Terminal—we can find a better way forward.**

First Name	Last Name	Email address	Zip code
Laurie	Rostholder	lauriepa@gmail.com	98115
Ginny	Nicarthy	gnicarthy@brundstrupe.net	98122
Chelsea	Vitone	clvitone@AOL.com	98467
Roger	Lippman		98144
Sharon	Wilson	shujas@comcast.net	98115
Van	Bobkitt	"	98115
Chuck	Hannell Myrick	chuck@songaia.com	98021
JEAN	MOHR	jean@songaia.com	98021
Carol	Crowl	carol@songaia.com	98021
BRIAN	BANSENAUER	brian@songaia.com	98021
Stephen	Erickson	Stephenerickson772@msn.com	98126

Petition to Governor Inslee: Reject the Vancouver Oil Terminal

Names/emails collected on this petition will be entered into the 350 Seattle mailing list, from which you can unsubscribe, if you wish, with a single click at the bottom of the first unwelcome message you get...but please don't: we need you!



**Petition to Governor Jay Inslee:
Reject the Vancouver Bakken Oil Terminal
WA must Lead in Clean Energy Innovation, not be a Dealer in Dirty
Energy**

Short version (longer version online at <https://www.change.org/petitions/governor-jay-inslee-reject-the-vancouver-bakken-oil-terminal>): In July, days after the Quebec derailment and oil train fire, the Port of Vancouver Commissioners voted to approve a controversial 380,000 barrel per day oil terminal to serve trains of fracked North Dakota shale oil that would travel through downtown Vancouver; millions of gallons of oil would be stored on the banks of the already-struggling Columbia River. There is no political or economic logic to building massive and dangerous new fossil fuel export infrastructure precisely when the world needs to shift to clean energy or face catastrophic climate change. Governor Inslee won the election in large part because of his reputation on climate issues, and in this matter he has sole discretion.

In April, Inslee said "Washington is in a wonderful, unique position to work with business and industry leaders to develop clean energy policies and investments that will create thousands of jobs and preserve Washington's outstanding quality of life."

We agree. Governor Inslee, stand by your words. Show the nation that we will not sell out the health and reputation of one of the nation's most beautiful, resilient, and innovative regions for the Faustian bargain of a decade's worth of Port lease payments and a handful of jobs. **Reject the Vancouver Oil Terminal—we can find a better way forward.**

First Name	Last Name	Email address	Zip code
KARIT	COTRELL		98136
Randy	Ziglar		90405
M. Stiller	Billmaier		98077
James	Billmaier		98077
Jasa	MERGES	Jasa.Merges@gmail.com	98102
Rick	Herrn	rick.m.herrn@gmail.com	98409
John	MURKIE	jack@jackmackie.com	98101
Linda	Massy	mlinda16@msn.com	98101
Bette	BURGOYNE	bb2go@services@earthlink.net	98117
Jennie	Brott	Slipsy123@gmail.com	98125
Bridgid	Newman-Henson	6321 Cortez St #306 Bridgidnsh@gmail.com	98105

Petition to Governor Inslee: Reject the Vancouver Oil Terminal

Names/emails collected on this petition will be entered into the 350 Seattle mailing list, from which you can unsubscribe, if you wish, with a single click at the bottom of the first unwelcome message you get...but please don't: we need you!

First Name	Last Name	Email address	Zip code
Baba Kofi	Weusijana	Kofi@edutek.net	98115
Joe	Olegario	joe.olegario@gmail.com	98103
Ty	Kocher	tykocher@gmail.com	98103
MIKIAL	DEWKER	MIKIAL@YMAIL.COM	98258
Susan	Vanderburg	SVANSOZZ@AOL.COM	98502
David	Wunderer	dnwund@live.com	98105
Krista	Munnally	krista.pearl@yahoo.com	98105
Wendy	Czopp	wmzczopp@yahoo.com	98225
Kieran	Harrison	ShuKatonwa@Comcast.com	98137
Charles	Hinsch	Jesschuck@hotmail.com	98155
Katelyn	Kinn	katejkinn@yahoo.com	98109
Stephanie	Angelis	Stephanie.a.angelis@gmail.com	98155
Arlene	Qqlove	arhybar@live.com	98072
Jackie	Thompson		98052
Steve	Thompson		98052
Todd	Schlister		98028
Kyla	Thompson		98072
Lorna	Walker		98070
TOM	CRAIGHARD		98070
Victor	Odlivak	xictor@converttolinux.com	98103
BRENT	McFARLANE	ON FILE w/350.org	98133
LINDA	STEIN	SUN@2CIRCLE@comcast.com	98145
Sam	Fredrickson	sgreen@usa.com	98103
Bruce	ANDERSON	bkanderson@yahoo.com	98032
RANDY	SILVER	RAKUFIRE@AOL.COM	98109
ADRI	SILVER	" " "	"

Petition to Governor Inslee: Reject the Vancouver Oil Terminal

Names/emails collected on this petition will be entered into the 350 Seattle mailing list, from which you can unsubscribe, if you wish, with a single click at the bottom of the first unwelcome message you get...but please don't: we need you!

