

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Dave Schiesl <schiesldave@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 12:04 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
  - \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
  - \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
  - \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
  - \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
  - \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
  - \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.
- Build solar pannels ,or windmills ! BUT KEEP THE dirty oil and the DIRTY g.o.p. away from my rivers , and clean air ! FOR A CHANGE INVEST IN SOMETHING GOOD FOR THE U.S.AND IT'S PEOPLE ! not just rich oil hungry dickheads  
!\*\*\*\*\*PEACE\*\*\*\*\*!

Thank you.

Dave Schiesl  
40 Spur Rd.  
Tonasket, WA 98855

(UTC)

---

**From:** Jackie Minchew <JWMchew@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 12:29 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Vancouver oil by rail terminal requires very close scrutiny

Dear

Over the past couple of years, I have become increasingly concerned by the growing numbers of extremely long trains made up entirely of what appear to be oil cars. News reports of derailments and explosions have only exacerbated my concerns.

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Jackie Minchew  
5607 S 2nd Ave  
Everett, WA 98203

**From:** Timothy Keeler <timwsiy@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 12:36 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Timothy Keeler  
16723 74th Ave NE  
Kenmore, WA 98028

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Diana Covington <ladyofthelake\_9@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 12:41 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Diana Covington  
719 S orchard  
tacoma, WA 98465

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Richard.M.Silver@tsocorp.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 12:56 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee from Alaska and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
Richard Silver

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Noel Orr <tepeefortwo@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 1:06 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Noel Orr  
1610 NE 192nd St.  
Shoreline, WA 98155

**(UTC)**

---

**From:** M. Lou Orr <youandmekid@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 1:07 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

M. Lou Orr  
1610 NE 192nd St.  
Shoreline, WA 98155

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Melissa Hillen <melissahillen@ymail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 1:36 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Melissa Hillen

26757

**From:** Sharon Truax <meta\_arts@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 1:43 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Sharon Truax  
3221-27th ave west  
Seattle, WA 98199

**JTC)**

---

**From:** James Armstrong <2jsarmstrong@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 1:46 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

James Armstrong  
41 Bon Jon View Way  
Sequim, WA 98382

Tesoro Savage CBR  
Scoping Comment  
#30111

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Marney Mathison <marneymathison@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 2:14 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Marney Mathison  
2907 Drummond Ave  
Vancouver, WA 98661

(UTC)

---

**From:** Nancy Lee Farrell <nfarrellwa@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 3:41 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Nancy Lee Farrell  
4005 N. 24th St.  
Tacoma, WA 98406

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Diane George <dianeegeorge@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 4:06 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Diane George  
19610 290th Ave SE  
19610 290th Ave SE  
Hobart, WA 98025

UTC)

---

**From:** benjaminlumb@savageservices.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 4:08 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Savage employee and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Savage employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience how important clean, efficient and safe operations are to Savage. A terminal run by Savage in Vancouver will bring the community jobs like mine. And I'm proud to say I work for this company, and I'm also proud of our impressive track record of integrity and social responsibility.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. I work in the Savage operation in Delaware and know the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude US refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing US crude to move through a US terminal to US refineries, Savage and Tesoro are supporting US energy independence and creating US jobs.

I urge the committee to keep site of the positive impact this terminal will have on the US economy. As a Savage employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas market in the US. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
Benjamin Lumb

Tesoro Savage CBR  
Scoping Comment  
#30115

**UTC)**

---

**From:** joseph.j.bookout@tsocorp.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 4:17 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee from Huntington Beach California and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

J. J. Bookout

Sincerely,  
Joseph Bookout

**(UTC)**

---

**From:** Jack Marshall <jmarsh@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 4:20 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Jack Marshall

45014

UTC)

---

**From:** Dorothy Jordan <dorriewolf@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 5:01 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a Washingtonian, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Dorothy Jordan  
1407 Abbott Rd  
Lynden, WA 98264

(UTC)

---

**From:** ronald.b.gardiner@tsocorp.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 5:13 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee from California and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Gardiner

**From:** Cathy Seay <seaymouse@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 5:15 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Cathy Seay  
402 Park Place  
Everett, WA 98203

**(UTC)**

---

**From:** ed.feck@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 5:19 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee from California and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
Edmund Feck

**From:** Laura Craig <lauracraig@centurylink.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 5:31 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic; community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Laura Craig  
12120 Gravelly Lake Drive SW  
Lakewood, WA 98499

(UTC)

---

**From:** Ruth Tiger <ruth.tiger@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 5:48 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Ruth Tiger  
316 N. Stadium Way  
Tacoma, WA 98403

---

**From:** mikholloway302@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 6:11 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee from California and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.  
Mike Holloway

Sincerely,  
Mike Holloway

**From:** Margie Katz <margie@nas.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 6:14 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a citizen living intimately close to Puget Sound, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to *fully* assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities. This area is a huge and vital economic system as well as home to fragile human and wildlife. The shipping of such an enormous volume of oil presents a very high risk of serious health and environmental damage, as well as a significant threat to the very popular tourist industry encompassed in these scenic areas.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route;
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.
- \*\* Please especially consider the risk of enormous danger to waterways, fisheries, and public water supplies from underinspected and underregulated rail and rolling stock leading to derailment (which has happened already in Quebec); and
- \* Cost to taxpayers to subsidize the infrastructure such a proposal would require.

Thank you.

Margie Katz, Bellingham, WA

Margie Katz  
1609 Emerald lake Way  
Bellingham, WA 98226

(UTC)

---

**From:** thomasalu@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 6:15 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee from Southern California and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. I also lived in Washington in the mid-90s and one of our daughters is currently living and working up in Whatcom County. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
Tom Lu

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Pam Madison <pcmadison@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 6:22 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

In WA State we have worked to maintain a beautiful setting. This is why WA IS so loved. The notion of building the rail and shipping daily train after train of oil through our beautiful state is unconscionable. there is no value added for us. It simply selling out our environment for others profit. Please keep the beauty of this state and the environmen safe. Make environment a priority when reviewing this notion As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Pam Madison  
8330 53 Ave W Apt 104  
#!  
mukilteo, WA 98275

(UTC)

---

**From:** DAVID.E.WALKER@tsocorp.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 6:27 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee from southern california and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
David Walker

**From:** dean.p.anderson@tsocorp.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 6:42 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

I'm a graduate of Washington State University with a degree in Chemical Engineering. Having worked in several states, but call Bellingham home. Currently I live in Salt Lake City, Utah and can see by visiting both locations often the positive economic impact of responsible energy growth.

Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
Dean Anderson

(UTC)

---

**From:** mary vanzant <hornervanzant@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 6:46 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Oil Terminal Proposal

Dear Commissioners

I am writing to urge you to consider the implications of moving forward with this project. I just reviewed the video of the Lac Megantic train derailment and fire. Place that disaster in the gorge or in downtown Vancouver and the answer is clear. At 380k barrels per day, day after day, year after year, you know it will happen. So think about the dreams of people in Vancouver for a vibrant and bustling multi-use waterfront development with endless trains of dangerous cargo passing through. I would encourage you rather to imagine the site as a solar facility cranking out clean renewable energy or some other forward-looking use. Fossil fuel infrastructure is a throwback to a time of serious miscalculations about the longterm effects of these technologies.

Please recommend to deny this project.

Thanks very much.

Mike Horner  
4329 SE 64th Ave.  
Portland, OR  
97206

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Don Maynard <iggybabs@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 7:16 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Don Maynard  
235-5 Peterson Dr  
Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043

(UTC)

---

**From:** lance barnett <secretsquirrel224@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 7:19 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

lance barnett  
20406 little bear cr rd 97  
97  
woodinville, WA 98072

**UTC)**

---

**From:** lance barnett <secretsquirrel224@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 7:19 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

lance barnett  
20406 little bear cr rd 97  
97  
woodinville, WA 98072

Tesoro Savage CBR  
Scoping Comment  
#30133

**UTC)**

---

**From:** lyle.a.hansen@tsocorp.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 7:20 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee from Utah and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
Lyle Hansen

**(UTC)**

---

**From:** Ravi Grover <avatar11@rediffmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 7:25 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Ravi Grover  
PO  
Chicago, IL 60660

**UTC)**

---

**From:** tesorosa@box911.bluehost.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 7:46 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee from California and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
David Ruffin

Tesoro Savage CBR  
Scoping Comment  
#30136

**UTC)**

---

**From:** rhall@universalplant.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 7:48 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear Stephen Posner

I am a resident of Northern California and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. The proposed project will receive and ship North American crude oil to US refineries to offset or replace foreign imports and declining production in Alaska and California. This crude oil will be refined in US refineries to help meet the everyday needs of residents and businesses along the US West Coast – including those of the state of Washington. In short, it helps with America's energy security and will bring economic benefits and valuable jobs to our local communities.

As a supporter, I believe the safety and environmental reviews are extremely important. As such, I would request that the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis be purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Risks caused by earthquakes
- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Protection of Columbia River water quality and fish and wildlife resources
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility and have a dampening effect on transportation of other commodities, such as agricultural products, which are vital to the economies of Vancouver, Clark County and the state of Washington.

This balanced approach is consistent with SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy. Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
Ron Hall

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Don.a.gross@tsocorp.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 7:49 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee from Idaho and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
Allen Gross

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Pamela Browning <pbrowning@hypergrove.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 7:54 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Pamela Browning  
938 Jefferson  
Port Townsend, WA 98368

**UTC)**

---

**From:** John Wang <shoangore1@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 8:07 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you,  
John Wang

John Wang  
2008 17th Ave NE  
Issaquah, WA 98029

**UTC)**

---

**From:** richard.k.dykema@tsocorp.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 8:12 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee from Aaska and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
Richard Dykema

**JTC)**

---

**From:** bruce.e.fisher@tsocorp.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 8:16 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee from and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
Bruce Fisher

UTC)

---

**From:** Janet Geer <janetgeer@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 8:16 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Janet Geer  
16534 169th Street SE  
Monroe, WA 98272

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Leo.m.neuenswander@tsocorp.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 8:24 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee from and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
Leo Neuenswander

Tesoro Savage CBR  
Scoping Comment  
#30144

Docket EF-131590

(UTC)

---

**From:** Matt Werbach <matt.werbach@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 8:26 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Vancouver oil terminal

Stop the oil terminal. Stop all oil and gas terminals in the Northwest. We have moved beyond the useless destruction of the earth in the name of profit. Time for a more progressive energy policy. Actually, the time was twenty years ago. Let's get to work.

Have a good one

Matt Werbach  
(541) 480-4905

---

**From:** Bruce Dobson <hosho@whidbey.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 8:29 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

We need to be supporting renewable sources of energy and phasing out our use of oil as quickly as possible, to mitigate the impacts of global warming.

Thank you.

Bruce Dobson  
5026 Deer Trail Ln  
Langley, WA 98260

UTC)

---

**From:** lynette petrie <ljpetrie41@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 8:34 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

lynette petrie  
430 3rd Ave. so.  
#A101  
Edmonds, WA 98020

**From:** christy anderson <cbet1740@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 8:47 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

christy anderson  
28407 w long lake  
ford, WA 99013

(UTC)

---

**From:** Kerry Grant <kerrygrnt1@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 8:51 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Kerry Grant  
646 Madison Ave. N.  
Bainbridge Island, WA WA

---

**From:** tyler.j.skeath@tsocorp.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 8:46 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear Stephen Posner

I am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. The proposed project will receive and ship North American crude oil to US refineries to offset or replace foreign imports and declining production in Alaska and California. This crude oil will be refined in US refineries to help meet the everyday needs of residents and businesses along the US West Coast – including those of the state of Washington. In short, it helps with America's energy security and will bring economic benefits and valuable jobs to local communities.

In addition to the benefits to local communities and US businesses, Tesoro Savage is committed to operating in a safe and compliant manner. This commitment, along with both companies HSE performances provides further assurance that the terminal will be developed and operated within regulatory requirements.

Sincerely,  
Tyler Skeath

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Philip Conrad <kelcon7@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 8:52 AM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Philip Conrad  
7424 NE Abies Drive  
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110