

**Respondent No:** 35**Login:** Anonymous**Email:** n/a**Responded At:** Oct 13, 2025 15:09:54 pm**Last Seen:** Oct 13, 2025 15:09:54 pm**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Name** Markus Stauffer

Q2. **Email address** pmstauffer@charter.net

Q3. **Are you part of an Agency or Organization?** No

Q4. **Share any comment**

The PTAG discusses the viability of 44 documented ferruginous hawk sites and the likelihood that the hawks will return to any of these nesting sites in the future despite changes in the surrounding landscape, and any buffer zones to encourage nesting. This approach is like arguing that the spotted owl could be saved by keeping a dozen old growth trees where the owls are presently nesting and clearcut the remaining forest. This ignores the fact that migrating birds need large continuous habitat to forage and find nesting sites. The ferruginous hawk is not going to prosper if they have to navigate a "forest" of Space-Needle sized towers with spinning blades and miles of roads with service trucks. Former chairwoman Drew spent more than two years to develop a compromise that would have allowed the ferruginous hawk to prosper and Scout to still make a lot of money. Shame on former governor Inslee to have vetoed this with his un-democratic action!

Q5. **Upload your document (optional)** not answered

Q6. **Upload a picture (optional)** not answered

Q7. **Did you also share a video?** No

Q8. **What is the title of your video?** not answered