



Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council

(360) 664-1345

621 Woodland Square Loop SE
Olympia, WA 98503-3172

PRE-APPLICATION REVIEW FORM

Instructions

A formal pre-application review process for prospective projects requires completion of the document below. This document corresponds to Part 3 of the Application for Site Certification (ASC). The goal of the formal pre-app review is to determine what information is required by EFSEC and our contracted state agencies to conduct a complete assessment of the project. EFSEC does not require the submission of a separate environmental checklist. The following document is arranged in the sequential order of a SEPA checklist and functions as our checklist. References to Part 4 analyses indicate a more detailed analysis of project impacts must be performed. The information provided should be of a high level and have a brief nature. If you have any concerns, then contact the Siting Specialist assigned to your project.

Project Information:

Project Name:

Project Applicant:

Contact Information:

Location: (attach location and site maps)

Property Ownership: (private, local, state, federal)

Preliminary Site Map: (Show external and internal access roads)

A. Earth

A.1 Screening Question – Earth

Will the project occur in an area that contains.....

- steep slopes, unstable soils, surface indications or history of unstable soils?
- other geologic hazards with the potential of landslide, mass wasting erosion, faulting, subsidence, or liquefaction?
- land identified in local ordinance as a designated geologic hazard critical area?

- | | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No | Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Maybe | Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application. |

Consider and address:

- Health hazards
- Disturb the area(s)
 - Be at risk from the area(s) in their current condition
 - Be at risk from the area(s) if it degrades further
 - Increase water flow over or through the area(s)

- Other relevant factors addressed in:
- WAC 463-62-020 regarding air quality laws and regulations
 - WAC 463-60-225 (1) through (3)
 - Guidance regarding information required by WAC 463-60-312.

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

B. Air Quality

B.1 Screening Question – Air Quality

Will the project have...

- indoor or outdoor air pollution emissions, including dust, other than those related to vehicle emissions during operation?
- the potential to produce an odor nuisance?
- emissions, including dust, during construction?

No Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.

Yes Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.

Maybe Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

Health hazards

- That all project equipment and vehicles are assessed collectively for air emissions
- The area’s existing/potential air quality issues (failure to meet standards, haze, aesthetics, etc.)
- Proximity to populated areas, recreational areas, or other areas of sensitivity

Other relevant factors addressed in:

- WAC 463-62-020 regarding air quality laws and regulations
- WAC 463-60-225 (1) through (3)
- Guidance regarding information required by WAC 463-60-312.

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

C. Water Quality – Wetlands and Surface Waters (Buffers, Fill, Dredging, & Sedimentation)

C.1. Screening Question – Water Quality – Wetlands and Surface Waters

Will the proposal involve any activities...

- on a steep slope, or area of unstable soils?
- within a surface water body, wetland, or within 300 feet of those areas?
- within a floodplain, or an area known to flood?

No Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.

Yes Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.

Maybe Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Erosion/erosion control • Existing/potential water quality issues (temperature, turbidity, sedimentation, etc.) • Loss of wetland/surface water functions and values (flood control, groundwater recharge, water quality, fish and wildlife habitat, aesthetics, recreation, etc.) • Existing/potential flood risks • Is there a section 303(d) listed impairment of the waterbody under the Clean Water Act? • Is water body located within 1-mile of a cleanup or contamination site? 	<p>Other relevant factors addressed in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WAC 463-62-050 starts for wetland impact mitigation • WAC 460-62-060-060 regarding water quality standards • WAC 463-60-255, 463-60-322 (1-5), and 463-60-333
--	---

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

D. Water Quality – Wastewater Discharges

D.1. Screening Question – Water Quality – Wastewater Discharges

Will the proposal discharge wastewater (septic systems, process waters, dairy waste, etc.) to onsite or offsite surface waters, wetlands, or the ground?

- Do not include discharges to utilities or county-approved septic systems.

No Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.

Yes Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.

Maybe Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

- Existing/potential water quality issues (nutrients, bacteria, metals, turbidity, temperature, etc.)
- Loss of wetland/surface water functions and values
- Discharge type (wash water), volume, potential contaminants, location, and method of discharge.
- Sole source aquifers
- Is there a section 303(d) listed impairment of the waterbody under the Clean Water Act?

Other relevant factors addressed in:

- WAC 460-62-060 regarding water quality standards
- WAC 463-60-322 and 463-60-333.

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

E. Water Quality – Stormwater Runoff

E.1. Screening Question – Water Quality – Stormwater Runoff

Does the proposal involve any potential sources of stormwater contamination from...

- drainage from impervious surfaces?
- erosion from disturbed soils, lost vegetation, etc.?
- animal wastes, fertilizers, or decomposing organic material?
- pesticides or other chemical usage?
- other sources?

No Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.

Yes Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.

Maybe Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

- Existing/potential water quality issues (oil and grease, turbidity, sedimentation, nutrients, metals, and other pollutants)
- Loss of wetland/surface water functions and values
- Consultation with Department of Ecology’s Stormwater Manual

Other relevant factors addressed in:

- WAC 460-62-060 regarding water quality standards
- WAC 463-60-215 and 463-60-322

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

F. Water Quantity – Water Use

F.1. Screening Question – Water Quality – Water Use

Will the proposal involve a new withdrawal, diversion, retention, or use for water not received from a utility? Identify potential water sources.

- No Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.
- Yes Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.
- Maybe Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

- Changes in flow or volume
- Existing/potential water quantity/ availability issues (water right controversy, endangered aquatic species, high ground water table, etc.)

Other relevant factors addressed in:

- WAC 463-60-165 (1) and (3), 463-60-322 and 463-60-333

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

G. Water Quantity – Runoff, Stormwater & Point Discharges

G.1. Screening Question – Water Quality – Runoff, Stormwater & Point Discharges

Is the project likely to result in changes in flow or volume in any water body or aquifer?

- Consider changes in vegetation, blocking of recharge by new impervious surfaces, grading, filling, discharges, water use, etc.

<input type="checkbox"/> No	Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.
<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential loss of groundwater recharge • Change in seasonal stream flow • Existing/potential flood risks • Existing/potential water quantity/ availability issues | Other relevant factors addressed in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WAC 463-60-215, 463-60-322 and 463-60-333 |
|--|--|

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

H. Plants

H.1. Screening Question – Plants

Will the project occur in or near an area with special status plants, (e.g. DNR natural heritage program or WDFW Priority Habitats and Species (PHS))?

No Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.

Yes Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.

Maybe Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alteration/loss of fish/wildlife habitat • Endangered, threatened, or other at-risk plant species • Changes to critical areas are reflected in Part 4-8.C.1. • Whether you have contacted or talked to any tribal representatives and the WDFW solar/wind team | <p>Other relevant factors addressed in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WAC 463-60-332 • WDFW Guidelines for Utility-scale Solar and Onshore Wind Energy Development in Washington State |
|---|---|

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

I. Animals

I.1. Screening Question – Animals

Will the project occur in or near an area with migration areas, special status wildlife or habitats, or biodiversity areas and corridors (e.g. WDFW Priority Habitats and Species (PHS))?

- No Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.
- Yes Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.
- Maybe Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

- Alteration/loss of fish/wildlife habitat
- Endangered or other at-risk animal species
- Obstructions/barriers to the movement of fish and wildlife, especially known wildlife corridors
- Noise, light, or glare effects on wildlife
- Changes to critical areas are reflected in Part 4-9.C.1.
- Whether you have contacted or talked to any tribal representatives and the WDFW solar/wind team

Other relevant factors addressed in:

- WAC 463-62-040 regarding fish and wildlife mitigation
- WAC 463-60-332
- WDFW Guidelines for Utility-scale Solar and Onshore Wind Energy Development in Washington State

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

J. Energy and Other Natural Resources

J.1. Screening Question – Energy and Other Natural Resources

Will the project, because of type, size, or design, require the consumption or removal of considerable quantities of natural resources including energy (electricity, petroleum, etc.), rock minerals, trees/wood, peat, etc. during either construction or operation?

- | | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No | Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Maybe | Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application. |

Consider and address:

- Existing/potential of resource supply not meeting demand
- Conservation methods
- Use of renewable vs. non-renewable resources

Other relevant factors addressed in:

- WAC 463-60-342 (1)-(4)

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

K. Waste Management

K.1. Screening Question – Waste Management

Will the project generate large quantities of waste, during either construction or operation, other than those listed as a discharge under B. Air Quality or D. Water Quality – Wastewater Discharges?

- No Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.
- Yes Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.
- Maybe Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

- Landfill capacity
- Loss of resources
- Opportunities to reduce, reuse, or recycle waste
- The utility of a comprehensive solid waste management plan

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

L. Environmental Health – Existing Site Contamination

L.1. Screening Question – Environmental Health – Existing Site Contamination

Is there any evidence that the project site(s) contains potentially hazardous materials including toxic chemicals, volatile gases or other poisonous or hazardous substances?

- No Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.
- Yes Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.
- Maybe Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

- Public health and safety
- Environmental health (air, soil, ground water, surface waters, plants, and animals)
- Conflict /compatibility with planned land uses
- Include description of hazardous materials and the manner and extent of the contamination.

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

M. Environmental Health – Hazardous Materials

M.1. Screening Question – Environmental Health – Hazardous Materials

Will the project involve the removal, use, or disposal of hazardous materials that involve toxic chemicals, asbestos, risk of fire or explosion, and/or spill or danger to public health and the environment?

- No Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.
- Yes Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.
- Maybe Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

- Public Safety
- Environmental health (air, soil, ground water, surface waters, plants and animals)
- Hazardous material sources, storage, identification, classification

Other relevant factors addressed in:

- WAC 463-60-352 (2) – (4), (6)

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

N. Land Use, Natural Resource Lands, & Shoreline Compatibility

N.1. Screening Question – Land Use, Natural Resource Lands, & Shoreline Compatibility

Will the proposal involve or result in any of the following:

- Change in land use
- Change in intensity of land use
- Provide new or improved service to an area (e.g. transportation, utilities, entertainment, etc.)

Include likely future proposals that will occur as a result of this action, such as increased development from newly created lots or extension of services, etc.

<input type="checkbox"/> No	Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.
<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

- Loss of designated natural resource lands (agriculture, forest, mineral) under RCW 36.70A.030; or other existing land uses.
- Viability of existing or planned adjacent or nearby land or water uses.
- Compatibility or conflict with intended land or shoreline uses.
- Increased transportation, utility, or service demands.
- Effects to surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting.
- Consultation with relevant landowners (public and private)

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

O. Housing

O.1. Screening Question – Housing

Will the project be likely to displace or otherwise affect existing or future housing, particularly housing for low and moderate-income households?

- No Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.
- Yes Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.
- Maybe Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

- Decreased availability of housing for low to moderate income households
- Impediments to meeting fair housing and/or population growth goals

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

P. Noise, Light, Glare, and Aesthetics

P1. Screening Question – Noise, Light, Glare, and Aesthetics

Will the project transmit light, glare, or noise onto adjacent areas or alter or obstruct any views in the immediate area?

- No Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.
- Yes Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.
- Maybe Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

- Proximity to residential areas, or other areas with sensitivity
- Scenic views that could be blocked, altered, or impaired for existing or planned uses in adjacent areas
- Glare affecting FAA flight paths

Other relevant factors addressed in:

- WAC 463-62-030 regarding noise standards
- WAC 463-60-352 (1), 463-60-362 (2) and (3)

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

Q. Recreation

Q.1. Screening Question – Recreation

Will the project occur in an area or location that...

- includes existing designated and informal recreation opportunities in the immediate vicinity?
- displaces or otherwise affects any existing recreational uses during construction or operation?

No Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.

Yes Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.

Maybe Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

- Existing recreation uses (e.g. hunting, fishing, etc.) that could be removed or impeded
- Visual effects on recreation areas and hiking trails

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

R. Traffic and Transportation

R.1. Screening Question – Traffic and Transportation

Will the project be likely to cause any of the following, in relationship to the local and regional transportation system, during construction or operation? (Address construction and operations phases separately)

- Reduce the level of service (LOS) in an area
- Restrict vehicular use
- Potential to create or increase local safety hazards
- Conflict with local, state or federal requirements related to traffic and transportation

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.
<input type="checkbox"/> No	Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.
<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

- Existing/potential safety hazards
- Traffic delays or road closures during construction
- Trip generation and affected intersections
- Creation of new highway access or significantly changing the use of existing access
- Occurring within the vicinity of any programmed highway project

Other relevant factors addressed in:

- WAC 463-60-372

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

S. Public Services and Facilities

S.1. Screening Question – Public Services and Facilities

Will the project be likely to directly or indirectly increase use of public services and facilities such as fire protection, law enforcement, schools, parks and recreation, public open space, social services or general government?

- No Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.
- Yes Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.
- Maybe Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

- Existing/potential inadequacy of service providers to meet need.
- Consumption of disproportionate share of existing or future service capacities.
- Options to reduce service demand (onsite security, etc.).

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

T. Utilities

T.1. Screening Question – Utilities

Will the project be likely to increase demand for public or privately owned water, sewer, storm water, solid waste, communication, or energy utilities?

- No Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.
- Yes Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.
- Maybe Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

- Existing/potential inadequacy of utilities to meet need.
- Consumption of disproportionate share of existing or future utility capacities.
- Potential to reduce service demand (conservation, etc.).
- Identify where utilities have confirmed service availability.

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

U. Cultural Resources

V.1. Screening Question – Cultural Resources

Will the project occur in an area or location that includes... (It is rare the below situations do not apply)

- existing tribal hunting or fishing rights?
- existing tribal plant gathering?
- tribal cultural sites?
- usual and accustomed areas?
- material culture artifacts?
- activities on the site that could impede views of traditional cultural sites?

No Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer.

Yes Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response.

Maybe Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

Consider and address:

- Whether you have contacted or talked to any tribal representatives.
- Whether you have checked any tribal websites.
- Visual effects on known Traditional Cultural Properties.

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response				EFSEC Staff Response			
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

V. Archaeological and Historical Resources

U.1. Screening Question – Archaeological and Historical Resources

Will the project occur in an area or location that includes the following? Note that to answer these questions with a definite “yes” or “no” requires at least a Desktop Survey, which must be conducted by a consultant.

- Archaeological Site or Built Environment Property over 50 years in agricultural resource site
- Any known landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance
- Is listed or is eligible to be listed on a local, state, or federal historic register

No Explain below why you believe “No” is the appropriate answer. No response does not infer additional inventory work is not needed.

Yes Explain below what aspect of the question triggered a “Yes” response; and complete Part 4 - Detailed Analysis

Maybe Explain below how you will obtain the information needed for a definitive “Yes” or “No” prior to the final submission of your application.

As you complete the Detailed Analysis in Part 4.U. Archaeological and Historical Resources, make sure you consider and address:

- Effects on access to the site or to the resource.
- Methods to protect/preserve cultural and historic resources.
- Enhancement measures (improved public or tribal access, matching the character of the site, etc.).
- Include description of the cultural/historic resource and how it was identified.

Other relevant factors addressed in:

- WAC 463-60-362

Summary Indicate yes or no in the fields beside each of the following questions. Grayed fields are for the use of EFSEC staff only.

Question	Applicant Response			EFSEC Staff Response				
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
1. Does screening trigger a Part 4 analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
2. Is it clear what analysis or study is called for?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Is the analysis fully complete for application review?	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Maybe	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

Additional Instructions: Please include with the application a site location map, the latest map depicting site access, and any other pertinent maps for this project.